

**Plan Change 78 - Intensification**

**Further Submissions Report**

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.101	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.21.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brien	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.102	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete Standard H6.6.22.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.103	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H.6 on significant ecological areas, pedestrian network, deep soil, identified special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.104	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete assessment matters in H6.7.1 (1) and H6.7.2(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.105	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(1)(c).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.106	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1 (2)(ia) to delete matters of discretion on deep soil, interface with identified special character or scheduled historic heritage place, internal living areas, internal storage, and safe pedestrian access on streets. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.107	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.1(3) to so that the matter of discretion is on additional height above the standard and to delete (d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.108	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.109	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(1)(f)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.110	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(2) to delete 'is of a high quality' in (aa), delete (ab(vii), (ac), (ad), (i) and (m) on deep soil, communal spaces, quality and maintenance and safe pedestrian movement on streets and to public transport.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.111	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(3)(l)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.112	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(5)(f)(iii) to assess effect on the surrounding and neighbouring development only in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.113	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(6) to refer only to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.114	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(12)(e).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.115	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.8.2(15)(f) by deleting: 'communal open space' and 'and attractive'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.116	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H.6.8.2(15A) by deleting 'and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' and 'and /or accessways'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.117	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(18).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.118	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(19).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.119	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(20) and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2(1), H6.8.2(2) and H6.8.2(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.120	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.8.2(21)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.121	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.122	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete H6.9(2).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.123	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H6.9(3) so the information requirements only relate to communal waste collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.124	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.10.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.125	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.12	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.126	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.13.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.127	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.14.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.128	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.15.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.129	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.16.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.130	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.17.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.131	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.18.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.132	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.19.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.133	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.20.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.134	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain deletion of H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.135	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25 and H8.6.25A, and revert to the operative standards.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.136	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete proposed Standards H8.6.34, and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS12	Southpark	Support
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS184	Kiwi Property Group	support
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS340	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	support
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.137	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend H9.6.1 to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.138	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain standard H11.6.1	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS340	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS342	Tram Lease Limited	support
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS475	Porter Group Limited	support
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.139	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all of the Business - Town Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.140	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H10.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS125	692D Limited	Support
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS340	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	support
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS342	Tram Lease Limited	support
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS351	Drive Holdings Limited	Support
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS352	Next Gen Places Limited	Support
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.141	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H10.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Local Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.142	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain the 19 metres, plus 60 degree HIRB control in Standard H11.6.2	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS460	Fletcher Residential Limited	Support
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS489	Neil Group	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.143	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS379	Mission Bay Kohimar	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.144	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend to increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Height	Height response other zones	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.145	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H12.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.146	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend Standard H13.6.1 to provide for a height of 24 metres within all of the Business - Mixed Use Zone.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.147	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Retain Standard H13.6.2.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.148	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for canopy tree.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.149	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Delete the definition for deep soil area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.150	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Clarify definition of landscaped area and amended accordingly. Alternatively, retain the existing definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS286	William Peake	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS379	Mission Bay Kohimaru	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS489	Neil Group	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.151	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan so that six storeys is applied as a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS379	Mission Bay Kohimaru	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS489	Neil Group	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.152	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend where six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. Refer to full submission for more details.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS107	Wyborn Capital Limited	Support
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS490	Southside Group	support
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.153	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend the plan change to include the Light Rail Study Area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS489	Neil Group	support
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.154	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend pc 78 to include Special Housing Areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS90	Matt Heale	Support
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS171	BA Trustees Ltd	support
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS286	William Peake	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS445	Ashcroft Homes Auckland Limited	support
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS460	Fletcher Residential Limited	Support
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS477	K Vernon	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS489	Neil Group	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS490	Southside Group	support
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
938.155	New Zealand Housing Foundation	Amend PC 78 to so that the definition of rapid transit service includes frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys. The identified corridors are: Great North Road (from Pt Chevalier to Ponsonby Road), Sandringham Road (from Mt Albert Road to New North Road), Dominion Road (from Denbigh Avenue to View Road), Mt Eden Road (from Mount Albert Road to Symonds Street), Manukau Road (from Onehunga to Broadway), Great South Road (from Ellerslie Main Highway to Broadway).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
939.1	Auckland Council	Amend objective H5.2(9) to: Development is enabled on sites within subject to significant ecological areas where it provides for the protection and management of the significant ecological values.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.1	Auckland Council	Amend objective H5.2(9) to: Development is enabled on sites within subject to significant ecological areas where it provides for the protection and management of the significant ecological values.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.1	Auckland Council	Amend objective H5.2(9) to: Development is enabled on sites within subject to significant ecological areas where it provides for the protection and management of the significant ecological values.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.1	Auckland Council	Amend objective H5.2(9) to: Development is enabled on sites within subject to significant ecological areas where it provides for the protection and management of the significant ecological values.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.1	Auckland Council	Amend objective H5.2(9) to: Development is enabled on sites within subject to significant ecological areas where it provides for the protection and management of the significant ecological values.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.1	Auckland Council	Amend objective H5.2(9) to: Development is enabled on sites within subject to significant ecological areas where it provides for the protection and management of the significant ecological values.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
939.1	Auckland Council	Amend objective H5.2(9) to: Development is enabled on sites within subject to significant ecological areas where it provides for the protection and management of the significant ecological values.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
939.1	Auckland Council	Amend objective H5.2(9) to: Development is enabled on sites within subject to significant ecological areas where it provides for the protection and management of the significant ecological values.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.1	Auckland Council	Amend objective H5.2(9) to: Development is enabled on sites within subject to significant ecological areas where it provides for the protection and management of the significant ecological values.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.1	Auckland Council	Amend objective H5.2(9) to: Development is enabled on sites within subject to significant ecological areas where it provides for the protection and management of the significant ecological values.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
939.1	Auckland Council	Amend objective H5.2(9) to: Development is enabled on sites within subject to significant ecological areas where it provides for the protection and management of the significant ecological values.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
939.2	Auckland Council	Amend Policy H5.3(6A)(f) to:.. minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to manage the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated;	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.2	Auckland Council	Amend Policy H5.3(6A)(f) to:.. minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to manage the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated;	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.2	Auckland Council	Amend Policy H5.3(6A)(f) to:.. minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to manage the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated;	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.2	Auckland Council	Amend Policy H5.3(6A)(f) to:.. minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting the maximum impervious area on a site in order to manage the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated;	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.3	Auckland Council	Amend Policy H5.3(14) to: Require development of four or more dwellings per site to contribute to a safe urban road environment for pedestrians through improvements to the adjacent road network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.3	Auckland Council	Amend Policy H5.3(14) to: Require development of four or more dwellings per site to contribute to a safe urban road environment for pedestrians through improvements to the adjacent road network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.3	Auckland Council	Amend Policy H5.3(14) to: Require development of four or more dwellings per site to contribute to a safe urban road environment for pedestrians through improvements to the adjacent road network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.3	Auckland Council	Amend Policy H5.3(14) to: Require development of four or more dwellings per site to contribute to a safe urban road environment for pedestrians through improvements to the adjacent road network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.3	Auckland Council	Amend Policy H5.3(14) to: Require development of four or more dwellings per site to contribute to a safe urban road environment for pedestrians through improvements to the adjacent road network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.3	Auckland Council	Amend Policy H5.3(14) to: Require development of four or more dwellings per site to contribute to a safe urban road environment for pedestrians through improvements to the adjacent road network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.3	Auckland Council	Amend Policy H5.3(14) to: Require development of four or more dwellings per site to contribute to a safe urban road environment for pedestrians through improvements to the adjacent road network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.3	Auckland Council	Amend Policy H5.3(14) to: Require development of four or more dwellings per site to contribute to a safe urban road environment for pedestrians through improvements to the adjacent road network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.3	Auckland Council	Amend Policy H5.3(14) to: Require development of four or more dwellings per site to contribute to a safe urban road environment for pedestrians through improvements to the adjacent road network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS394	Aedifice Property Group	oppose
939.3	Auckland Council	Amend Policy H5.3(14) to: Require development of four or more dwellings per site to contribute to a safe urban road environment for pedestrians through improvements to the adjacent road network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.3	Auckland Council	Amend Policy H5.3(14) to: Require development of four or more dwellings per site to contribute to a safe urban road environment for pedestrians through improvements to the adjacent road network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.4	Auckland Council	Amend Objective H3A.2(11) to read as: Intensification is avoided in areas with significant public transport infrastructure constraints.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.4	Auckland Council	Amend Objective H3A.2(11) to read as: Intensification is avoided in areas with significant public transport infrastructure constraints.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.4	Auckland Council	Amend Objective H3A.2(11) to read as: Intensification is avoided in areas with significant public transport infrastructure constraints.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS394	Aedifice Property Group	oppose
939.4	Auckland Council	Amend Objective H3A.2(11) to read as: Intensification is avoided in areas with significant public transport infrastructure constraints.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.4	Auckland Council	Amend Objective H3A.2(11) to read as: Intensification is avoided in areas with significant public transport infrastructure constraints.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.5	Auckland Council	Amend text to require a 1.8m delineated footpath within the formed carriageway for <10 dwellings where this leads to a pedestrian only accessway and align provisions in E27 & E38.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.5	Auckland Council	Amend text to require a 1.8m delineated footpath within the formed carriageway for <10 dwellings where this leads to a pedestrian only accessway and align provisions in E27 & E38.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.5	Auckland Council	Amend text to require a 1.8m delineated footpath within the formed carriageway for <10 dwellings where this leads to a pedestrian only accessway and align provisions in E27 & E38.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.5	Auckland Council	Amend text to require a 1.8m delineated footpath within the formed carriageway for <10 dwellings where this leads to a pedestrian only accessway and align provisions in E27 & E38.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.5	Auckland Council	Amend text to require a 1.8m delineated footpath within the formed carriageway for <10 dwellings where this leads to a pedestrian only accessway and align provisions in E27 & E38.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.5	Auckland Council	Amend text to require a 1.8m delineated footpath within the formed carriageway for <10 dwellings where this leads to a pedestrian only accessway and align provisions in E27 & E38.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.5	Auckland Council	Amend text to require a 1.8m delineated footpath within the formed carriageway for <10 dwellings where this leads to a pedestrian only accessway and align provisions in E27 & E38.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.5	Auckland Council	Amend text to require a 1.8m delineated footpath within the formed carriageway for <10 dwellings where this leads to a pedestrian only accessway and align provisions in E27 & E38.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.5	Auckland Council	Amend text to require a 1.8m delineated footpath within the formed carriageway for <10 dwellings where this leads to a pedestrian only accessway and align provisions in E27 & E38.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.5	Auckland Council	Amend text to require a 1.8m delineated footpath within the formed carriageway for <10 dwellings where this leads to a pedestrian only accessway and align provisions in E27 & E38.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.6	Auckland Council	See PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions)	Other Plan Change	0	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.6	Auckland Council	See PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions)	Other Plan Change	0	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.6	Auckland Council	See PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions)	Other Plan Change	0	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.6	Auckland Council	See PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions)	Other Plan Change	0	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.7	Auckland Council	Provide definition of landscaped area for less than four dwellings.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.7	Auckland Council	Provide definition of landscaped area for less than four dwellings.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
939.7	Auckland Council	Provide definition of landscaped area for less than four dwellings.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.7	Auckland Council	Provide definition of landscaped area for less than four dwellings.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
939.7	Auckland Council	Provide definition of landscaped area for less than four dwellings.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.7	Auckland Council	Provide definition of landscaped area for less than four dwellings.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.8	Auckland Council	Update diagram H5.6.12.1 to include measurement for outlook from balcony	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.8	Auckland Council	Update diagram H5.6.12.1 to include measurement for outlook from balcony	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.8	Auckland Council	Update diagram H5.6.12.1 to include measurement for outlook from balcony	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.8	Auckland Council	Update diagram H5.6.12.1 to include measurement for outlook from balcony	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.8	Auckland Council	Update diagram H5.6.12.1 to include measurement for outlook from balcony	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.8	Auckland Council	Update diagram H5.6.12.1 to include measurement for outlook from balcony	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.8	Auckland Council	Update diagram H5.6.12.1 to include measurement for outlook from balcony	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.8	Auckland Council	Update diagram H5.6.12.1 to include measurement for outlook from balcony	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.8	Auckland Council	Update diagram H5.6.12.1 to include measurement for outlook from balcony	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.8	Auckland Council	Update diagram H5.6.12.1 to include measurement for outlook from balcony	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.9	Auckland Council	Amend diagram- H5.6.19.1 by deleting white area and showing privacy and safety buffer up against building, then footpath, then deep soil area	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.90	Auckland Council	Amend diagram- H5.6.19.1 by deleting white area and showing privacy and safety buffer up against building, then footpath, then deep soil area	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
939.9	Auckland Council	Amend diagram- H5.6.19.1 by deleting white area and showing privacy and safety buffer up against building, then footpath, then deep soil area	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.9	Auckland Council	Amend diagram- H5.6.19.1 by deleting white area and showing privacy and safety buffer up against building, then footpath, then deep soil area	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.9	Auckland Council	Amend diagram- H5.6.19.1 by deleting white area and showing privacy and safety buffer up against building, then footpath, then deep soil area	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.10	Auckland Council	Amend standards for residential zones relating to 'Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrians and vehicle accessways' [H5.6.20/H6.6.21] to: (2) The buffer area must be: ... (b) planted with shrubs and/or ground cover plants. Some tree species could be accommodated within the 1m buffer and the appropriateness of the species and relationship to windows for passive surveillance etc, can be assessed as part of the resource consent process.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.10	Auckland Council	Amend standards for residential zones relating to 'Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrians and vehicle accessways' [H5.6.20/H6.6.21] to: (2) The buffer area must be: ... (b) planted with shrubs and/or ground cover plants. Some tree species could be accommodated within the 1m buffer and the appropriateness of the species and relationship to windows for passive surveillance etc, can be assessed as part of the resource consent process.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.10	Auckland Council	Amend standards for residential zones relating to 'Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrians and vehicle accessways' [H5.6.20/H6.6.21] to: (2) The buffer area must be: ... (b) planted with shrubs and/or ground cover plants. Some tree species could be accommodated within the 1m buffer and the appropriateness of the species and relationship to windows for passive surveillance etc, can be assessed as part of the resource consent process.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.10	Auckland Council	Amend standards for residential zones relating to 'Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrians and vehicle accessways' [H5.6.20/H6.6.21] to: (2) The buffer area must be: ... (b) planted with shrubs and/or ground cover plants. Some tree species could be accommodated within the 1m buffer and the appropriateness of the species and relationship to windows for passive surveillance etc, can be assessed as part of the resource consent process.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.11	Auckland Council	Amend purpose of residential standard relating to 'Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessway' [H5.6.20/H6.6.21] to: to provide a reasonable standard of safety and privacy for ground floor dwellings located adjacent to pedestrian and vehicle accessways while ensuring passive surveillance.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.11	Auckland Council	Amend purpose of residential standard relating to 'Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessway' [H5.6.20/H6.6.21] to: to provide a reasonable standard of safety and privacy for ground floor dwellings located adjacent to pedestrian and vehicle accessways while ensuring passive surveillance.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.11	Auckland Council	Amend purpose of residential standard relating to 'Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessway' [H5.6.20/H6.6.21] to: to provide a reasonable standard of safety and privacy for ground floor dwellings located adjacent to pedestrian and vehicle accessways while ensuring passive surveillance.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.11	Auckland Council	Amend purpose of residential standard relating to 'Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessway' [H5.6.20/H6.6.21] to: to provide a reasonable standard of safety and privacy for ground floor dwellings located adjacent to pedestrian and vehicle accessways while ensuring passive surveillance.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.12	Auckland Council	Amend definition of rear site and figure J1.4.8 relating for rear site to remove reference to site width.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.12	Auckland Council	Amend definition of rear site and figure J1.4.8 relating for rear site to remove reference to site width.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.12	Auckland Council	Amend definition of rear site and figure J1.4.8 relating for rear site to remove reference to site width.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
939.12	Auckland Council	Amend definition of rear site and figure J1.4.8 relating for rear site to remove reference to site width.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.12	Auckland Council	Amend definition of rear site and figure J1.4.8 relating for rear site to remove reference to site width.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.13	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2B) to: Two or three more dwellings per site where located in a Significant Ecological Area Overlay (refer to vegetation management and biodiversity E15.4.2(A43) and E15.6.5)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.13	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2B) to: Two or three more dwellings per site where located in a Significant Ecological Area Overlay (refer to vegetation management and biodiversity E15.4.2(A43) and E15.6.5)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.13	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2B) to: Two or three more dwellings per site where located in a Significant Ecological Area Overlay (refer to vegetation management and biodiversity E15.4.2(A43) and E15.6.5)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.13	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2B) to: Two or three more dwellings per site where located in a Significant Ecological Area Overlay (refer to vegetation management and biodiversity E15.4.2(A43) and E15.6.5)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.13	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2B) to: Two or three more dwellings per site where located in a Significant Ecological Area Overlay (refer to vegetation management and biodiversity E15.4.2(A43) and E15.6.5)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.13	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2B) to: Two or three more dwellings per site where located in a Significant Ecological Area Overlay (refer to vegetation management and biodiversity E15.4.2(A43) and E15.6.5)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.13	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2B) to: Two or three more dwellings per site where located in a Significant Ecological Area Overlay (refer to vegetation management and biodiversity E15.4.2(A43) and E15.6.5)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.13	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2B) to: Two or three more dwellings per site where located in a Significant Ecological Area Overlay (refer to vegetation management and biodiversity E15.4.2(A43) and E15.6.5)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.13	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2B) to: Two or three more dwellings per site where located in a Significant Ecological Area Overlay (refer to vegetation management and biodiversity E15.4.2(A43) and E15.6.5)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS394	Aedifice Property Group	oppose
939.13	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2B) to: Two or three more dwellings per site where located in a Significant Ecological Area Overlay (refer to vegetation management and biodiversity E15.4.2(A43) and E15.6.5)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.13	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2B) to: Two or three more dwellings per site where located in a Significant Ecological Area Overlay (refer to vegetation management and biodiversity E15.4.2(A43) and E15.6.5)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.14	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.1 to add land disturbance chapter to list in column 3. Amend to add Appendix 20 to column 3	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.14	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.1 to add land disturbance chapter to list in column 3. Amend to add Appendix 20 to column 3	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.14	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.1 to add land disturbance chapter to list in column 3. Amend to add Appendix 20 to column 3	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.14	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.1 to add land disturbance chapter to list in column 3. Amend to add Appendix 20 to column 3	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.14	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.1 to add land disturbance chapter to list in column 3. Amend to add Appendix 20 to column 3	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS161	Domain Gardens Development	oppose
939.14	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.1 to add land disturbance chapter to list in column 3. Amend to add Appendix 20 to column 3	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS162	The Subdivision Commission	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.14	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.1 to add land disturbance chapter to list in column 3. Amend to add Appendix 20 to column 3	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.14	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.1 to add land disturbance chapter to list in column 3. Amend to add Appendix 20 to column 3	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.14	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.1 to add land disturbance chapter to list in column 3. Amend to add Appendix 20 to column 3	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.14	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.1 to add land disturbance chapter to list in column 3. Amend to add Appendix 20 to column 3	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.15	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.2 [for precincts I429 Pararēkau and Kopuahingahinga Island Precinct, I540 Takapuna 1 Precinct] to: 'Māori relationship and culture and traditions with their taonga with Taonga'	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I429 Pararēkau and Kōpuahingahinga Precinct	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.15	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.2 [for precincts I429 Pararēkau and Kopuahingahinga Island Precinct, I540 Takapuna 1 Precinct] to: 'Māori relationship and culture and traditions with their taonga with Taonga'	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I429 Pararēkau and Kōpuahingahinga Precinct	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.15	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.2 [for precincts I429 Pararēkau and Kopuahingahinga Island Precinct, I540 Takapuna 1 Precinct] to: 'Māori relationship and culture and traditions with their taonga with Taonga'	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I429 Pararēkau and Kōpuahingahinga Precinct	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.15	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.2 [for precincts I429 Pararēkau and Kopuahingahinga Island Precinct, I540 Takapuna 1 Precinct] to: 'Māori relationship and culture and traditions with their taonga with Taonga'	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I429 Pararēkau and Kōpuahingahinga Precinct	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.15	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.2 [for precincts I429 Pararēkau and Kopuahingahinga Island Precinct, I540 Takapuna 1 Precinct] to: 'Māori relationship and culture and traditions with their taonga with Taonga'	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I429 Pararēkau and Kōpuahingahinga Precinct	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.15	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.2 [for precincts I429 Pararēkau and Kopuahingahinga Island Precinct, I540 Takapuna 1 Precinct] to: 'Māori relationship and culture and traditions with their taonga with Taonga'	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I429 Pararēkau and Kōpuahingahinga Precinct	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.15	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.2 [for precincts I429 Pararēkau and Kopuahingahinga Island Precinct, I540 Takapuna 1 Precinct] to: 'Māori relationship and culture and traditions with their taonga with Taonga'	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I429 Pararēkau and Kōpuahingahinga Precinct	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.15	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.2 [for precincts I429 Pararēkau and Kopuahingahinga Island Precinct, I540 Takapuna 1 Precinct] to: 'Māori relationship and culture and traditions with their taonga with Taonga'	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I429 Pararēkau and Kōpuahingahinga Precinct	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.15	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.2 [for precincts I429 Pararēkau and Kopuahingahinga Island Precinct, I540 Takapuna 1 Precinct] to: 'Māori relationship and culture and traditions with their taonga with Taonga'	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I429 Pararēkau and Kōpuahingahinga Precinct	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.15	Auckland Council	Amend A1.4.8.2 [for precincts I429 Pararēkau and Kopuahingahinga Island Precinct, I540 Takapuna 1 Precinct] to: 'Māori relationship and culture and traditions with their taonga with Taonga'	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I429 Pararēkau and Kōpuahingahinga Precinct	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.16	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.19 to be clear that no depth dimension applies, but refer the reader back to the definition in Chapter J	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.16	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.19 to be clear that no depth dimension applies, but refer the reader back to the definition in Chapter J	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.16	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.19 to be clear that no depth dimension applies, but refer the reader back to the definition in Chapter J	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.16	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.19 to be clear that no depth dimension applies, but refer the reader back to the definition in Chapter J	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.16	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.19 to be clear that no depth dimension applies, but refer the reader back to the definition in Chapter J	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.16	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.19 to be clear that no depth dimension applies, but refer the reader back to the definition in Chapter J	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.16	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.19 to be clear that no depth dimension applies, but refer the reader back to the definition in Chapter J	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.16	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.19 to be clear that no depth dimension applies, but refer the reader back to the definition in Chapter J	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.16	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.19 to be clear that no depth dimension applies, but refer the reader back to the definition in Chapter J	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.16	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.19 to be clear that no depth dimension applies, but refer the reader back to the definition in Chapter J	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.16	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.19 to be clear that no depth dimension applies, but refer the reader back to the definition in Chapter J	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.16	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.19 to be clear that no depth dimension applies, but refer the reader back to the definition in Chapter J	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.16	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.19 to be clear that no depth dimension applies, but refer the reader back to the definition in Chapter J	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.16	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.19 to be clear that no depth dimension applies, but refer the reader back to the definition in Chapter J	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.16	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.19 to be clear that no depth dimension applies, but refer the reader back to the definition in Chapter J	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.17	Auckland Council	Delete the following sentence before the activity tables [in D17.4] : 'The provisions of this overlay replace the equivalent provisions of the underlying zone.'	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.17	Auckland Council	Delete the following sentence before the activity tables [in D17.4] : 'The provisions of this overlay replace the equivalent provisions of the underlying zone.'	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.17	Auckland Council	Delete the following sentence before the activity tables [in D17.4] : 'The provisions of this overlay replace the equivalent provisions of the underlying zone.'	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.17	Auckland Council	Delete the following sentence before the activity tables [in D17.4] : 'The provisions of this overlay replace the equivalent provisions of the underlying zone.'	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.17	Auckland Council	Delete the following sentence before the activity tables [in D17.4] : 'The provisions of this overlay replace the equivalent provisions of the underlying zone.'	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.17	Auckland Council	Delete the following sentence before the activity tables [in D17.4] : 'The provisions of this overlay replace the equivalent provisions of the underlying zone.'	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.17	Auckland Council	Delete the following sentence before the activity tables [in D17.4] : 'The provisions of this overlay replace the equivalent provisions of the underlying zone.'	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.17	Auckland Council	Delete the following sentence before the activity tables [in D17.4] : 'The provisions of this overlay replace the equivalent provisions of the underlying zone.'	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.17	Auckland Council	Delete the following sentence before the activity tables [in D17.4] : 'The provisions of this overlay replace the equivalent provisions of the underlying zone.'	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.17	Auckland Council	Delete the following sentence before the activity tables [in D17.4] : 'The provisions of this overlay replace the equivalent provisions of the underlying zone.'	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.18	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.18	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.18	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.18	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.18	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.18	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.18	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.18	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.18	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS394	Aedifice Property Group	oppose
939.18	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.18	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.19	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.19	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.19	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.19	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.19	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.19	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.19	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.19	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.19	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.19	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.19	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.19	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.19	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.19	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.19	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS394	Aedifice Property Gro	oppose
939.19	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.19	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.13(3) to: The depth of the outlook space is measured at right angles to and horizontal from the window or balcony edge whichever is closer to the boundary or opposing building, to which it applies.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.20	Auckland Council	Amend [Chapter J - definition of Landscaped area] to: Landscaped area can include pervious paths with a maximum width of 1.5m provided they do not make up more than 10 per cent of the landscaped area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.20	Auckland Council	Amend [Chapter J - definition of Landscaped area] to: Landscaped area can include pervious paths with a maximum width of 1.5m provided they do not make up more than 10 per cent of the landscaped area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.20	Auckland Council	Amend [Chapter J - definition of Landscaped area] to: Landscaped area can include pervious paths with a maximum width of 1.5m provided they do not make up more than 10 per cent of the landscaped area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.20	Auckland Council	Amend [Chapter J - definition of Landscaped area] to: Landscaped area can include pervious paths with a maximum width of 1.5m provided they do not make up more than 10 per cent of the landscaped area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.20	Auckland Council	Amend [Chapter J - definition of Landscaped area] to: Landscaped area can include pervious paths with a maximum width of 1.5m provided they do not make up more than 10 per cent of the landscaped area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.20	Auckland Council	Amend [Chapter J - definition of Landscaped area] to: Landscaped area can include pervious paths with a maximum width of 1.5m provided they do not make up more than 10 per cent of the landscaped area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.20	Auckland Council	Amend [Chapter J - definition of Landscaped area] to: Landscaped area can include pervious paths with a maximum width of 1.5m provided they do not make up more than 10 per cent of the landscaped area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.20	Auckland Council	Amend [Chapter J - definition of Landscaped area] to: Landscaped area can include pervious paths with a maximum width of 1.5m provided they do not make up more than 10 per cent of the landscaped area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.20	Auckland Council	Amend [Chapter J - definition of Landscaped area] to: Landscaped area can include pervious paths with a maximum width of 1.5m provided they do not make up more than 10 per cent of the landscaped area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.20	Auckland Council	Amend [Chapter J - definition of Landscaped area] to: Landscaped area can include pervious paths with a maximum width of 1.5m provided they do not make up more than 10 per cent of the landscaped area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.20	Auckland Council	Amend [Chapter J - definition of Landscaped area] to: Landscaped area can include pervious paths with a maximum width of 1.5m provided they do not make up more than 10 per cent of the landscaped area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.20	Auckland Council	Amend [Chapter J - definition of Landscaped area] to: Landscaped area can include pervious paths with a maximum width of 1.5m provided they do not make up more than 10 per cent of the landscaped area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.20	Auckland Council	Amend [Chapter J - definition of Landscaped area] to: Landscaped area can include pervious paths with a maximum width of 1.5m provided they do not make up more than 10 per cent of the landscaped area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.20	Auckland Council	Amend [Chapter J - definition of Landscaped area] to: Landscaped area can include pervious paths with a maximum width of 1.5m provided they do not make up more than 10 per cent of the landscaped area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.20	Auckland Council	Amend [Chapter J - definition of Landscaped area] to: Landscaped area can include pervious paths with a maximum width of 1.5m provided they do not make up more than 10 per cent of the landscaped area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
939.20	Auckland Council	Amend [Chapter J - definition of Landscaped area] to: Landscaped area can include pervious paths with a maximum width of 1.5m provided they do not make up more than 10 per cent of the landscaped area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS394	Aedifice Property Gr	oppose
939.20	Auckland Council	Amend [Chapter J - definition of Landscaped area] to: Landscaped area can include pervious paths with a maximum width of 1.5m provided they do not make up more than 10 per cent of the landscaped area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.20	Auckland Council	Amend [Chapter J - definition of Landscaped area] to: Landscaped area can include pervious paths with a maximum width of 1.5m provided they do not make up more than 10 per cent of the landscaped area.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.21	Auckland Council	Amend [D10.9] to include a special information requirement for a landscape assessment for subdivision and development in ONLs	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.21	Auckland Council	Amend [D10.9] to include a special information requirement for a landscape assessment for subdivision and development in ONLs	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.21	Auckland Council	Amend [D10.9] to include a special information requirement for a landscape assessment for subdivision and development in ONLs	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.21	Auckland Council	Amend [D10.9] to include a special information requirement for a landscape assessment for subdivision and development in ONLs	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.21	Auckland Council	Amend [D10.9] to include a special information requirement for a landscape assessment for subdivision and development in ONLs	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.21	Auckland Council	Amend [D10.9] to include a special information requirement for a landscape assessment for subdivision and development in ONLs	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.21	Auckland Council	Amend [D10.9] to include a special information requirement for a landscape assessment for subdivision and development in ONLs	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.21	Auckland Council	Amend [D10.9] to include a special information requirement for a landscape assessment for subdivision and development in ONLs	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.21	Auckland Council	Amend [D10.9] to include a special information requirement for a landscape assessment for subdivision and development in ONLs	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
939.21	Auckland Council	Amend [D10.9] to include a special information requirement for a landscape assessment for subdivision and development in ONLs	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.21	Auckland Council	Amend [D10.9] to include a special information requirement for a landscape assessment for subdivision and development in ONLs	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.22	Auckland Council	Include ONL (along with HNC and WRHA overlays) to H3A.6.12.(3)	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Assessment Low Density Residential Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.22	Auckland Council	Include ONL (along with HNC and WRHA overlays) to H3A.6.12.(3)	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Assessment Low Density Residential Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.22	Auckland Council	Include ONL (along with HNC and WRHA overlays) to H3A.6.12.(3)	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Assessment Low Density Residential Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.22	Auckland Council	Include ONL (along with HNC and WRHA overlays) to H3A.6.12.(3)	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Assessment Low Density Residential Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.22	Auckland Council	Include ONL (along with HNC and WRHA overlays) to H3A.6.12.(3)	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Assessment Low Density Residential Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.22	Auckland Council	Include ONL (along with HNC and WRHA overlays) to H3A.6.12.(3)	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Assessment Low Density Residential Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.22	Auckland Council	Include ONL (along with HNC and WRHA overlays) to H3A.6.12.(3)	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Assessment Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.22	Auckland Council	Include ONL (along with HNC and WRHA overlays) to H3A.6.12.(3)	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Assessment Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.22	Auckland Council	Include ONL (along with HNC and WRHA overlays) to H3A.6.12.(3)	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Assessment Low Density Residential Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.22	Auckland Council	Include ONL (along with HNC and WRHA overlays) to H3A.6.12.(3)	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Assessment Low Density Residential Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.23	Auckland Council	Amend [H6.6.5(1)(a)] to: Figure H6.6.5.1 Building height below	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.23	Auckland Council	Amend [H6.6.5(1)(a)] to: Figure H6.6.5.1 Building height below	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.23	Auckland Council	Amend [H6.6.5(1)(a)] to: Figure H6.6.5.1 Building height below	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.23	Auckland Council	Amend [H6.6.5(1)(a)] to: Figure H6.6.5.1 Building height below	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.23	Auckland Council	Amend [H6.6.5(1)(a)] to: Figure H6.6.5.1 Building height below	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.23	Auckland Council	Amend [H6.6.5(1)(a)] to: Figure H6.6.5.1 Building height below	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.23	Auckland Council	Amend [H6.6.5(1)(a)] to: Figure H6.6.5.1 Building height below	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.23	Auckland Council	Amend [H6.6.5(1)(a)] to: Figure H6.6.5.1 Building height below	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.23	Auckland Council	Amend [H6.6.5(1)(a)] to: Figure H6.6.5.1 Building height below	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.23	Auckland Council	Amend [H6.6.5(1)(a)] to: Figure H6.6.5.1 Building height below	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.24	Auckland Council	Remove HVC spatial extent from LDRZ sites along Margan Place, [adjacent to Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic Reserve, Red Hill]. Amend Policy 10 of the Residential- LDR Zone to strengthen protections for cultural values associated with Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic reserve. Wording should reflect Policy H5.3(17).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.24	Auckland Council	Remove HVC spatial extent from LDRZ sites along Margan Place, [adjacent to Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic Reserve, Red Hill]. Amend Policy 10 of the Residential- LDR Zone to strengthen protections for cultural values associated with Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic reserve. Wording should reflect Policy H5.3(17).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.24	Auckland Council	Remove HVC spatial extent from LDRZ sites along Margan Place, [adjacent to Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic Reserve, Red Hill]. Amend Policy 10 of the Residential- LDR Zone to strengthen protections for cultural values associated with Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic reserve. Wording should reflect Policy H5.3(17).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.24	Auckland Council	Remove HVC spatial extent from LDRZ sites along Margan Place, [adjacent to Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic Reserve, Red Hill]. Amend Policy 10 of the Residential- LDR Zone to strengthen protections for cultural values associated with Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic reserve. Wording should reflect Policy H5.3(17).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.24	Auckland Council	Remove HVC spatial extent from LDRZ sites along Margan Place, [adjacent to Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic Reserve, Red Hill]. Amend Policy 10 of the Residential- LDR Zone to strengthen protections for cultural values associated with Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic reserve. Wording should reflect Policy H5.3(17).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.24	Auckland Council	Remove HVC spatial extent from LDRZ sites along Margan Place, [adjacent to Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic Reserve, Red Hill]. Amend Policy 10 of the Residential- LDR Zone to strengthen protections for cultural values associated with Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic reserve. Wording should reflect Policy H5.3(17).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.24	Auckland Council	Remove HVC spatial extent from LDRZ sites along Margan Place, [adjacent to Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic Reserve, Red Hill]. Amend Policy 10 of the Residential- LDR Zone to strengthen protections for cultural values associated with Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic reserve. Wording should reflect Policy H5.3(17).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.24	Auckland Council	Remove HVC spatial extent from LDRZ sites along Margan Place, [adjacent to Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic Reserve, Red Hill]. Amend Policy 10 of the Residential- LDR Zone to strengthen protections for cultural values associated with Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic reserve. Wording should reflect Policy H5.3(17).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.24	Auckland Council	Remove HVC spatial extent from LDRZ sites along Margan Place, [adjacent to Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic Reserve, Red Hill]. Amend Policy 10 of the Residential- LDR Zone to strengthen protections for cultural values associated with Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic reserve. Wording should reflect Policy H5.3(17).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.24	Auckland Council	Remove HVC spatial extent from LDRZ sites along Margan Place, [adjacent to Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic Reserve, Red Hill]. Amend Policy 10 of the Residential- LDR Zone to strengthen protections for cultural values associated with Pukekiwiriki Pa Historic reserve. Wording should reflect Policy H5.3(17).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.25	Auckland Council	Amend policy [H5.3(17)]: Restrict building height is restricted to respond to the relationship...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.25	Auckland Council	Amend policy [H5.3(17)]: Restrict building height is restricted to respond to the relationship...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.25	Auckland Council	Amend policy [H5.3(17)]: Restrict building height is restricted to respond to the relationship...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.25	Auckland Council	Amend policy [H5.3(17)]: Restrict building height is restricted to respond to the relationship...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.25	Auckland Council	Amend policy [H5.3(17)]: Restrict building height is restricted to respond to the relationship...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.25	Auckland Council	Amend policy [H5.3(17)]: Restrict building height is restricted to respond to the relationship...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.25	Auckland Council	Amend policy [H5.3(17)]: Restrict building height is restricted to respond to the relationship...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.25	Auckland Council	Amend policy [H5.3(17)]: Restrict building height is restricted to respond to the relationship...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.25	Auckland Council	Amend policy [H5.3(17)]: Restrict building height is restricted to respond to the relationship...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.25	Auckland Council	Amend policy [H5.3(17)]: Restrict building height is restricted to respond to the relationship...	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.26	Auckland Council	Amend H5 MHU references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.26	Auckland Council	Amend H5 MHU references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.26	Auckland Council	Amend H5 MHU references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.26	Auckland Council	Amend H5 MHU references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.26	Auckland Council	Amend H5 MHU references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.26	Auckland Council	Amend H5 MHU references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.26	Auckland Council	Amend H5 MHU references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.26	Auckland Council	Amend H5 MHU references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.26	Auckland Council	Amend H5 MHU references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.26	Auckland Council	Amend H5 MHU references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.27	Auckland Council	Amend H6 THAB references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.27	Auckland Council	Amend H6 THAB references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.27	Auckland Council	Amend H6 THAB references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.27	Auckland Council	Amend H6 THAB references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.27	Auckland Council	Amend H6 THAB references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.27	Auckland Council	Amend H6 THAB references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.27	Auckland Council	Amend H6 THAB references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.27	Auckland Council	Amend H6 THAB references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.27	Auckland Council	Amend H6 THAB references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.27	Auckland Council	Amend H6 THAB references to 'access' to be consistent with PC79 approach	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.28	Auckland Council	Amend [H9.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.28	Auckland Council	Amend [H9.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.28	Auckland Council	Amend [H9.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.28	Auckland Council	Amend [H9.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.28	Auckland Council	Amend [H9.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.28	Auckland Council	Amend [H9.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.28	Auckland Council	Amend [H9.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.28	Auckland Council	Amend [H9.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.28	Auckland Council	Amend [H9.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.28	Auckland Council	Amend [H9.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.29	Auckland Council	Amend [H10.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.29	Auckland Council	Amend [H10.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.29	Auckland Council	Amend [H10.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.29	Auckland Council	Amend [H10.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.29	Auckland Council	Amend [H10.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.29	Auckland Council	Amend [H10.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.29	Auckland Council	Amend [H10.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.29	Auckland Council	Amend [H10.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.29	Auckland Council	Amend [H10.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.29	Auckland Council	Amend [H10.6.1.1] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.30	Auckland Council	Amend [H11.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.30	Auckland Council	Amend [H11.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.30	Auckland Council	Amend [H11.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.30	Auckland Council	Amend [H11.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.30	Auckland Council	Amend [H11.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.30	Auckland Council	Amend [H11.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.30	Auckland Council	Amend [H11.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.30	Auckland Council	Amend [H11.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.30	Auckland Council	Amend [H11.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.30	Auckland Council	Amend [H11.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.31	Auckland Council	Amend [H12.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.31	Auckland Council	Amend [H12.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.31	Auckland Council	Amend [H12.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.31	Auckland Council	Amend [H12.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.31	Auckland Council	Amend [H12.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.31	Auckland Council	Amend [H12.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.31	Auckland Council	Amend [H12.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.31	Auckland Council	Amend [H12.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.31	Auckland Council	Amend [H12.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.31	Auckland Council	Amend [H12.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.32	Auckland Council	Amend [H13.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.32	Auckland Council	Amend [H13.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.32	Auckland Council	Amend [H13.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.32	Auckland Council	Amend [H13.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.32	Auckland Council	Amend [H13.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.32	Auckland Council	Amend [H13.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.32	Auckland Council	Amend [H13.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.32	Auckland Council	Amend [H13.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.32	Auckland Council	Amend [H13.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.32	Auckland Council	Amend [H13.6.1.2] reference for s770(f) to s770(j)	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.33	Auckland Council	Amend [H8.1] to: "The city centre is the top of the centres hierarchy and plays a pivotal role in Auckland's present and future success..."	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.33	Auckland Council	Amend [H8.1] to: "The city centre is the top of the centres hierarchy and plays a pivotal role in Auckland's present and future success..."	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.33	Auckland Council	Amend [H8.1] to: "The city centre is the top of the centres hierarchy and plays a pivotal role in Auckland's present and future success..."	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.33	Auckland Council	Amend [H8.1] to: "The city centre is the top of the centres hierarchy and plays a pivotal role in Auckland's present and future success..."	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.33	Auckland Council	Amend [H8.1] to: "The city centre is the top of the centres hierarchy and plays a pivotal role in Auckland's present and future success..."	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.33	Auckland Council	Amend [H8.1] to: "The city centre is the top of the centres hierarchy and plays a pivotal role in Auckland's present and future success..."	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.33	Auckland Council	Amend [H8.1] to: "The city centre is the top of the centres hierarchy and plays a pivotal role in Auckland's present and future success..."	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.33	Auckland Council	Amend [H8.1] to: "The city centre is the top of the centres hierarchy and plays a pivotal role in Auckland's present and future success..."	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.33	Auckland Council	Amend [H8.1] to: "The city centre is the top of the centres hierarchy and plays a pivotal role in Auckland's present and future success..."	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	oppose
939.33	Auckland Council	Amend [H8.1] to: "The city centre is the top of the centres hierarchy and plays a pivotal role in Auckland's present and future success..."	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.33	Auckland Council	Amend [H8.1] to: "The city centre is the top of the centres hierarchy and plays a pivotal role in Auckland's present and future success..."	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.34	Auckland Council	Update the incorporate, replace or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.34	Auckland Council	Update the incorporate, replace or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.34	Auckland Council	Update the incorporate, replace or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.34	Auckland Council	Update the incorporate, replace or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.34	Auckland Council	Update the incorporate, replace or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.34	Auckland Council	Update the incorporate, replace or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.34	Auckland Council	Update the incorporate, replace or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.34	Auckland Council	Update the incorporate, replace or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.34	Auckland Council	Update the incorporate, replace or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.34	Auckland Council	Update the incorporate, replace or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.35	Auckland Council	Insert incorporate, replace, or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and identification of qualifying matter rules, including application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A. Including but not limited to: activities in Table H3A.4.2(A3)-(A10), (A20), (A31)-(A38), Figure H3A.6.7.1 Building height Fig H3A.6.8.1 Height in relation to boundary, Table H3A.6.9.1 Yards (row two).	Plan making and procedural	General	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.35	Auckland Council	Insert incorporate, replace, or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and identification of qualifying matter rules, including application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A. Including but not limited to: activities in Table H3A.4.2(A3)-(A10), (A20), (A31)-(A38), Figure H3A.6.7.1 Building height Fig H3A.6.8.1 Height in relation to boundary, Table H3A.6.9.1 Yards (row two).	Plan making and procedural	General	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.35	Auckland Council	Insert incorporate, replace, or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and identification of qualifying matter rules, including application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A. Including but not limited to: activities in Table H3A.4.2(A3)-(A10), (A20), (A31)-(A38), Figure H3A.6.7.1 Building height Fig H3A.6.8.1 Height in relation to boundary, Table H3A.6.9.1 Yards (row two).	Plan making and procedural	General	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.35	Auckland Council	Insert incorporate, replace, or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and identification of qualifying matter rules, including application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A. Including but not limited to: activities in Table H3A.4.2(A3)-(A10), (A20), (A31)-(A38), Figure H3A.6.7.1 Building height Fig H3A.6.8.1 Height in relation to boundary, Table H3A.6.9.1 Yards (row two).	Plan making and procedural	General	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.35	Auckland Council	Insert incorporate, replace, or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and identification of qualifying matter rules, including application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A. Including but not limited to: activities in Table H3A.4.2(A3)-(A10), (A20), (A31)-(A38), Figure H3A.6.7.1 Building height Fig H3A.6.8.1 Height in relation to boundary, Table H3A.6.9.1 Yards (row two).	Plan making and procedural	General	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.35	Auckland Council	Insert incorporate, replace, or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and identification of qualifying matter rules, including application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A. Including but not limited to: activities in Table H3A.4.2(A3)-(A10), (A20), (A31)-(A38), Figure H3A.6.7.1 Building height Fig H3A.6.8.1 Height in relation to boundary, Table H3A.6.9.1 Yards (row two).	Plan making and procedural	General	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.35	Auckland Council	Insert incorporate, replace, or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and identification of qualifying matter rules, including application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A. Including but not limited to: activities in Table H3A.4.2(A3)-(A10), (A20), (A31)-(A38), Figure H3A.6.7.1 Building height Fig H3A.6.8.1 Height in relation to boundary, Table H3A.6.9.1 Yards (row two).	Plan making and procedural	General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.35	Auckland Council	Insert incorporate, replace, or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and identification of qualifying matter rules, including application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A. Including but not limited to: activities in Table H3A.4.2(A3)-(A10), (A20), (A31)-(A38), Figure H3A.6.7.1 Building height Fig H3A.6.8.1 Height in relation to boundary, Table H3A.6.9.1 Yards (row two).	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.35	Auckland Council	Insert incorporate, replace, or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and identification of qualifying matter rules, including application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A. Including but not limited to: activities in Table H3A.4.2(A3)-(A10), (A20), (A31)-(A38), Figure H3A.6.7.1 Building height Fig H3A.6.8.1 Height in relation to boundary, Table H3A.6.9.1 Yards (row two).	Plan making and procedural	General	FS315	Margaret Bilsland	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.35	Auckland Council	Insert incorporate, replace, or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and identification of qualifying matter rules, including application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A. Including but not limited to: activities in Table H3A.4.2(A3)-(A10), (A20), (A31)-(A38), Figure H3A.6.7.1 Building height Fig H3A.6.8.1 Height in relation to boundary, Table H3A.6.9.1 Yards (row two).	Plan making and procedural	General	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.35	Auckland Council	Insert incorporate, replace, or qualifying matter annotation as necessary to ensure correct cross-referencing to the relevant sections of the RMA and identification of qualifying matter rules, including application of annotation sidebars as described in proposed changes to Chapter A. Including but not limited to: activities in Table H3A.4.2(A3)-(A10), (A20), (A31)-(A38), Figure H3A.6.7.1 Building height Fig H3A.6.8.1 Height in relation to boundary, Table H3A.6.9.1 Yards (row two).	Plan making and procedural	General	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.36	Auckland Council	Retain and amend text in various Chapter D overlays, various Chapter L Schedules and various Chapter M Appendices to: 1. Replace any reference to clauses in the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act with references to sections in the Resource Management Act, such as in D16.1 Local Public Views Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.36	Auckland Council	Retain and amend text in various Chapter D overlays, various Chapter L Schedules and various Chapter M Appendices to: 1. Replace any reference to clauses in the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act with references to sections in the Resource Management Act, such as in D16.1 Local Public Views Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.36	Auckland Council	Retain and amend text in various Chapter D overlays, various Chapter L Schedules and various Chapter M Appendices to: 1. Replace any reference to clauses in the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act with references to sections in the Resource Management Act, such as in D16.1 Local Public Views Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.36	Auckland Council	Retain and amend text in various Chapter D overlays, various Chapter L Schedules and various Chapter M Appendices to: 1. Replace any reference to clauses in the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act with references to sections in the Resource Management Act, such as in D16.1 Local Public Views Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.36	Auckland Council	Retain and amend text in various Chapter D overlays, various Chapter L Schedules and various Chapter M Appendices to: 1. Replace any reference to clauses in the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act with references to sections in the Resource Management Act, such as in D16.1 Local Public Views Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.36	Auckland Council	Retain and amend text in various Chapter D overlays, various Chapter L Schedules and various Chapter M Appendices to: 1. Replace any reference to clauses in the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act with references to sections in the Resource Management Act, such as in D16.1 Local Public Views Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.36	Auckland Council	Retain and amend text in various Chapter D overlays, various Chapter L Schedules and various Chapter M Appendices to: 1. Replace any reference to clauses in the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act with references to sections in the Resource Management Act, such as in D16.1 Local Public Views Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.36	Auckland Council	Retain and amend text in various Chapter D overlays, various Chapter L Schedules and various Chapter M Appendices to: 1. Replace any reference to clauses in the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act with references to sections in the Resource Management Act, such as in D16.1 Local Public Views Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.36	Auckland Council	Retain and amend text in various Chapter D overlays, various Chapter L Schedules and various Chapter M Appendices to: 1. Replace any reference to clauses in the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act with references to sections in the Resource Management Act, such as in D16.1 Local Public Views Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.36	Auckland Council	Retain and amend text in various Chapter D overlays, various Chapter L Schedules and various Chapter M Appendices to: 1. Replace any reference to clauses in the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act with references to sections in the Resource Management Act, such as in D16.1 Local Public Views Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.37	Auckland Council	2. Replace any reference to an “overlay” as a qualifying matter with the particular matter that is a qualifying matter, such as in D14.1 Volcanic Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay: “This overlay has been identified as a Maunga viewshafts and height and building sensitive areas are qualifying matters in accordance with ...” Other examples include D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.37	Auckland Council	2. Replace any reference to an “overlay” as a qualifying matter with the particular matter that is a qualifying matter, such as in D14.1 Volcanic Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay: “This overlay has been identified as a Maunga viewshafts and height and building sensitive areas are qualifying matters in accordance with ...” Other examples include D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.37	Auckland Council	2. Replace any reference to an “overlay” as a qualifying matter with the particular matter that is a qualifying matter, such as in D14.1 Volcanic Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay: “This overlay has been identified as a Maunga viewshafts and height and building sensitive areas are qualifying matters in accordance with ...” Other examples include D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.37	Auckland Council	2. Replace any reference to an “overlay” as a qualifying matter with the particular matter that is a qualifying matter, such as in D14.1 Volcanic Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay: “This overlay has been identified as a Maunga viewshafts and height and building sensitive areas are qualifying matters in accordance with ...” Other examples include D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.37	Auckland Council	2. Replace any reference to an “overlay” as a qualifying matter with the particular matter that is a qualifying matter, such as in D14.1 Volcanic Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay: “This overlay has been identified as a Maunga viewshafts and height and building sensitive areas are qualifying matters in accordance with ...” Other examples include D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.37	Auckland Council	2. Replace any reference to an “overlay” as a qualifying matter with the particular matter that is a qualifying matter, such as in D14.1 Volcanic Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay: “This overlay has been identified as a Maunga viewshafts and height and building sensitive areas are qualifying matters in accordance with ...” Other examples include D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.37	Auckland Council	2. Replace any reference to an “overlay” as a qualifying matter with the particular matter that is a qualifying matter, such as in D14.1 Volcanic Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay: “This overlay has been identified as a Maunga viewshafts and height and building sensitive areas are qualifying matters in accordance with ...” Other examples include D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.37	Auckland Council	2. Replace any reference to an “overlay” as a qualifying matter with the particular matter that is a qualifying matter, such as in D14.1 Volcanic Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay: “This overlay has been identified as a Maunga viewshafts and height and building sensitive areas are qualifying matters in accordance with ...” Other examples include D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.37	Auckland Council	2. Replace any reference to an “overlay” as a qualifying matter with the particular matter that is a qualifying matter, such as in D14.1 Volcanic Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay: “This overlay has been identified as a Maunga viewshafts and height and building sensitive areas are qualifying matters in accordance with ...” Other examples include D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.37	Auckland Council	2. Replace any reference to an “overlay” as a qualifying matter with the particular matter that is a qualifying matter, such as in D14.1 Volcanic Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay: “This overlay has been identified as a Maunga viewshafts and height and building sensitive areas are qualifying matters in accordance with ...” Other examples include D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.38	Auckland Council	3. Replace phrasing “identified as a qualifying matter” or similar (and any subsequent particular identification of a place or feature) with a simpler recognition, using “is” such as at D17: “Historic heritage has been identified as is a qualifying matter...” Other examples include D10 Outstanding Natural Features Overlay and Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay, D15.1 Ridgeline Protection Overlay, D18 Special Character Areas Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay and D26 National Grid Corridor Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.38	Auckland Council	3. Replace phrasing “identified as a qualifying matter” or similar (and any subsequent particular identification of a place or feature) with a simpler recognition, using “is” such as at D17: “Historic heritage has been identified as is a qualifying matter...” Other examples include D10 Outstanding Natural Features Overlay and Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay, D15.1 Ridgeline Protection Overlay, D18 Special Character Areas Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay and D26 National Grid Corridor Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.38	Auckland Council	3. Replace phrasing “identified as a qualifying matter” or similar (and any subsequent particular identification of a place or feature) with a simpler recognition, using “is” such as at D17: “Historic heritage has been identified as is a qualifying matter...” Other examples include D10 Outstanding Natural Features Overlay and Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay, D15.1 Ridgeline Protection Overlay, D18 Special Character Areas Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay and D26 National Grid Corridor Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.38	Auckland Council	3. Replace phrasing “identified as a qualifying matter” or similar (and any subsequent particular identification of a place or feature) with a simpler recognition, using “is” such as at D17: “Historic heritage has been identified as is a qualifying matter...” Other examples include D10 Outstanding Natural Features Overlay and Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay, D15.1 Ridgeline Protection Overlay, D18 Special Character Areas Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay and D26 National Grid Corridor Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.38	Auckland Council	3. Replace phrasing “identified as a qualifying matter” or similar (and any subsequent particular identification of a place or feature) with a simpler recognition, using “is” such as at D17: “Historic heritage has been identified as is a qualifying matter...” Other examples include D10 Outstanding Natural Features Overlay and Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay, D15.1 Ridgeline Protection Overlay, D18 Special Character Areas Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay and D26 National Grid Corridor Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.38	Auckland Council	3. Replace phrasing “identified as a qualifying matter” or similar (and any subsequent particular identification of a place or feature) with a simpler recognition, using “is” such as at D17: “Historic heritage has been identified as is a qualifying matter...” Other examples include D10 Outstanding Natural Features Overlay and Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay, D15.1 Ridgeline Protection Overlay, D18 Special Character Areas Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay and D26 National Grid Corridor Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.38	Auckland Council	3. Replace phrasing “identified as a qualifying matter” or similar (and any subsequent particular identification of a place or feature) with a simpler recognition, using “is” such as at D17: “Historic heritage has been identified as is a qualifying matter...” Other examples include D10 Outstanding Natural Features Overlay and Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay, D15.1 Ridgeline Protection Overlay, D18 Special Character Areas Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay and D26 National Grid Corridor Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.38	Auckland Council	3. Replace phrasing “identified as a qualifying matter” or similar (and any subsequent particular identification of a place or feature) with a simpler recognition, using “is” such as at D17: “Historic heritage has been identified as is a qualifying matter...” Other examples include D10 Outstanding Natural Features Overlay and Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay, D15.1 Ridgeline Protection Overlay, D18 Special Character Areas Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay and D26 National Grid Corridor Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.38	Auckland Council	3. Replace phrasing “identified as a qualifying matter” or similar (and any subsequent particular identification of a place or feature) with a simpler recognition, using “is” such as at D17: “Historic heritage has been identified as is a qualifying matter...” Other examples include D10 Outstanding Natural Features Overlay and Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay, D15.1 Ridgeline Protection Overlay, D18 Special Character Areas Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay and D26 National Grid Corridor Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS315	Margaret Bilsland	support
939.38	Auckland Council	3. Replace phrasing “identified as a qualifying matter” or similar (and any subsequent particular identification of a place or feature) with a simpler recognition, using “is” such as at D17: “Historic heritage has been identified as is a qualifying matter...” Other examples include D10 Outstanding Natural Features Overlay and Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay, D15.1 Ridgeline Protection Overlay, D18 Special Character Areas Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay and D26 National Grid Corridor Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.38	Auckland Council	3. Replace phrasing “identified as a qualifying matter” or similar (and any subsequent particular identification of a place or feature) with a simpler recognition, using “is” such as at D17: “Historic heritage has been identified as is a qualifying matter...” Other examples include D10 Outstanding Natural Features Overlay and Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay, D15.1 Ridgeline Protection Overlay, D18 Special Character Areas Overlay, D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay and D26 National Grid Corridor Overlay	Plan making and procedural	General	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.39	Auckland Council	4. Replace any limit to spatial identification of qualifying matters within the Rural Urban Boundary with the urban environment, such as at D11.1 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay: “The qualifying matter relates only to the areas of high natural character and outstanding natural character within the Rural Urban Boundary urban environment.”	Plan making and procedural	General	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.39	Auckland Council	4. Replace any limit to spatial identification of qualifying matters within the Rural Urban Boundary with the urban environment, such as at D11.1 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay: “The qualifying matter relates only to the areas of high natural character and outstanding natural character within the Rural Urban Boundary urban environment.”	Plan making and procedural	General	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.39	Auckland Council	4. Replace any limit to spatial identification of qualifying matters within the Rural Urban Boundary with the urban environment, such as at D11.1 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay: “The qualifying matter relates only to the areas of high natural character and outstanding natural character within the Rural Urban Boundary urban environment.”	Plan making and procedural	General	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.39	Auckland Council	4. Replace any limit to spatial identification of qualifying matters within the Rural Urban Boundary with the urban environment, such as at D11.1 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay: “The qualifying matter relates only to the areas of high natural character and outstanding natural character within the Rural Urban Boundary urban environment.”	Plan making and procedural	General	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.39	Auckland Council	4. Replace any limit to spatial identification of qualifying matters within the Rural Urban Boundary with the urban environment, such as at D11.1 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay: “The qualifying matter relates only to the areas of high natural character and outstanding natural character within the Rural Urban Boundary urban environment.”	Plan making and procedural	General	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.39	Auckland Council	4. Replace any limit to spatial identification of qualifying matters within the Rural Urban Boundary with the urban environment, such as at D11.1 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay: “The qualifying matter relates only to the areas of high natural character and outstanding natural character within the Rural Urban Boundary urban environment.”	Plan making and procedural	General	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.39	Auckland Council	4. Replace any limit to spatial identification of qualifying matters within the Rural Urban Boundary with the urban environment, such as at D11.1 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay: “The qualifying matter relates only to the areas of high natural character and outstanding natural character within the Rural Urban Boundary urban environment.”	Plan making and procedural	General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.39	Auckland Council	4.Replace any limit to spatial identification of qualifying matters within the Rural Urban Boundary with the urban environment, such as at D11.1 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay: "The qualifying matter relates only to the areas of high natural character and outstanding natural character within the Rural Urban Boundary urban environment."	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.39	Auckland Council	4.Replace any limit to spatial identification of qualifying matters within the Rural Urban Boundary with the urban environment, such as at D11.1 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay: "The qualifying matter relates only to the areas of high natural character and outstanding natural character within the Rural Urban Boundary urban environment."	Plan making and procedural	General	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.39	Auckland Council	4.Replace any limit to spatial identification of qualifying matters within the Rural Urban Boundary with the urban environment, such as at D11.1 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay: "The qualifying matter relates only to the areas of high natural character and outstanding natural character within the Rural Urban Boundary urban environment."	Plan making and procedural	General	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.40	Auckland Council	5.Delete "The provisions of this overlay take precedence over the provisions of the underlying zone with respect to new buildings and subdivision." from D16.1 Local Public Views and D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay to be consistent with other qualifying matters, Chapter A and Chapter C and section 9(3) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.40	Auckland Council	5.Delete "The provisions of this overlay take precedence over the provisions of the underlying zone with respect to new buildings and subdivision." from D16.1 Local Public Views and D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay to be consistent with other qualifying matters, Chapter A and Chapter C and section 9(3) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.40	Auckland Council	5.Delete "The provisions of this overlay take precedence over the provisions of the underlying zone with respect to new buildings and subdivision." from D16.1 Local Public Views and D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay to be consistent with other qualifying matters, Chapter A and Chapter C and section 9(3) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.40	Auckland Council	5.Delete "The provisions of this overlay take precedence over the provisions of the underlying zone with respect to new buildings and subdivision." from D16.1 Local Public Views and D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay to be consistent with other qualifying matters, Chapter A and Chapter C and section 9(3) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.40	Auckland Council	5.Delete "The provisions of this overlay take precedence over the provisions of the underlying zone with respect to new buildings and subdivision." from D16.1 Local Public Views and D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay to be consistent with other qualifying matters, Chapter A and Chapter C and section 9(3) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.40	Auckland Council	5.Delete "The provisions of this overlay take precedence over the provisions of the underlying zone with respect to new buildings and subdivision." from D16.1 Local Public Views and D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay to be consistent with other qualifying matters, Chapter A and Chapter C and section 9(3) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.40	Auckland Council	5.Delete "The provisions of this overlay take precedence over the provisions of the underlying zone with respect to new buildings and subdivision." from D16.1 Local Public Views and D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay to be consistent with other qualifying matters, Chapter A and Chapter C and section 9(3) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.40	Auckland Council	5.Delete "The provisions of this overlay take precedence over the provisions of the underlying zone with respect to new buildings and subdivision." from D16.1 Local Public Views and D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay to be consistent with other qualifying matters, Chapter A and Chapter C and section 9(3) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.40	Auckland Council	5.Delete "The provisions of this overlay take precedence over the provisions of the underlying zone with respect to new buildings and subdivision." from D16.1 Local Public Views and D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay to be consistent with other qualifying matters, Chapter A and Chapter C and section 9(3) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.40	Auckland Council	5.Delete "The provisions of this overlay take precedence over the provisions of the underlying zone with respect to new buildings and subdivision." from D16.1 Local Public Views and D19.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay, D20A.1 Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay, D24.1 Aircraft Noise Overlay to be consistent with other qualifying matters, Chapter A and Chapter C and section 9(3) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.41	Auckland Council	1.Amend the standard [H3A.6.15] to refer to dwelling instead of residential unit. 2. Set out the same incorporated standard using the same formatting and numbering as utilised when incorporating the outlook space medium density standard into other relevant residential zones. 3. Any alternative relief to ensure consistency with other relevant residential zones in the incorporation of the density standard.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.41	Auckland Council	1.Amend the standard [H3A.6.15] to refer to dwelling instead of residential unit. 2. Set out the same incorporated standard using the same formatting and numbering as utilised when incorporating the outlook space medium density standard into other relevant residential zones. 3. Any alternative relief to ensure consistency with other relevant residential zones in the incorporation of the density standard.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.41	Auckland Council	1.Amend the standard [H3A.6.15] to refer to dwelling instead of residential unit. 2. Set out the same incorporated standard using the same formatting and numbering as utilised when incorporating the outlook space medium density standard into other relevant residential zones. 3. Any alternative relief to ensure consistency with other relevant residential zones in the incorporation of the density standard.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.41	Auckland Council	1.Amend the standard [H3A.6.15] to refer to dwelling instead of residential unit. 2. Set out the same incorporated standard using the same formatting and numbering as utilised when incorporating the outlook space medium density standard into other relevant residential zones. 3. Any alternative relief to ensure consistency with other relevant residential zones in the incorporation of the density standard.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.41	Auckland Council	1.Amend the standard [H3A.6.15] to refer to dwelling instead of residential unit. 2. Set out the same incorporated standard using the same formatting and numbering as utilised when incorporating the outlook space medium density standard into other relevant residential zones. 3. Any alternative relief to ensure consistency with other relevant residential zones in the incorporation of the density standard.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.41	Auckland Council	1.Amend the standard [H3A.6.15] to refer to dwelling instead of residential unit. 2. Set out the same incorporated standard using the same formatting and numbering as utilised when incorporating the outlook space medium density standard into other relevant residential zones. 3. Any alternative relief to ensure consistency with other relevant residential zones in the incorporation of the density standard.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.41	Auckland Council	1.Amend the standard [H3A.6.15] to refer to dwelling instead of residential unit. 2. Set out the same incorporated standard using the same formatting and numbering as utilised when incorporating the outlook space medium density standard into other relevant residential zones. 3. Any alternative relief to ensure consistency with other relevant residential zones in the incorporation of the density standard.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.41	Auckland Council	1.Amend the standard [H3A.6.15] to refer to dwelling instead of residential unit. 2. Set out the same incorporated standard using the same formatting and numbering as utilised when incorporating the outlook space medium density standard into other relevant residential zones. 3. Any alternative relief to ensure consistency with other relevant residential zones in the incorporation of the density standard.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.41	Auckland Council	1.Amend the standard [H3A.6.15] to refer to dwelling instead of residential unit. 2. Set out the same incorporated standard using the same formatting and numbering as utilised when incorporating the outlook space medium density standard into other relevant residential zones. 3. Any alternative relief to ensure consistency with other relevant residential zones in the incorporation of the density standard.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.41	Auckland Council	1.Amend the standard [H3A.6.15] to refer to dwelling instead of residential unit. 2. Set out the same incorporated standard using the same formatting and numbering as utilised when incorporating the outlook space medium density standard into other relevant residential zones. 3. Any alternative relief to ensure consistency with other relevant residential zones in the incorporation of the density standard.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.42	Auckland Council	Amend figures 10-16 of Appendix 11 to include additional temporal factors to assist with application of provisions (such as time, dates and spatial extent for sunlight admission protection). Include additional designated Open Space sites in Appendix 11.	Schedules and Appendices	Appendix 11 Business - City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.42	Auckland Council	Amend figures 10-16 of Appendix 11 to include additional temporal factors to assist with application of provisions (such as time, dates and spatial extent for sunlight admission protection). Include additional designated Open Space sites in Appendix 11.	Schedules and Appendices	Appendix 11 Business - City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.42	Auckland Council	Amend figures 10-16 of Appendix 11 to include additional temporal factors to assist with application of provisions (such as time, dates and spatial extent for sunlight admission protection). Include additional designated Open Space sites in Appendix 11.	Schedules and Appendices	Appendix 11 Business - City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.42	Auckland Council	Amend figures 10-16 of Appendix 11 to include additional temporal factors to assist with application of provisions (such as time, dates and spatial extent for sunlight admission protection). Include additional designated Open Space sites in Appendix 11.	Schedules and Appendices	Appendix 11 Business - City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.42	Auckland Council	Amend figures 10-16 of Appendix 11 to include additional temporal factors to assist with application of provisions (such as time, dates and spatial extent for sunlight admission protection). Include additional designated Open Space sites in Appendix 11.	Schedules and Appendices	Appendix 11 Business - City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.42	Auckland Council	Amend figures 10-16 of Appendix 11 to include additional temporal factors to assist with application of provisions (such as time, dates and spatial extent for sunlight admission protection). Include additional designated Open Space sites in Appendix 11.	Schedules and Appendices	Appendix 11 Business - City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.42	Auckland Council	Amend figures 10-16 of Appendix 11 to include additional temporal factors to assist with application of provisions (such as time, dates and spatial extent for sunlight admission protection). Include additional designated Open Space sites in Appendix 11.	Schedules and Appendices	Appendix 11 Business - City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.42	Auckland Council	Amend figures 10-16 of Appendix 11 to include additional temporal factors to assist with application of provisions (such as time, dates and spatial extent for sunlight admission protection). Include additional designated Open Space sites in Appendix 11.	Schedules and Appendices	Appendix 11 Business - City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.42	Auckland Council	Amend figures 10-16 of Appendix 11 to include additional temporal factors to assist with application of provisions (such as time, dates and spatial extent for sunlight admission protection). Include additional designated Open Space sites in Appendix 11.	Schedules and Appendices	Appendix 11 Business - City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	support in part
939.42	Auckland Council	Amend figures 10-16 of Appendix 11 to include additional temporal factors to assist with application of provisions (such as time, dates and spatial extent for sunlight admission protection). Include additional designated Open Space sites in Appendix 11.	Schedules and Appendices	Appendix 11 Business - City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.42	Auckland Council	Amend figures 10-16 of Appendix 11 to include additional temporal factors to assist with application of provisions (such as time, dates and spatial extent for sunlight admission protection). Include additional designated Open Space sites in Appendix 11.	Schedules and Appendices	Appendix 11 Business - City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.43	Auckland Council	Amend standard [I209.6.1] to: “(1) Buildings must not exceed the heights shown on Quay Park Precinct: Precinct plans 2 and 3”	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I209 Quay Park Precinct	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.43	Auckland Council	Amend standard [I209.6.1] to: “(1) Buildings must not exceed the heights shown on Quay Park Precinct: Precinct plans 2 and 3”	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I209 Quay Park Precinct	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.43	Auckland Council	Amend standard [I209.6.1] to: “(1) Buildings must not exceed the heights shown on Quay Park Precinct: Precinct plans 2 and 3”	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I209 Quay Park Precinct	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.43	Auckland Council	Amend standard [I209.6.1] to: “(1) Buildings must not exceed the heights shown on Quay Park Precinct: Precinct plans 2 and 3”	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I209 Quay Park Precinct	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.43	Auckland Council	Amend standard [I209.6.1] to: “(1) Buildings must not exceed the heights shown on Quay Park Precinct: Precinct plans 2 and 3”	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I209 Quay Park Precinct	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.43	Auckland Council	Amend standard [I209.6.1] to: “(1) Buildings must not exceed the heights shown on Quay Park Precinct: Precinct plans 2 and 3”	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I209 Quay Park Precinct	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.43	Auckland Council	Amend standard [I209.6.1] to: “(1) Buildings must not exceed the heights shown on Quay Park Precinct: Precinct plans 2 and 3”	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I209 Quay Park Precinct	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.43	Auckland Council	Amend standard [I209.6.1] to: “(1) Buildings must not exceed the heights shown on Quay Park Precinct: Precinct plans 2 and 3”	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I209 Quay Park Precinct	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.43	Auckland Council	Amend standard [I209.6.1] to: “(1) Buildings must not exceed the heights shown on Quay Park Precinct: Precinct plans 2 and 3”	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I209 Quay Park Precinct	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.43	Auckland Council	Amend standard [I209.6.1] to: “(1) Buildings must not exceed the heights shown on Quay Park Precinct: Precinct plans 2 and 3”	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I209 Quay Park Precinct	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.44	Auckland Council	E38 - When new sites are created through subdivision of a parent lot containing QMs and there are no QMs on the newly created site, the newly created site should have no QMs applying on the annotated maps. Insert a provision to work in the same manner as that proposed by PC83 to D13.1 Notable Trees Overlay: “The legal description of sites on which a notable tree or group of trees in Schedule 10 Notable Trees Schedule are located will be updated automatically (without a plan change under Schedule 1 of the RMA) where a subdivision occurs and where any part of a subdivided lot contains any part of the Notable Trees Overlay, including any lots which qualify as an urban environment allotment under s76(4C) of the Act.”	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.44	Auckland Council	E38 - When new sites are created through subdivision of a parent lot containing QMs and there are no QMs on the newly created site, the newly created site should have no QMs applying on the annotated maps. Insert a provision to work in the same manner as that proposed by PC83 to D13.1 Notable Trees Overlay: “The legal description of sites on which a notable tree or group of trees in Schedule 10 Notable Trees Schedule are located will be updated automatically (without a plan change under Schedule 1 of the RMA) where a subdivision occurs and where any part of a subdivided lot contains any part of the Notable Trees Overlay, including any lots which qualify as an urban environment allotment under s76(4C) of the Act.”	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.44	Auckland Council	E38 - When new sites are created through subdivision of a parent lot containing QMs and there are no QMs on the newly created site, the newly created site should have no QMs applying on the annotated maps. Insert a provision to work in the same manner as that proposed by PC83 to D13.1 Notable Trees Overlay: "The legal description of sites on which a notable tree or group of trees in Schedule 10 Notable Trees Schedule are located will be updated automatically (without a plan change under Schedule 1 of the RMA) where a subdivision occurs and where any part of a subdivided lot contains any part of the Notable Trees Overlay, including any lots which qualify as an urban environment allotment under s76(4C) of the Act."	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.44	Auckland Council	E38 - When new sites are created through subdivision of a parent lot containing QMs and there are no QMs on the newly created site, the newly created site should have no QMs applying on the annotated maps. Insert a provision to work in the same manner as that proposed by PC83 to D13.1 Notable Trees Overlay: "The legal description of sites on which a notable tree or group of trees in Schedule 10 Notable Trees Schedule are located will be updated automatically (without a plan change under Schedule 1 of the RMA) where a subdivision occurs and where any part of a subdivided lot contains any part of the Notable Trees Overlay, including any lots which qualify as an urban environment allotment under s76(4C) of the Act."	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.44	Auckland Council	E38 - When new sites are created through subdivision of a parent lot containing QMs and there are no QMs on the newly created site, the newly created site should have no QMs applying on the annotated maps. Insert a provision to work in the same manner as that proposed by PC83 to D13.1 Notable Trees Overlay: "The legal description of sites on which a notable tree or group of trees in Schedule 10 Notable Trees Schedule are located will be updated automatically (without a plan change under Schedule 1 of the RMA) where a subdivision occurs and where any part of a subdivided lot contains any part of the Notable Trees Overlay, including any lots which qualify as an urban environment allotment under s76(4C) of the Act."	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.44	Auckland Council	E38 - When new sites are created through subdivision of a parent lot containing QMs and there are no QMs on the newly created site, the newly created site should have no QMs applying on the annotated maps. Insert a provision to work in the same manner as that proposed by PC83 to D13.1 Notable Trees Overlay: "The legal description of sites on which a notable tree or group of trees in Schedule 10 Notable Trees Schedule are located will be updated automatically (without a plan change under Schedule 1 of the RMA) where a subdivision occurs and where any part of a subdivided lot contains any part of the Notable Trees Overlay, including any lots which qualify as an urban environment allotment under s76(4C) of the Act."	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.44	Auckland Council	E38 - When new sites are created through subdivision of a parent lot containing QMs and there are no QMs on the newly created site, the newly created site should have no QMs applying on the annotated maps. Insert a provision to work in the same manner as that proposed by PC83 to D13.1 Notable Trees Overlay: "The legal description of sites on which a notable tree or group of trees in Schedule 10 Notable Trees Schedule are located will be updated automatically (without a plan change under Schedule 1 of the RMA) where a subdivision occurs and where any part of a subdivided lot contains any part of the Notable Trees Overlay, including any lots which qualify as an urban environment allotment under s76(4C) of the Act."	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.44	Auckland Council	E38 - When new sites are created through subdivision of a parent lot containing QMs and there are no QMs on the newly created site, the newly created site should have no QMs applying on the annotated maps. Insert a provision to work in the same manner as that proposed by PC83 to D13.1 Notable Trees Overlay: "The legal description of sites on which a notable tree or group of trees in Schedule 10 Notable Trees Schedule are located will be updated automatically (without a plan change under Schedule 1 of the RMA) where a subdivision occurs and where any part of a subdivided lot contains any part of the Notable Trees Overlay, including any lots which qualify as an urban environment allotment under s76(4C) of the Act."	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.44	Auckland Council	E38 - When new sites are created through subdivision of a parent lot containing QMs and there are no QMs on the newly created site, the newly created site should have no QMs applying on the annotated maps. Insert a provision to work in the same manner as that proposed by PC83 to D13.1 Notable Trees Overlay: "The legal description of sites on which a notable tree or group of trees in Schedule 10 Notable Trees Schedule are located will be updated automatically (without a plan change under Schedule 1 of the RMA) where a subdivision occurs and where any part of a subdivided lot contains any part of the Notable Trees Overlay, including any lots which qualify as an urban environment allotment under s76(4C) of the Act."	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.44	Auckland Council	E38 - When new sites are created through subdivision of a parent lot containing QMs and there are no QMs on the newly created site, the newly created site should have no QMs applying on the annotated maps. Insert a provision to work in the same manner as that proposed by PC83 to D13.1 Notable Trees Overlay: "The legal description of sites on which a notable tree or group of trees in Schedule 10 Notable Trees Schedule are located will be updated automatically (without a plan change under Schedule 1 of the RMA) where a subdivision occurs and where any part of a subdivided lot contains any part of the Notable Trees Overlay, including any lots which qualify as an urban environment allotment under s76(4C) of the Act."	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.45	Auckland Council	Amend the H5 MHU density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.45	Auckland Council	Amend the H5 MHU density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.45	Auckland Council	Amend the H5 MHU density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.45	Auckland Council	Amend the H5 MHU density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.45	Auckland Council	Amend the H5 MHU density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.45	Auckland Council	Amend the H5 MHU density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.45	Auckland Council	Amend the H5 MHU density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.45	Auckland Council	Amend the H5 MHU density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.45	Auckland Council	Amend the H5 MHU density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	suport in part
939.45	Auckland Council	Amend the H5 MHU density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.45	Auckland Council	Amend the H5 MHU density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.46	Auckland Council	Amend the H6 THAB density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.46	Auckland Council	Amend the H6 THAB density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.46	Auckland Council	Amend the H6 THAB density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.46	Auckland Council	Amend the H6 THAB density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.46	Auckland Council	Amend the H6 THAB density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.46	Auckland Council	Amend the H6 THAB density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.46	Auckland Council	Amend the H6 THAB density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.46	Auckland Council	Amend the H6 THAB density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.46	Auckland Council	Amend the H6 THAB density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	suport in part
939.46	Auckland Council	Amend the H6 THAB density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.46	Auckland Council	Amend the H6 THAB density standard [glazing control related to front façade] (including purpose statement) to clarify whether glazing control applies to all of the front façade or just the one closest to the road or access	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.47	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.12(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.47	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.12(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.47	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.12(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.47	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.12(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.47	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.12(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.47	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.12(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.47	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.12(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.47	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.12(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.47	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.12(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.47	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.12(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.47	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.12(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.47	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.12(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.47	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.12(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.47	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.12(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.47	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.12(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
939.47	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.12(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.47	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.12(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.48	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.48	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.48	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.48	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.48	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.48	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.48	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.48	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.48	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
939.48	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.48	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.13(6) to read - "Outlook spaces may be over driveways within the site, over a public street, or other public open space" to be consistent with MDRS and avoid poor quality outlook over carparks that would not meet the purpose of the standard	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.49	Auckland Council	Amend standard H5.6.18(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc"	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.49	Auckland Council	Amend standard H5.6.18(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc"	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.49	Auckland Council	Amend standard H5.6.18(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc"	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.49	Auckland Council	Amend standard H5.6.18(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc"	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.49	Auckland Council	Amend standard H5.6.18(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc"	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.49	Auckland Council	Amend standard H5.6.18(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc"	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.49	Auckland Council	Amend standard H5.6.18(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc"	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.49	Auckland Council	Amend standard H5.6.18(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc"	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.49	Auckland Council	Amend standard H5.6.18(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc"	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.49	Auckland Council	Amend standard H5.6.18(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc"	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.50	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.50	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.50	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.50	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.50	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.50	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.50	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.50	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.50	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.50	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.51	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.51	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.51	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.51	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.51	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.51	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.51	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.51	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.51	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.51	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.52	Auckland Council	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc". Amend Matter of discretion:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.52	Auckland Council	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc". Amend Matter of discretion:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.52	Auckland Council	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc". Amend Matter of discretion:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.52	Auckland Council	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc". Amend Matter of discretion:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.52	Auckland Council	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc". Amend Matter of discretion:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.52	Auckland Council	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc". Amend Matter of discretion:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.52	Auckland Council	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc". Amend Matter of discretion:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.52	Auckland Council	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc". Amend Matter of discretion:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.52	Auckland Council	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc". Amend Matter of discretion:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.52	Auckland Council	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) to read - "Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways, or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway...etc". Amend Matter of discretion:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.53	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.53	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.53	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.53	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.53	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.53	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.53	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.53	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.53	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.53	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.1(2)(ia)C to read - "whether design provides for the balance between resident's privacy and opportunities for passive surveillance of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways;	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.54	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.54	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.54	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.54	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.54	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.54	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.54	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.54	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.54	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.54	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.2(2)(ae) to read - the extent to which building frontage contribute positively to the visual amenity and safety of public streets, public open spaces, public pedestrian accessways, cycleways and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways by:	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.55	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.20 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Support in part
939.55	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.20 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Support in part
939.55	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.20 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Support in part
939.55	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.20 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Support in part
939.55	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.20 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.55	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.20 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.55	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.20 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.55	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.20 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.55	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.20 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.55	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.20 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.56	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.21 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.56	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.21 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Support in part
939.56	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.21 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Support in part
939.56	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.21 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Support in part
939.56	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.21 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.56	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.21 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.56	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.21 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.56	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.21 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.56	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.21 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.56	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.21 to include 1m planted buffer as part of landscaped area if under eaves overhang up to a certain depth	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.57	Auckland Council	H5.6.11 - Recommend that an assessment criterion relating to the quality of the landscaped area should be included for all applications for 4 or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.57	Auckland Council	H5.6.11 - Recommend that an assessment criterion relating to the quality of the landscaped area should be included for all applications for 4 or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.57	Auckland Council	H5.6.11 - Recommend that an assessment criterion relating to the quality of the landscaped area should be included for all applications for 4 or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
939.57	Auckland Council	H5.6.11 - Recommend that an assessment criterion relating to the quality of the landscaped area should be included for all applications for 4 or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.57	Auckland Council	H5.6.11 - Recommend that an assessment criterion relating to the quality of the landscaped area should be included for all applications for 4 or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.58	Auckland Council	H6.6.12 - Recommend that an assessment criterion relating to the quality of the landscaped area should be included for all applications for 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.58	Auckland Council	H6.6.12 - Recommend that an assessment criterion relating to the quality of the landscaped area should be included for all applications for 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.58	Auckland Council	H6.6.12 - Recommend that an assessment criterion relating to the quality of the landscaped area should be included for all applications for 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
939.58	Auckland Council	H6.6.12 - Recommend that an assessment criterion relating to the quality of the landscaped area should be included for all applications for 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.58	Auckland Council	H6.6.12 - Recommend that an assessment criterion relating to the quality of the landscaped area should be included for all applications for 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.59	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.11 to refer to 'grass and/or plants'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.59	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.11 to refer to 'grass and/or plants'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.59	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.11 to refer to 'grass and/or plants'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.59	Auckland Council	Amend H5.6.11 to refer to 'grass and/or plants'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.60	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.12 to refer to 'grass and/or plants'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.60	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.12 to refer to 'grass and/or plants'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.60	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.12 to refer to 'grass and/or plants'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.60	Auckland Council	Amend H6.6.12 to refer to 'grass and/or plants'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.61	Auckland Council	Amend H6.3(A4)(f) to read - " minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including minimising the maximum impervious area on a site in order to manage the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated";	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.61	Auckland Council	Amend H6.3(A4)(f) to read - " minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including minimising the maximum impervious area on a site in order to manage the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated";	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.61	Auckland Council	Amend H6.3(A4)(f) to read - " minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including minimising the maximum impervious area on a site in order to manage the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated";	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.61	Auckland Council	Amend H6.3(A4)(f) to read - " minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including minimising the maximum impervious area on a site in order to manage the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated";	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.62	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.2 to add assessment criterion to "refer to Policy H.6.3(C1)"	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.62	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.2 to add assessment criterion to "refer to Policy H.6.3(C1)"	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.62	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.2 to add assessment criterion to "refer to Policy H.6.3(C1)"	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.62	Auckland Council	Amend H6.8.2 to add assessment criterion to "refer to Policy H.6.3(C1)"	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.63	Auckland Council	Amend H5.2(1) to read: Land adjacent to centres and near the public transport network is efficiently used to provide high-density urban living that increases housing capacity and choice and access to centre and public transport	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.63	Auckland Council	Amend H5.2(1) to read: Land adjacent to centres and near the public transport network is efficiently used to provide high-density urban living that increases housing capacity and choice and access to centre and public transport	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.63	Auckland Council	Amend H5.2(1) to read: Land adjacent to centres and near the public transport network is efficiently used to provide high-density urban living that increases housing capacity and choice and access to centre and public transport	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.63	Auckland Council	Amend H5.2(1) to read: Land adjacent to centres and near the public transport network is efficiently used to provide high-density urban living that increases housing capacity and choice and access to centre and public transport	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.64	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(1)(f) to read: " The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i.Footpaths of a least 1.8m in width ii.Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii.Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv.Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS43	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Support
939.64	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(1)(f) to read: " The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i.Footpaths of a least 1.8m in width ii.Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii.Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv.Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.64	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(1)(f) to read: " The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i.Footpaths of a least 1.8m in width ii.Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii.Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv.Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.64	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(1)(f) to read: " The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i.Footpaths of a least 1.8m in width ii.Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii.Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv.Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.64	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(1)(f) to read: " The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i.Footpaths of a least 1.8m in width ii.Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii.Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv.Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.64	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(1)(f) to read: " The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i.Footpaths of a least 1.8m in width ii.Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii.Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv.Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.64	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(1)(f) to read: " The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i.Footpaths of a least 1.8m in width ii.Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii.Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv.Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.64	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(1)(f) to read: " The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i.Footpaths of a least 1.8m in width ii.Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii.Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv.Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.64	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(1)(f) to read: " The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i.Footpaths of a least 1.8m in width ii.Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii.Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv.Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.64	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(1)(f) to read: " The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i.Footpaths of a least 1.8m in width ii.Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii.Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv.Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
939.64	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(1)(f) to read: " The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i.Footpaths of a least 1.8m in width ii.Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii.Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv.Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.64	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(1)(f) to read: " The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i.Footpaths of a least 1.8m in width ii.Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii.Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv.Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.65	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(i) to read: "The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i. Footpaths of at least 1.8m in width ii. Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii. Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv. Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.65	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(i) to read: "The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i. Footpaths of at least 1.8m in width ii. Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii. Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv. Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.65	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(i) to read: "The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i. Footpaths of at least 1.8m in width ii. Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii. Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv. Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.65	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(i) to read: "The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i. Footpaths of at least 1.8m in width ii. Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii. Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv. Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.65	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(i) to read: "The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i. Footpaths of at least 1.8m in width ii. Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii. Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv. Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.65	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(i) to read: "The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i. Footpaths of at least 1.8m in width ii. Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii. Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv. Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS162	The Subdivision Com	oppose
939.65	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(i) to read: "The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i. Footpaths of at least 1.8m in width ii. Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii. Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv. Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.65	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(i) to read: "The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i. Footpaths of at least 1.8m in width ii. Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii. Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv. Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.65	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(i) to read: "The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i. Footpaths of at least 1.8m in width ii. Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii. Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv. Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
939.65	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(i) to read: "The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i. Footpaths of at least 1.8m in width ii. Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii. Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv. Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.65	Auckland Council	Amend H5.8.2(2)(i) to read: "The extent to which the adjacent road network provides safe pedestrian movements, including: i. Footpaths of at least 1.8m in width ii. Facilities to safely and conveniently cross the road including pram crossings, and tactile paving iii. Narrowed pedestrian crossing distances of vehicle carriageways iv. Front berms to separate pedestrians from traffic."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.66	Auckland Council	Amend H6.2(8) to: Enable safer pedestrian movement within the immediate locality of higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement to frequent public rapid transport stops	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.66	Auckland Council	Amend H6.2(8) to: Enable safer pedestrian movement within the immediate locality of higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement to frequent public rapid transport stops	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.66	Auckland Council	Amend H6.2(8) to: Enable safer pedestrian movement within the immediate locality of higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement to frequent public rapid transport stops	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.66	Auckland Council	Amend H6.2(8) to: Enable safer pedestrian movement within the immediate locality of higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement to frequent public rapid transport stops	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.67	Auckland Council	Amend H6.3(14) to: Require development to contribute to safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment to achieve pedestrian connectivity to frequent public transport	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS43	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Support
939.67	Auckland Council	Amend H6.3(14) to: Require development to contribute to safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment to achieve pedestrian connectivity to frequent public transport	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.67	Auckland Council	Amend H6.3(14) to: Require development to contribute to safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment to achieve pedestrian connectivity to frequent public transport	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.67	Auckland Council	Amend H6.3(14) to: Require development to contribute to safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment to achieve pedestrian connectivity to frequent public transport	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.67	Auckland Council	Amend H6.3(14) to: Require development to contribute to safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment to achieve pedestrian connectivity to frequent public transport	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.68	Auckland Council	Amend H6.3.2(3)(l) to: The extent to which the immediate urban road environment allows for safe pedestrian movement from the development to the nearest frequent public transport stop (refer to the Regional Public Transport Plan) by a combination of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.68	Auckland Council	Amend H6.3.2(3)(l) to: The extent to which the immediate urban road environment allows for safe pedestrian movement from the development to the nearest frequent public transport stop (refer to the Regional Public Transport Plan) by a combination of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Oppose
939.68	Auckland Council	Amend H6.3.2(3)(l) to: The extent to which the immediate urban road environment allows for safe pedestrian movement from the development to the nearest frequent public transport stop (refer to the Regional Public Transport Plan) by a combination of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.68	Auckland Council	Amend H6.3.2(3)(l) to: The extent to which the immediate urban road environment allows for safe pedestrian movement from the development to the nearest frequent public transport stop (refer to the Regional Public Transport Plan) by a combination of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.68	Auckland Council	Amend H6.3.2(3)(l) to: The extent to which the immediate urban road environment allows for safe pedestrian movement from the development to the nearest frequent public transport stop (refer to the Regional Public Transport Plan) by a combination of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.68	Auckland Council	Amend H6.3.2(3)(l) to: The extent to which the immediate urban road environment allows for safe pedestrian movement from the development to the nearest frequent public transport stop (refer to the Regional Public Transport Plan) by a combination of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.68	Auckland Council	Amend H6.3.2(3)(l) to: The extent to which the immediate urban road environment allows for safe pedestrian movement from the development to the nearest frequent public transport stop (refer to the Regional Public Transport Plan) by a combination of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.68	Auckland Council	Amend H6.3.2(3)(l) to: The extent to which the immediate urban road environment allows for safe pedestrian movement from the development to the nearest frequent public transport stop (refer to the Regional Public Transport Plan) by a combination of the following:...	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.69	Auckland Council	Delete Height Variation Control from 9 Margan Place Redhill	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.69	Auckland Council	Delete Height Variation Control from 9 Margan Place Redhill	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.69	Auckland Council	Delete Height Variation Control from 9 Margan Place Redhill	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.69	Auckland Council	Delete Height Variation Control from 9 Margan Place Redhill	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.70	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS56	Envivo Limited	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.70	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Oppose
939.70	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Support in part
939.70	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Support in part
939.70	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	support in
939.70	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS162	The Subdivision Com	support in
939.70	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.70	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.70	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.70	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.70	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.71	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS56	Envivo Limited	Support
939.71	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Support in part
939.71	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Support in part
939.71	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Support in part
939.71	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	support in
939.71	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS162	The Subdivision Com	support in
939.71	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.71	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.71	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
939.71	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.71	Auckland Council	The floodplain (i) layer in the notified Plan Change 78 viewer is incorrectly displayed. Extraneous data has been loaded into the viewer and mismatches the flooding layer in Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.  Amend maps by updating floodplain maps by removing extraneous data, and matching the flooding (i) dataset within Plan Change 78 map viewer with that available Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.72	Auckland Council	Refine the application of Residential Low Density Residential Zone for flooding QM based on the flooding (i) dataset available on Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.72	Auckland Council	Refine the application of Residential Low Density Residential Zone for flooding QM based on the flooding (i) dataset available on Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.72	Auckland Council	Refine the application of Residential Low Density Residential Zone for flooding QM based on the flooding (i) dataset available on Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.72	Auckland Council	Refine the application of Residential Low Density Residential Zone for flooding QM based on the flooding (i) dataset available on Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.73	Auckland Council	Refine the application of Residential Low Density Residential Zone for flooding QM based on the flooding (i) dataset available on Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS71	Yu Family Investments Limited	Oppose
939.73	Auckland Council	Refine the application of Residential Low Density Residential Zone for flooding QM based on the flooding (i) dataset available on Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.73	Auckland Council	Refine the application of Residential Low Density Residential Zone for flooding QM based on the flooding (i) dataset available on Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.73	Auckland Council	Refine the application of Residential Low Density Residential Zone for flooding QM based on the flooding (i) dataset available on Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS371	J&S West Trading Lin	support
939.73	Auckland Council	Refine the application of Residential Low Density Residential Zone for flooding QM based on the flooding (i) dataset available on Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.73	Auckland Council	Refine the application of Residential Low Density Residential Zone for flooding QM based on the flooding (i) dataset available on Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.73	Auckland Council	Refine the application of Residential Low Density Residential Zone for flooding QM based on the flooding (i) dataset available on Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS495	Robertson Boats Limited and Conrad Robertson	support in part
939.73	Auckland Council	Refine the application of Residential Low Density Residential Zone for flooding QM based on the flooding (i) dataset available on Auckland Council's publicly available geomaps.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS501	Falls Road LP	support in part
939.74	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential Low Density Residential Zone to Residential MHU Zone, or where the land is either within a walkable catchment or a Policy 3d location, to Residential THAB Zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is not required.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.74	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential Low Density Residential Zone to Residential MHU Zone, or where the land is either within a walkable catchment or a Policy 3d location, to Residential THAB Zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is not required.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.74	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential Low Density Residential Zone to Residential MHU Zone, or where the land is either within a walkable catchment or a Policy 3d location, to Residential THAB Zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is not required.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.74	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential Low Density Residential Zone to Residential MHU Zone, or where the land is either within a walkable catchment or a Policy 3d location, to Residential THAB Zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is not required.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.74	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential Low Density Residential Zone to Residential MHU Zone, or where the land is either within a walkable catchment or a Policy 3d location, to Residential THAB Zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is not required.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.74	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential Low Density Residential Zone to Residential MHU Zone, or where the land is either within a walkable catchment or a Policy 3d location, to Residential THAB Zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is not required.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.75	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential Low Density Residential Zone to Residential MHU Zone, or where the land is either within a walkable catchment or a Policy 3d location, to Residential THAB Zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is not required.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.75	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential Low Density Residential Zone to Residential MHU Zone, or where the land is either within a walkable catchment or a Policy 3d location, to Residential THAB Zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is not required.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.75	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential Low Density Residential Zone to Residential MHU Zone, or where the land is either within a walkable catchment or a Policy 3d location, to Residential THAB Zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is not required.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.75	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential Low Density Residential Zone to Residential MHU Zone, or where the land is either within a walkable catchment or a Policy 3d location, to Residential THAB Zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is not required.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.75	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential Low Density Residential Zone to Residential MHU Zone, or where the land is either within a walkable catchment or a Policy 3d location, to Residential THAB Zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is not required.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS371	J&S West Trading Lin	support
939.75	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential Low Density Residential Zone to Residential MHU Zone, or where the land is either within a walkable catchment or a Policy 3d location, to Residential THAB Zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is not required.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.75	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential Low Density Residential Zone to Residential MHU Zone, or where the land is either within a walkable catchment or a Policy 3d location, to Residential THAB Zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is not required.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.75	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential Low Density Residential Zone to Residential MHU Zone, or where the land is either within a walkable catchment or a Policy 3d location, to Residential THAB Zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is not required.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS495	Robertson Boats Limited and Conrad Robertson	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.75	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential Low Density Residential Zone to Residential MHU Zone, or where the land is either within a walkable catchment or a Policy 3d location, to Residential THAB Zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is not required.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS501	Falls Road LP	support
939.76	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential MHU zone or THAB zone to Residential Low Density Residential zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is required. This will only apply to land currently zoned Residential Single House in the AUP.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS71	Yu Family Investments Limited	Oppose
939.76	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential MHU zone or THAB zone to Residential Low Density Residential zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is required. This will only apply to land currently zoned Residential Single House in the AUP.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.76	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential MHU zone or THAB zone to Residential Low Density Residential zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is required. This will only apply to land currently zoned Residential Single House in the AUP.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.76	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential MHU zone or THAB zone to Residential Low Density Residential zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is required. This will only apply to land currently zoned Residential Single House in the AUP.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.76	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential MHU zone or THAB zone to Residential Low Density Residential zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is required. This will only apply to land currently zoned Residential Single House in the AUP.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.76	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential MHU zone or THAB zone to Residential Low Density Residential zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is required. This will only apply to land currently zoned Residential Single House in the AUP.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
939.76	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential MHU zone or THAB zone to Residential Low Density Residential zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is required. This will only apply to land currently zoned Residential Single House in the AUP.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.76	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential MHU zone or THAB zone to Residential Low Density Residential zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is required. This will only apply to land currently zoned Residential Single House in the AUP.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.77	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential MHU zone or THAB zone to Residential Low Density Residential zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is required. This will only apply to land currently zoned Residential Single House in the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS71	Yu Family Investments Limited	Oppose
939.77	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential MHU zone or THAB zone to Residential Low Density Residential zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is required. This will only apply to land currently zoned Residential Single House in the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS158	Arkcon Ltd	Oppose
939.77	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential MHU zone or THAB zone to Residential Low Density Residential zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is required. This will only apply to land currently zoned Residential Single House in the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Oppose
939.77	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential MHU zone or THAB zone to Residential Low Density Residential zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is required. This will only apply to land currently zoned Residential Single House in the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.77	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential MHU zone or THAB zone to Residential Low Density Residential zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is required. This will only apply to land currently zoned Residential Single House in the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.77	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential MHU zone or THAB zone to Residential Low Density Residential zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is required. This will only apply to land currently zoned Residential Single House in the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
939.77	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential MHU zone or THAB zone to Residential Low Density Residential zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is required. This will only apply to land currently zoned Residential Single House in the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.77	Auckland Council	Amend maps to re-zone land from Residential MHU zone or THAB zone to Residential Low Density Residential zone, where a zoning response for flood QM is required. This will only apply to land currently zoned Residential Single House in the AUP.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.78	Auckland Council	Rectify split zoning which occurred through GIS processes. Whole properties should be zoned uniformly	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS160	Jeremy Adams	Support
939.78	Auckland Council	Rectify split zoning which occurred through GIS processes. Whole properties should be zoned uniformly	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.78	Auckland Council	Rectify split zoning which occurred through GIS processes. Whole properties should be zoned uniformly	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.78	Auckland Council	Rectify split zoning which occurred through GIS processes. Whole properties should be zoned uniformly	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.78	Auckland Council	Rectify split zoning which occurred through GIS processes. Whole properties should be zoned uniformly	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.79	Auckland Council	Amend Plan Change 78 map viewer to show precincts and designations without QMs in a different outline colour to those for which QMs are present. This will aid interpretation of the maps and related text.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.79	Auckland Council	Amend Plan Change 78 map viewer to show precincts and designations without QMs in a different outline colour to those for which QMs are present. This will aid interpretation of the maps and related text.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS184	Kiwi Property Group	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.79	Auckland Council	Amend Plan Change 78 map viewer to show precincts and designations without QMs in a different outline colour to those for which QMs are present. This will aid interpretation of the maps and related text.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.79	Auckland Council	Amend Plan Change 78 map viewer to show precincts and designations without QMs in a different outline colour to those for which QMs are present. This will aid interpretation of the maps and related text.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	oppose in part
939.79	Auckland Council	Amend Plan Change 78 map viewer to show precincts and designations without QMs in a different outline colour to those for which QMs are present. This will aid interpretation of the maps and related text.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.79	Auckland Council	Amend Plan Change 78 map viewer to show precincts and designations without QMs in a different outline colour to those for which QMs are present. This will aid interpretation of the maps and related text.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - errors (transcription)	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.80	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2.  Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a QM is proposed which requires a zoning response). Seek that refinement be made to properties around Woolfield Rd, Timmer Tce, Shirly Rd, and Coronation Rd.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.80	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2.  Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a QM is proposed which requires a zoning response). Seek that refinement be made to properties around Woolfield Rd, Timmer Tce, Shirly Rd, and Coronation Rd.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.80	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2.  Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a QM is proposed which requires a zoning response). Seek that refinement be made to properties around Woolfield Rd, Timmer Tce, Shirly Rd, and Coronation Rd.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.80	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2.  Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a QM is proposed which requires a zoning response). Seek that refinement be made to properties around Woolfield Rd, Timmer Tce, Shirly Rd, and Coronation Rd.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.81	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2.  Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.81	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2. Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.81	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2. Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.81	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2. Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.82	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2. Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a QM is proposed which requires a zoning response).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.82	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2. Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a QM is proposed which requires a zoning response).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.82	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2. Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a QM is proposed which requires a zoning response).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.82	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2. Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a QM is proposed which requires a zoning response).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.83	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2. Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a QM is proposed which requires a zoning response).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS161	Domain Gardens Dev	oppose
939.83	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2. Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a QM is proposed which requires a zoning response).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.83	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2. Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a QM is proposed which requires a zoning response).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.83	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2. Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a QM is proposed which requires a zoning response).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
939.83	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2. Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a QM is proposed which requires a zoning response).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.83	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2. Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a QM is proposed which requires a zoning response).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.84	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2. Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a QM is proposed which requires a zoning response).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.84	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2. Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a QM is proposed which requires a zoning response).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.84	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2. Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a QM is proposed which requires a zoning response).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.84	Auckland Council	Refine the walkable catchment based on updated information about station entrances, and as shown in Attachment 2. Seek consequential changes to proposed zoning as identified (unless a QM is proposed which requires a zoning response).	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.85	Auckland Council	Correctly label the station. Delete "Pakuranga Bus Station" label and replace with "Williams Avenue Station".	Plan making and procedural	General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.85	Auckland Council	Correctly label the station. Delete "Pakuranga Bus Station" label and replace with "Williams Avenue Station".	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.85	Auckland Council	Correctly label the station. Delete "Pakuranga Bus Station" label and replace with "Williams Avenue Station".	Plan making and procedural	General	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.85	Auckland Council	Correctly label the station. Delete "Pakuranga Bus Station" label and replace with "Williams Avenue Station".	Plan making and procedural	General	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.86	Auckland Council	Reposition the Height Variation Control within the "spatially identified qualifying matters" section of Plan Change 78 map viewer layers tab and legend (and corresponding changes to the AUP when Plan Change 78 is made operative).	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.86	Auckland Council	Reposition the Height Variation Control within the "spatially identified qualifying matters" section of Plan Change 78 map viewer layers tab and legend (and corresponding changes to the AUP when Plan Change 78 is made operative).	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.86	Auckland Council	Reposition the Height Variation Control within the "spatially identified qualifying matters" section of Plan Change 78 map viewer layers tab and legend (and corresponding changes to the AUP when Plan Change 78 is made operative).	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.86	Auckland Council	Reposition the Height Variation Control within the "spatially identified qualifying matters" section of Plan Change 78 map viewer layers tab and legend (and corresponding changes to the AUP when Plan Change 78 is made operative).	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.87	Auckland Council	Remove reference to the standard H3A.6.4 for rule A2 as inclusion of the standard here would make development less enabling than the status quo. See below proposed alternative rules A10A and A10B.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.87	Auckland Council	Remove reference to the standard H3A.6.4 for rule A2 as inclusion of the standard here would make development less enabling than the status quo. See below proposed alternative rules A10A and A10B.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.87	Auckland Council	Remove reference to the standard H3A.6.4 for rule A2 as inclusion of the standard here would make development less enabling than the status quo. See below proposed alternative rules A10A and A10B.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.87	Auckland Council	Remove reference to the standard H3A.6.4 for rule A2 as inclusion of the standard here would make development less enabling than the status quo. See below proposed alternative rules A10A and A10B.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.88	Auckland Council	Remove reference to the standard H3A.6.4 (A3) as this should be captured by A10 as amended below. Amend A10 to read: More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control or the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.88	Auckland Council	Remove reference to the standard H3A.6.4 (A3) as this should be captured by A10 as amended below. Amend A10 to read: More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control or the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
939.88	Auckland Council	Remove reference to the standard H3A.6.4 (A3) as this should be captured by A10 as amended below. Amend A10 to read: More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control or the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.88	Auckland Council	Remove reference to the standard H3A.6.4 (A3) as this should be captured by A10 as amended below. Amend A10 to read: More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control or the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose
939.88	Auckland Council	Remove reference to the standard H3A.6.4 (A3) as this should be captured by A10 as amended below. Amend A10 to read: More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control or the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.88	Auckland Council	Remove reference to the standard H3A.6.4 (A3) as this should be captured by A10 as amended below. Amend A10 to read: More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control or the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.89	Auckland Council	Add new rule to Table H3A.4.1: (A10A) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control complying with Standard H3A.6.4 Status = Permitted	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.89	Auckland Council	Add new rule to Table H3A.4.1: (A10A) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control complying with Standard H3A.6.4 Status = Permitted	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
939.89	Auckland Council	Add new rule to Table H3A.4.1: (A10A) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control complying with Standard H3A.6.4 Status = Permitted	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.89	Auckland Council	Add new rule to Table H3A.4.1: (A10A) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control complying with Standard H3A.6.4 Status = Permitted	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.89	Auckland Council	Add new rule to Table H3A.4.1: (A10A) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control complying with Standard H3A.6.4 Status = Permitted	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.90	Auckland Council	Add new rule to Table H3A.4.1: (A10B) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control not complying with Standard H3A.6.4 Status = RD	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.90	Auckland Council	Add new rule to Table H3A.4.1: (A10B) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control not complying with Standard H3A.6.4 Status = RD	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.90	Auckland Council	Add new rule to Table H3A.4.1: (A10B) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control not complying with Standard H3A.6.4 Status = RD	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.90	Auckland Council	Add new rule to Table H3A.4.1: (A10B) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control not complying with Standard H3A.6.4 Status = RD	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.91	Auckland Council	Remove reference to standard H3A.6.4 [from Table H3A.4.1 and rules H3A.4.1(A4), H3A.4.1(A5), H3A.4.1(A6), H3A.4.1(A7), H3A.4.1(A8), H3A.4.1(A9)] as the standard is not related to these overlays	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.91	Auckland Council	Remove reference to standard H3A.6.4 [from Table H3A.4.1 and rules H3A.4.1(A4), H3A.4.1(A5), H3A.4.1(A6), H3A.4.1(A7), H3A.4.1(A8), H3A.4.1(A9)] as the standard is not related to these overlays	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.91	Auckland Council	Remove reference to standard H3A.6.4 [from Table H3A.4.1 and rules H3A.4.1(A4), H3A.4.1(A5), H3A.4.1(A6), H3A.4.1(A7), H3A.4.1(A8), H3A.4.1(A9)] as the standard is not related to these overlays	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.91	Auckland Council	Remove reference to standard H3A.6.4 [from Table H3A.4.1 and rules H3A.4.1(A4), H3A.4.1(A5), H3A.4.1(A6), H3A.4.1(A7), H3A.4.1(A8), H3A.4.1(A9)] as the standard is not related to these overlays	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.92	Auckland Council	Remove reference to standard H5.6.3B from H5.4.1(A2A) as the standard is not related to the SEA overlay	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.92	Auckland Council	Remove reference to standard H5.6.3B from H5.4.1(A2A) as the standard is not related to the SEA overlay	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.92	Auckland Council	Remove reference to standard H5.6.3B from H5.4.1(A2A) as the standard is not related to the SEA overlay	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.92	Auckland Council	Remove reference to standard H5.6.3B from H5.4.1(A2A) as the standard is not related to the SEA overlay	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.92	Auckland Council	Remove reference to standard H5.6.3B from H5.4.1(A2A) as the standard is not related to the SEA overlay	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.93	Auckland Council	Remove reference to standard H5.6.3B from H5.4.1(2B) as the standard is not related to the SEA overlay	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.93	Auckland Council	Remove reference to standard H5.6.3B from H5.4.1(2B) as the standard is not related to the SEA overlay	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
939.93	Auckland Council	Remove reference to standard H5.6.3B from H5.4.1(2B) as the standard is not related to the SEA overlay	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.93	Auckland Council	Remove reference to standard H5.6.3B from H5.4.1(2B) as the standard is not related to the SEA overlay	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.93	Auckland Council	Remove reference to standard H5.6.3B from H5.4.1(2B) as the standard is not related to the SEA overlay	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.94	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1(A3) to delete reference to standard H5.6.3B as this should be captured under amended A14B	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.94	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1(A3) to delete reference to standard H5.6.3B as this should be captured under amended A14B	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.94	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1(A3) to delete reference to standard H5.6.3B as this should be captured under amended A14B	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.94	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1(A3) to delete reference to standard H5.6.3B as this should be captured under amended A14B	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.94	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1(A3) to delete reference to standard H5.6.3B as this should be captured under amended A14B	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.95	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1(A4) to delete reference to standard H5.6.3B as this should be captured under amended A14C	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.95	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1(A4) to delete reference to standard H5.6.3B as this should be captured under amended A14C	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
939.95	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1(A4) to delete reference to standard H5.6.3B as this should be captured under amended A14C	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.95	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1(A4) to delete reference to standard H5.6.3B as this should be captured under amended A14C	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.95	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1(A4) to delete reference to standard H5.6.3B as this should be captured under amended A14C	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.96	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1(A14B) to read: "One dwelling per site in the Infrastructure-Combined Wastewater network Control or the Infrastructure-Water and Wastewater constraints control..."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.96	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1(A14B) to read: "One dwelling per site in the Infrastructure-Combined Wastewater network Control or the Infrastructure-Water and Wastewater constraints control..."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.96	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1(A14B) to read: "One dwelling per site in the Infrastructure-Combined Wastewater network Control or the Infrastructure-Water and Wastewater constraints control..."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
939.96	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1(A14B) to read: "One dwelling per site in the Infrastructure-Combined Wastewater network Control or the Infrastructure-Water and Wastewater constraints control..."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.96	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1(A14B) to read: "One dwelling per site in the Infrastructure-Combined Wastewater network Control or the Infrastructure-Water and Wastewater constraints control..."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.97	Auckland Council	Amend H4.4.1(A14C) to read: "Two or more More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure-Water and Wastewater Constraints Control..."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.97	Auckland Council	Amend H4.4.1(A14C) to read: "Two or more More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure-Water and Wastewater Constraints Control..."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.97	Auckland Council	Amend H4.4.1(A14C) to read: "Two or more More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure-Water and Wastewater Constraints Control..."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
939.97	Auckland Council	Amend H4.4.1(A14C) to read: "Two or more More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure-Water and Wastewater Constraints Control..."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.97	Auckland Council	Amend H4.4.1(A14C) to read: "Two or more More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure-Water and Wastewater Constraints Control..."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.98	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1 to include: (A14D) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control complying with Standard H5.6.3B Status = Permitted	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.98	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1 to include: (A14D) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control complying with Standard H5.6.3B Status = Permitted	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
939.98	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1 to include: (A14D) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control complying with Standard H5.6.3B Status = Permitted	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.98	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1 to include: (A14D) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control complying with Standard H5.6.3B Status = Permitted	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
939.98	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1 to include: (A14D) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control complying with Standard H5.6.3B Status = Permitted	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.98	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1 to include: (A14D) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control complying with Standard H5.6.3B Status = Permitted	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.99	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1 to include: (A14E) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control not complying with Standard H5.6.3B Status: RD	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.99	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1 to include: (A14E) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control not complying with Standard H5.6.3B Status: RD	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
939.99	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1 to include: (A14E) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control not complying with Standard H5.6.3B Status: RD	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.99	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1 to include: (A14E) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control not complying with Standard H5.6.3B Status: RD	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.99	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1 to include: (A14E) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control not complying with Standard H5.6.3B Status: RD	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.99	Auckland Council	Amend H5.4.1 to include: (A14E) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control not complying with Standard H5.6.3B Status: RD	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.100	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2A) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4B as the standard does not relate to the SEA Overlay.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.100	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2A) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4B as the standard does not relate to the SEA Overlay.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.100	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2A) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4B as the standard does not relate to the SEA Overlay.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.100	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2A) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4B as the standard does not relate to the SEA Overlay.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.101	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2B) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4b as the standard does not relate to the SEA Overlay.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.101	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2B) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4b as the standard does not relate to the SEA Overlay.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
939.101	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2B) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4b as the standard does not relate to the SEA Overlay.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.101	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2B) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4b as the standard does not relate to the SEA Overlay.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.101	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A2B) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4b as the standard does not relate to the SEA Overlay.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.102	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A3) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4B as this should be captured under amended A3B, see below.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.102	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A3) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4B as this should be captured under amended A3B, see below.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.102	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A3) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4B as this should be captured under amended A3B, see below.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.102	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A3) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4B as this should be captured under amended A3B, see below.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.103	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A3A) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4B as this should be captured under amended A3C, see below.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.103	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A3A) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4B as this should be captured under amended A3C, see below.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.103	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A3A) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4B as this should be captured under amended A3C, see below.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.103	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A3A) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4B as this should be captured under amended A3C, see below.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.104	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A3B) to read "One dwelling per site in the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure Water and Wastewater Constraints Control"	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.104	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A3B) to read "One dwelling per site in the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure Water and Wastewater Constraints Control"	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.104	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A3B) to read "One dwelling per site in the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure Water and Wastewater Constraints Control"	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
939.104	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A3B) to read "One dwelling per site in the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure Water and Wastewater Constraints Control"	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.104	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A3B) to read "One dwelling per site in the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure Water and Wastewater Constraints Control"	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.105	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A3C) to read: "More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure - Water and Wastewater Constraints Control"	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.105	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A3C) to read: "More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure - Water and Wastewater Constraints Control"	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.105	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A3C) to read: "More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure - Water and Wastewater Constraints Control"	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.105	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A3C) to read: "More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure - Water and Wastewater Constraints Control"	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.105	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A3C) to read: "More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure - Water and Wastewater Constraints Control"	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.106	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1 to include new rule as follows: (A3D) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control complying with Standard H6.6.4B Status = Permitted	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.106	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1 to include new rule as follows: (A3D) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control complying with Standard H6.6.4B Status = Permitted	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.106	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1 to include new rule as follows: (A3D) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control complying with Standard H6.6.4B Status = Permitted	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
939.106	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1 to include new rule as follows: (A3D) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control complying with Standard H6.6.4B Status = Permitted	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.106	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1 to include new rule as follows: (A3D) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control complying with Standard H6.6.4B Status = Permitted	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.107	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1 to include new rule as follows: (A3E) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control not complying with Standard H6.6.4B Status: RD	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.107	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1 to include new rule as follows: (A3E) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control not complying with Standard H6.6.4B Status: RD	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
939.107	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1 to include new rule as follows: (A3E) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control not complying with Standard H6.6.4B Status: RD	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
939.107	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1 to include new rule as follows: (A3E) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control not complying with Standard H6.6.4B Status: RD	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.107	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1 to include new rule as follows: (A3E) More than one dwelling per site in the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control not complying with Standard H6.6.4B Status: RD	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
939.108	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A7) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4B as this is captured under H6.8.2 assessment criteria (3) (ic) and (id) and (3) (j).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
939.108	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A7) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4B as this is captured under H6.8.2 assessment criteria (3) (ic) and (id) and (3) (j).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
939.108	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A7) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4B as this is captured under H6.8.2 assessment criteria (3) (ic) and (id) and (3) (j).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	oppose in part
939.108	Auckland Council	Amend H6.4.1(A7) to delete reference to standard H6.6.4B as this is captured under H6.8.2 assessment criteria (3) (ic) and (id) and (3) (j).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS472	North Eastern Investments Limited	support in part
940.4	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Amend Chapter A A1.4.5 from notified version as follows: 'The provisions in Chapter K Designations and the related maps are district plan provisions. Some Ddesignations in the urban environment are qualifying matters.'	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS461	Hugh Green Limited	oppose
940.16	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Retain Objective E38.2(11) as follows: 'Medium Density Residential Standards Objectives (11) Provide for subdivision which enables the level of development anticipated by the RMA, except in circumstances where one or more qualifying matters are relevant.'	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS268	New Zealand Defence Force	Support
940.17	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Retain Policy E38.3(33) as notified.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS268	New Zealand Defence Force	Support
940.18	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Retain Policy E38.3(34) as notified.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS268	New Zealand Defence Force	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
940.21	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Amend Objective H3A.2. as follows: '(1) Development maintains and is in keeping with the identified qualifying matters' values within the area and their lower intensity residential development, relative to development enabled by the MDRS, being limited to predominantly one to two storeys buildings where qualifying matters are not compromised.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS441	Radio New Zealand	support
940.22	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Add new Objective in H3A.2 Specific Qualifying Matter Objectives as follows: 'x. Development does not compromise the efficient development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of the National Grid.' Or alternatively include Unitary Plan Objective D26.2(1) in the IPI.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS462	Industre Property Tahī Limited	support
940.25	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Amend Policy H3A.3(7) as follows: '(7) Require more intensive residential development including Medium Density Residential development to be enabled only to the extent necessary, ensuring that it does not detract from, or compromise, the identified qualifying matters' values.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS441	Radio New Zealand	support
940.27	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Amend Policy H3A.3(18) as follows: '(18) Enable a variety of housing typologies with a mix of densities within the zone, including 3-storey attached and detached dwellings, and low-rise apartments, while avoiding inappropriate locations, heights and densities of buildings and development within qualifying matter areas as specified by the relevant qualifying matter area provisions.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS441	Radio New Zealand	support
940.32	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Add a new Objective in H5.2 as follows: 'x. Development does not compromise the efficient development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of the National Grid.' Or alternatively include Unitary Plan Objective D26.2(1) in the IPI.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose in part
940.32	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Add a new Objective in H5.2 as follows: 'x. Development does not compromise the efficient development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of the National Grid.' Or alternatively include Unitary Plan Objective D26.2(1) in the IPI.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS461	Hugh Green Limited	oppose
940.32	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Add a new Objective in H5.2 as follows: 'x. Development does not compromise the efficient development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of the National Grid.' Or alternatively include Unitary Plan Objective D26.2(1) in the IPI.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS462	Industre Property Tahī Limited	support
940.33	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Amend Policy H5.3(A1) as follows: '(A1) Enable a variety of housing typologies with a mix of densities within the zone, including 3-storey attached and detached dwellings, and low-rise apartments, while avoiding inappropriate locations, heights and densities of buildings and development within qualifying matter areas as specified by the relevant qualifying matter area provisions.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
940.33	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Amend Policy H5.3(A1) as follows: '(A1) Enable a variety of housing typologies with a mix of densities within the zone, including 3-storey attached and detached dwellings, and low-rise apartments, while avoiding inappropriate locations, heights and densities of buildings and development within qualifying matter areas as specified by the relevant qualifying matter area provisions.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS441	Radio New Zealand	support
940.33	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Amend Policy H5.3(A1) as follows: '(A1) Enable a variety of housing typologies with a mix of densities within the zone, including 3-storey attached and detached dwellings, and low-rise apartments, while avoiding inappropriate locations, heights and densities of buildings and development within qualifying matter areas as specified by the relevant qualifying matter area provisions.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS461	Hugh Green Limited	oppose
940.35	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Insert a new Policy in H5.3 to address National Grid as a qualifying matter [refer to page 22 and 23 of the submission for proposed plan text]. Or alternatively include Unitary Plan Policy D26.3(1) in the IPI.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose in part
940.35	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Insert a new Policy in H5.3 to address National Grid as a qualifying matter [refer to page 22 and 23 of the submission for proposed plan text]. Or alternatively include Unitary Plan Policy D26.3(1) in the IPI.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS461	Hugh Green Limited	oppose
940.39	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Add a new Objective in H6.2 as follows: 'x. Development does not compromise the efficient development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of the National Grid.' Or alternatively include Unitary Plan Objective D26.2(1) in the IPI.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose in part
940.39	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Add a new Objective in H6.2 as follows: 'x. Development does not compromise the efficient development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of the National Grid.' Or alternatively include Unitary Plan Objective D26.2(1) in the IPI.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS461	Hugh Green Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
940.39	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Add a new Objective in H6.2 as follows: 'x. Development does not compromise the efficient development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of the National Grid.' Or alternatively include Unitary Plan Objective D26.2(1) in the IPI.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS462	Industre Property Tahī Limited	support
940.40	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Amend Policy H6.3(A1) as follows: '(A1) Enable a variety of housing typologies with a mix of densities within the zone, including 3-storey attached and detached dwellings, and low-rise apartments, while avoiding inappropriate locations, heights and densities of buildings and development within qualifying matter areas as specified by the relevant qualifying matter area provisions.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
940.40	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Amend Policy H6.3(A1) as follows: '(A1) Enable a variety of housing typologies with a mix of densities within the zone, including 3-storey attached and detached dwellings, and low-rise apartments, while avoiding inappropriate locations, heights and densities of buildings and development within qualifying matter areas as specified by the relevant qualifying matter area provisions.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS441	Radio New Zealand	support
940.42	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Insert a new Policy in H6.3 to address National Grid as a qualifying matter [refer to page 24 and 25 of the submission for proposed plan text]. Or alternatively include Unitary Plan Policy D26.3(1) in the IPI.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose in part
940.42	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Insert a new Policy in H6.3 to address National Grid as a qualifying matter [refer to page 24 and 25 of the submission for proposed plan text]. Or alternatively include Unitary Plan Policy D26.3(1) in the IPI.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS461	Hugh Green Limited	oppose
940.43	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Insert a new definition of 'qualifying matter' into Chapter J [refer to page 25 and 26 of submission for proposed plan text].	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
940.43	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Insert a new definition of 'qualifying matter' into Chapter J [refer to page 25 and 26 of submission for proposed plan text].	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS441	Radio New Zealand	support
940.44	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Amend Chapter K Designations as follows: 'Some Ddesignations in the urban environment are qualifying matters in accordance with sections 771(g) and 770(g) of the RMA.'	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS441	Radio New Zealand	support
940.47	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Transpower is neutral on the extent (as notified) of the zones and precincts that are the subject of the Proposed Plan Change. However, should the extent of the various areas be amended in the vicinity of the National Grid, Transpower seeks that the provisions that manage effects on the National Grid that are proposed to reflect the National Grid as a qualifying matter are similarly extended to the new areas.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS462	Industre Property Tahī Limited	support
940.48	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	Transpower is neutral on the extent (as notified) of the zones and precincts that are the subject of the Proposed Plan Change. However, should the extent of the various areas be amended in the vicinity of the National Grid, Transpower seeks that the provisions that manage effects on the National Grid that are proposed to reflect the National Grid as a qualifying matter are similarly extended to the new areas.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS462	Industre Property Tahī Limited	support
940.51	Transpower New Zealand Limited:	See PC80 (RPS Well-Functioning Urban Environment, Resilience to the Effects of Climate Change and Qualifying Matters)	Other Plan Change	0	FS441	Radio New Zealand	support
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS67	Michael John Graham Goodger	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.1	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as a qualifying matter that constrains the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to the full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings, additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 4 and 5)].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose







sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.2	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Addison Local Centre or include the Addison Local Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all the Takanini Railway Station and the Takanini Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.3	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Addison Local Centre to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 1 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.4	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 162-164 Balmoral Road, Balmoral to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.5	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the site bordered by Balmoral Road, Rocklands Avenue and Mt Balmoral to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 2 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS463	Investore Property Limited	support
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.6	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for Browns Bay Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 3 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Browns Bay Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS463	Investore Property Limited	support
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.7	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Browns Bay Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.8	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Clendon Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 4 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.9	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height in the Clendon Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS178	Devonport Heritage	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.10	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay notation from those parts of the Devonport Town Centre that are not within the Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.11	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Town Centre and Ferry Terminal. Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Devonport Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS178	Devonport Heritage	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearn	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.12	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Devonport Ferry Terminal. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 5 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS103	Eden We Love Society	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS106	Denise Lyn Civil	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.13	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS103	Eden We Love Society	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS106	Denise Lyn Civil	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.14	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 6 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.15	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Eastridge Local Centre to Town Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.16	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Add a walkable catchment for the Eastridge Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.17	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Eastridge Local Centre to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS352	Next Gen Places Limited	Support
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS469	Masfen Group	support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS469	Masfen Group	oppose in part
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.18	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the balance of the land encompassing both sides of the Kepa Road ridge between Dudley Road and Nehu Street / Reihana Street land, Eastridge to 32.5 m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 7 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS103	Eden We Love Society	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS106	Denise Lyn Civil	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.19	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Special Character notation for 360-388 Dominion Road, Mount Eden.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS488	HDW Enterprises Limited	support
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.20	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment along Mokoia Road between and including Highbury Town Centre and Birkenhead Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS488	HDW Enterprises Limited	support
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.21	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for the Birkenhead Local Centre to 27m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 8 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.22	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Highland Park Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Highland Park Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.23	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Highland Park Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 9 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.24	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Hobsonville Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 10 of he submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.25	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Hobsonville Local Centre to 21m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS22	Oyster Management Limited	Support
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.26	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning Lincoln Road Pak'n Save General Business zone site and the Mixed Use zoned site on the northern side of Universal Drive to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.27	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested Lincoln Road Local Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS22	Oyster Management Limited	Support
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.28	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a maximum height standard for requested Lincoln Road Local Centre of 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 11 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.29	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Māngere Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Māngere Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.30	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Māngere Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 12 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.31	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Manurewa Town Centre zone to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 13 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Manurewa Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.32	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World) to Town Centre zone.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.33	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the requested May Road Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.34	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the requested May Road Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.35	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Delete the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts And Height Sensitive Areas Overlay [rcp/dp] - Mount Roskill, Height Sensitive Areas from 208/22 and 20 Stoddard Road and 55 May Road, Mount Roskill (May Road New World). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 14 of the submission for further details]	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.36	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Milford Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 15 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.37	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Milford Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS67	Michael John Graham Goodger	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.38	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site ) to Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.39	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Retain General Business zoning for part of 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save site). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS67	Michael John Graham Goodger	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.40	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS67	Michael John Graham Goodger	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.41	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the walkable catchments for the Avondale and Mt Albert RTN along New North Road so they form a single, connected walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS67	Michael John Graham Goodger	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.42	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 1159-1173 New North Road, Mount Albert (Mt Albert Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 16 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.43	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend to extend the Panmure RTN walkable catchment to include 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save). [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.44	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 80 Mount Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington (Mt Wellington Pak'n Save) to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 17 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.45	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for Orewa Town Centre. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 18 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.46	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for Orewa Town Centre to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.47	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height across the whole Papakura Metropolitan Centre to 40.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 19 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.48	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre or amend to include Remuera Town Centre within a walkable catchment that includes all of the walkable catchments for Newmarket and Greenlane. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS475	Porter Group Limited	support
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.49	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for the Remuera Town Centre to 32.5m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 20 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.50	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the height standard for the Takapuna Metropolitan Centre to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 21 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.51	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height standard for 2 College Hill Freemans Bay and 220 Victoria Street West Auckland Central (Victoria Park New World) to 50m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 22 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS476	Stride Property Limited	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.52	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to Metropolitan Centre Zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS476	Stride Property Limited	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.53	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for 17-19 Fred Taylor Drive, Massey (Westgate Pak'n Save) to 72m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezoning part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.54	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rezone part of 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Local Centre zone. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.55	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Include a walkable catchment for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
941.56	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Amend the maximum height for requested Local Centre at 580 Whangaparaoa Road, Stanmore Bay to 21m. [Refer to Attachment 2, Map 23 of the submission for further details]	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
942.1	Drive Holdings Limited	Include a Height Variation Control of 21m for the Mission Bay Local Centre zone.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS379	Mission Bay Kohimaru	oppose
942.2	Drive Holdings Limited	Include a walkable catchment around the Mission Bay Local Centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS379	Mission Bay Kohimaru	oppose
942.3	Drive Holdings Limited	Delete the proposed new Coastal Inundation information layer.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	support
942.3	Drive Holdings Limited	Delete the proposed new Coastal Inundation information layer.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS343	Waiwera Properties Limited	support
942.3	Drive Holdings Limited	Delete the proposed new Coastal Inundation information layer.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
942.4	Drive Holdings Limited	Amend PC 78 to include infrastructure capacity constraints as qualifying matters that constrain the extent which intensification may occur outside the existing City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Local Centre zones. [Refer to full submission for examples of how this could be accomplished including zoning extent, conditional rezonings or additional infrastructure capacity standard (paragraph 4(b)(i-iii) pages 9 and 10].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS379	Mission Bay Kohimaru	support in
943.2	Mariposa Ltd	Remove Flood Plain control QM from 13 Nanjing Road, Pukekohe.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
943.2	Mariposa Ltd	Remove Flood Plain control QM from 13 Nanjing Road, Pukekohe.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
944.2	Shildon Ltd	Remove all QM from Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS187	Orewa Development	support
944.2	Shildon Ltd	Remove all QM from Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
944.2	Shildon Ltd	Remove all QM from Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
944.3	Shildon Ltd	Remove the rules and standards applying to the Orewa 2 Precinct as a result of the Qualifying Matters said to apply, for the Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa. [refer to submission for further details].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS187	Orewa Development	support
944.3	Shildon Ltd	Remove the rules and standards applying to the Orewa 2 Precinct as a result of the Qualifying Matters said to apply, for the Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa. [refer to submission for further details].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
944.5	Shildon Ltd	See PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions)	Other Plan Change	0	FS187	Orewa Development	support
944.5	Shildon Ltd	See PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions)	Other Plan Change	0	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
944.8	Shildon Ltd	Remove QM Infrastructure - water and/or wastewater constraints control from Orewa 2 sub-precinct B.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS187	Orewa Development	support
944.8	Shildon Ltd	Remove QM Infrastructure - water and/or wastewater constraints control from Orewa 2 sub-precinct B.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
944.8	Shildon Ltd	Remove QM Infrastructure - water and/or wastewater constraints control from Orewa 2 sub-precinct B.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
944.9	Shildon Ltd	Remove QM Flood plain control from Orewa 2 sub-precinct B.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS187	Orewa Development	support
944.9	Shildon Ltd	Remove QM Flood plain control from Orewa 2 sub-precinct B.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
944.9	Shildon Ltd	Remove QM Flood plain control from Orewa 2 sub-precinct B.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
944.9	Shildon Ltd	Remove QM Flood plain control from Orewa 2 sub-precinct B.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
944.11	Shildon Ltd	Amend Chapter E36 as necessary to clarify that no s 6(h) QM apply to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B or 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and that the MDRS in the underlying MHU zoning apply, not the more stringent standards in Chapter E36. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS187	Orewa Development	support
944.11	Shildon Ltd	Amend Chapter E36 as necessary to clarify that no s 6(h) QM apply to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B or 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and that the MDRS in the underlying MHU zoning apply, not the more stringent standards in Chapter E36. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
944.12	Shildon Ltd	Amend E38.4.1(A11) as necessary to clarify that no s 6(h) QM apply to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B or 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and that the MDRS in the underlying MHU zoning apply. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS187	Orewa Development	support
944.12	Shildon Ltd	Amend E38.4.1(A11) as necessary to clarify that no s 6(h) QM apply to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B or 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and that the MDRS in the underlying MHU zoning apply. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
944.19	Shildon Ltd	Amend E38.4.2 (A29B) as necessary to clarify that no QM apply to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B or 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and that the MDRS in the underlying MHU zoning apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS187	Orewa Development	support
944.19	Shildon Ltd	Amend E38.4.2 (A29B) as necessary to clarify that no QM apply to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B or 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and that the MDRS in the underlying MHU zoning apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
944.49	Shildon Ltd	Reject applying I530.2 and I530.3 to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and instead apply MDRS as applies in underlying MHU zoning. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS187	Orewa Development	support
944.49	Shildon Ltd	Reject applying I530.2 and I530.3 to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and instead apply MDRS as applies in underlying MHU zoning. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
944.50	Shildon Ltd	Reject applying I530.4.1(A2) to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and instead apply MDRS as applies in underlying MHU zoning. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS187	Orewa Development	support
944.50	Shildon Ltd	Reject applying I530.4.1(A2) to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and instead apply MDRS as applies in underlying MHU zoning. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
944.51	Shildon Ltd	Approve I530.4.1(A6) subject to amendments sought to MHU subdivision rules and standards as requested and apply to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa. [refer to submission for further details].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS187	Orewa Development	support
944.51	Shildon Ltd	Approve I530.4.1(A6) subject to amendments sought to MHU subdivision rules and standards as requested and apply to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa. [refer to submission for further details].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
944.52	Shildon Ltd	Reject applying I530.5 to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and instead apply MDRS as applies in underlying MHU zoning. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS187	Orewa Development	support
944.52	Shildon Ltd	Reject applying I530.5 to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and instead apply MDRS as applies in underlying MHU zoning. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
944.53	Shildon Ltd	Reject applying I530.6.1 - I530.6.2 to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and instead apply MDRS no minimum lot sizes as applies in underlying MHU zoning. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS187	Orewa Development	support
944.53	Shildon Ltd	Reject applying I530.6.1 - I530.6.2 to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and instead apply MDRS no minimum lot sizes as applies in underlying MHU zoning. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
944.54	Shildon Ltd	Reject applying I530.6.3 to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and instead apply MDRS building height of 11m as applies in underlying MHU zoning. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS187	Orewa Development	support
944.54	Shildon Ltd	Reject applying I530.6.3 to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and instead apply MDRS building height of 11m as applies in underlying MHU zoning. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
944.55	Shildon Ltd	Reject applying I530.6.4 to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and instead apply MDRS height in relation to boundary as applies in underlying MHU zoning. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS187	Orewa Development	support
944.55	Shildon Ltd	Reject applying I530.6.4 to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and instead apply MDRS height in relation to boundary as applies in underlying MHU zoning. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
944.56	Shildon Ltd	Reject applying I530.6.5 to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and instead apply MDRS yard setback as applies in underlying MHU zoning. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS187	Orewa Development	support
944.56	Shildon Ltd	Reject applying I530.6.5 to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and instead apply MDRS yard setback as applies in underlying MHU zoning. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
944.57	Shildon Ltd	Reject applying I530.6.6 to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and instead apply MDRS building coverage as applies in underlying MHU zoning. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS187	Orewa Development	support
944.57	Shildon Ltd	Reject applying I530.6.6 to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and instead apply MDRS building coverage as applies in underlying MHU zoning. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
944.58	Shildon Ltd	Reject applying I530.6.11(1) to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and instead apply MDRS subdivision standards as requested. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS187	Orewa Development	support
944.58	Shildon Ltd	Reject applying I530.6.11(1) to Orewa 2 sub-precinct B and 250 and 256 West Hoe Heights, Orewa and instead apply MDRS subdivision standards as requested. [refer to submission for further detail].	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
944.59	Shildon Ltd	Delete standard I530.7.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS187	Orewa Development	support
944.59	Shildon Ltd	Delete standard I530.7.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I530 Orewa 2 Precinct	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
945.1	Ports of Auckland Limited ("POAL")	Approve the proposal (plan change) as notified, and in particular the retention of the City Centre Port Noise Overlay.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
945.1	Ports of Auckland Limited ("POAL")	Approve the proposal (plan change) as notified, and in particular the retention of the City Centre Port Noise Overlay.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
945.1	Ports of Auckland Limited ("POAL")	Approve the proposal (plan change) as notified, and in particular the retention of the City Centre Port Noise Overlay.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
946.6	SKYCITY Auckland Limited ("SKYCITY")	Delete Special Information Requirement H8.10.1	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	support
946.8	SKYCITY Auckland Limited ("SKYCITY")	Oppose retaining Standards H8.6.22, H8.6.30 and H8.6.32 to accommodate a qualifying matter. [Refer to full submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters Other	Built Form Controls: City Centre - sunlight admission to open space, harbour edge, and other matters	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	support
947.1	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Residential - Low Density Residential Zone objectives and policies to provide clear direction and remove interpretation uncertainty. Refer to page 27 in the full submission.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.1	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Residential - Low Density Residential Zone objectives and policies to provide clear direction and remove interpretation uncertainty. Refer to page 27 in the full submission.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.2	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Objective H3A.2(14) as follows: '(14) Recognise and enable the housing and care needs of the ageing population.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.2	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Objective H3A.2(14) as follows: '(14) Recognise and enable the housing and care needs of the ageing population.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.3	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H3A.3(24) as follows: '(24) Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the low density residential zone by providing for more efficient use of those sites.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.3	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H3A.3(24) as follows: '(24) Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the low density residential zone by providing for more efficient use of those sites.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.4	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H3A.4.1 Activity Table to include 'retirement villages' as a permitted activity, and with no standards that must be complied with.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.4	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H3A.4.1 Activity Table to include 'retirement villages' as a permitted activity, and with no standards that must be complied with.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.5	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H3A.4.1 Activity Table to provide for 'the construction of retirement villages' as a restricted discretionary activity and list the relevant standards that apply.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.6	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H3A.5(1) as follows: '(1) Any application for resource consent for an activity listed in Table H3A.4.1 Activity table and which is not listed in H3A.5(4)(3) below will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.7	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seeks integration of matters of discretion specific to the construction of retirement villages in H3A.8.1. Refer to full submission for details.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Assessment Low Density Residential Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.8	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seeks bespoke assessment criteria in H3A.8.2 for retirement villages that reflect the proposed matters of discretion outlined in the submission. Refer to full submission for details.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Assessment Low Density Residential Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.9	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Objective H5.2(A1) as notified.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.10	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Objective H5.2(B1) as notified.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.11	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Objective H5.2(1) as notified.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.12	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: '3. Development is encouraged to provide a high-quality built environment amenity: (a) on-site for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.12	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: '3. Development is encouraged to provide a high-quality built environment amenity: (a) on-site for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.13	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H5.2(5) as follows: 'Development avoids, remedies or mitigates effects on does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not increase the impact from natural hazard risks.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.14	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.15	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be provided with access to adequate serviced by the water supply, and wastewater and stormwater disposal services networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.16	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Objective H5.2(8) .	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.17	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H5.2(9) as follows: Development is enabled on sites within significant ecological areas where it does not compromise provides for the protection and management of the significant ecological values.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.18	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete or amend Objective H5.2(10) to allow intensification activities that generate lower levels of traffic movements than typical residential activities or where the constraints have been addressed.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.19	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Objective H5.2(11) as follows: '(11) Recognise and enable the housing and care needs of the ageing population.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.20	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H5.3(A1) as notified.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.20	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H5.3(A1) as notified.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.20	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H5.3(A1) as notified.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
947.21	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H5.3(B1) as follows: 'Apply the MDRS across the MHU zone all relevant residential zones in the district plan except in circumstances where a qualifying matter is relevant (including matters of significance such as historic heritage and the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wāhi tapu, and other taonga).'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.22	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H5.3(C1) as notified.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.23	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H5.3(D1) as notified.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.24	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H5.3(E1) as notified.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.25	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) to align with the MDRS and to focus on density effects rather than other topics. Refer to full submission (pages 37-38) for proposed wording.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.26	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H5.3(9) as follows: '(9) Recognising intensification opportunities by enabling Enable more efficient use of larger sites including by providing for integrated residential development and retirement villages.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.26	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H5.3(9) as follows: '(9) Recognising intensification opportunities by enabling Enable more efficient use of larger sites including by providing for integrated residential development and retirement villages.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.27	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H5.3(10).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.28	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H5.3(12).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.29	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H5.3(13).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.30	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H5.3(14).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.31	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.32	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete or amend Policy H5.3(16) as follows: 'Avoid developments of more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as subject to significant transport infrastructure constraints in these areas unless they are development types that do not significantly exacerbate existing constraints.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.33	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H5.3(18) as follows: '(18) To provide for the diverse and changing residential needs of communities, recognise that the existing character and amenity of the Mixed Housing Urban zone will change over time to enable a variety of housing types with a mix of densities.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.33	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H5.3(18) as follows: '(18) To provide for the diverse and changing residential needs of communities, recognise that the existing character and amenity of the Mixed Housing Urban zone will change over time to enable a variety of housing types with a mix of densities.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.34	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H5.4.1 Activity Table to include a new rule (AX) Retirement Villages - Permitted, and with no standards that must be complied with.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.34	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H5.4.1 Activity Table to include a new rule (AX) Retirement Villages - Permitted, and with no standards that must be complied with.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.35	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H5.4.1 Activity Table to include a new rule (AX) The construction of retirement villages – Restricted Discretionary and apply Integrated Residential Development standards minus standards that apply to dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.36	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend to H5.5 Notification aligns with the MDRS which precludes public and limited notification for residential developments that comply with relevant standards. Refer to full submission(pages 42-43) details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.37	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Standard H5.6.3B as follows: 'A new dwelling in an area served by the combined sewer network must be able to connect to an existing separated local stormwater pipe that is part of the public stormwater network or provide sufficient stormwater disposal capacity on-site.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
947.37	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Standard H5.6.3B as follows: 'A new dwelling in an area served by the combined sewer network must be able to connect to an existing separated local stormwater pipe that is part of the public stormwater network or provide sufficient stormwater disposal capacity on-site.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.38	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Standard H5.6.3C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.39	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Standard H5.6.4.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.40	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Standard H5.6.5(2) as follows: '(2)(c) boundaries adjoining the following zones: - Open Space: Conservation Zone; Informal Recreation Zone; Sport and Active Recreation Zone; Civic Space Zone; Community Zone; - Special Purpose: Healthcare Facility Zone and Hospital Zone; Major Recreation Zone; School Zone; Tertiary Education Zone.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS238	Ministry of Education	oppose
947.40	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Standard H5.6.5(2) as follows: '(2)(c) boundaries adjoining the following zones: - Open Space: Conservation Zone; Informal Recreation Zone; Sport and Active Recreation Zone; Civic Space Zone; Community Zone; - Special Purpose: Healthcare Facility Zone and Hospital Zone; Major Recreation Zone; School Zone; Tertiary Education Zone.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.41	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Standard H5.6.8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.42	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete Standard H5.6.9.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.43	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Standard H5.6.10.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.44	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Standard H5.6.11 to provide for retirement units as well as the removal of front yard landscaping. Refer to full submission (pages 45-46) for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.45	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Standard H5.6.12 to provide for outlook space requirements that are appropriate for retirement villages in line with the MDRS. Refer to full submission (pages 46-47) for proposed wording.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.45	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Standard H5.6.12 to provide for outlook space requirements that are appropriate for retirement villages in line with the MDRS. Refer to full submission (pages 46-47) for proposed wording.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.46	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete Standard H5.6.13.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.47	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H5.6.14(A1) as follows: 'All Development containing up to three dwellings must comply with the following: '(1) For retirement units, clauses A1 and B1 apply with the following modifications: (a) The outdoor living space may be in whole or in part grouped cumulatively in 1 or more communally accessible location(s) and/or located directly adjacent to each retirement unit; and (b) A retirement village may provide indoor living spaces in one or more communally accessible locations in lieu of up to 50% of the required outdoor living space.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.47	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H5.6.14(A1) as follows: 'All Development containing up to three dwellings must comply with the following: '(1) For retirement units, clauses A1 and B1 apply with the following modifications: (a) The outdoor living space may be in whole or in part grouped cumulatively in 1 or more communally accessible location(s) and/or located directly adjacent to each retirement unit; and (b) A retirement village may provide indoor living spaces in one or more communally accessible locations in lieu of up to 50% of the required outdoor living space.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.48	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete Standard H5.6.15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.49	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete Standard H5.6.16.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.50	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Standard H5.6.18(1) as follows: 'Development containing up to three dwellings or retirement units must comply with the following: (1) Any dwelling or retirement unit facing the public street must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the street facing façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.51	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete Standard H5.6.19.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.52	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete Standard H5.6.21.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.53	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seek to integrate set of matters of discretion specific to the construction of retirement villages [in accordance with the new requested activity]. Refer to proposed wording in full submission (pages 50-51).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.53	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seek to integrate set of matters of discretion specific to the construction of retirement villages [in accordance with the new requested activity]. Refer to proposed wording in full submission (pages 50-51).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS460	Fletcher Residential Limited	Support
947.54	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete H5.9(2) and H5.9(3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.55	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend paragraph four of H6.1 Zone Description as follows: 'The zone enables a mix of housing types including three-storey attached and detached dwellings, terraced housing and apartment buildings, and integrated residential developments, such as retirement villages and papakāinga..	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.55	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend paragraph four of H6.1 Zone Description as follows: 'The zone enables a mix of housing types including three-storey attached and detached dwellings, terraced housing and apartment buildings, and integrated residential developments, such as retirement villages and papakāinga..	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.56	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H6.1 Zone Description for standards applied for developments with four or more dwellings and other specified buildings and activities. Refer to full submission (pages 52-53) for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.57	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Objective H6.2(A1) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.58	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H6.2(B1) to align with Objective H6.2(A1) enabling development of at least 6 storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.59	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H6.2(2) as follows: 'Development outside walkable catchments is in keeping with responds to the areas' changing planned urban built character of predominantly five storeys or six or seven storey buildings where specified in identified areas, in a variety of forms.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.60	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development is encouraged to provide high-quality built environment amenity; (a) on-site for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.61	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete Objective H6.2(5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.62	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H6.2(6) as follows: 'Development avoids, remedies or mitigates effects on does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not increase the impact from natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.63	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H6.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be provided with access to serviced by the water supply, and wastewater and stormwater disposal services networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.64	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H6.2(8) as follows: 'Enable safer safe pedestrian movement within the immediate locality of higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.65	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H6.2(9) as follows: 'Development is enabled on sites within significant ecological areas where it does not compromise provides for the protection and management of the significant ecological values.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.65	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H6.2(9) as follows: 'Development is enabled on sites within significant ecological areas where it does not compromise provides for the protection and management of the significant ecological values.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.66	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H6.3(A1) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.67	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H6.3(B1) as follows: 'Apply the MDRS across the THAB zone. all relevant residential zones in the district plan except in circumstances where a qualifying matter is relevant (including matters of significance such as historic heritage and the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites wāhi tapu, and other taonga).'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.68	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H6.3(C1) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.69	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H6.3(D1) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.70	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H6.3(E1) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.71	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H6.3(1) as follows: 'Enable a variety of housing types at high densities including terrace housing and low to mid-rise and higher rise apartments, within walkable catchments and integrated residential developments and such as retirement villages.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.71	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H6.3(1) as follows: 'Enable a variety of housing types at high densities including terrace housing and low to mid-rise and higher rise apartments, within walkable catchments and integrated residential developments and such as retirement villages.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.72	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) to align with the MDRS. Refer to full submission (pages 56-58) for proposed wording.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.73	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H6.3(10).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.74	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H6.3(12).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.75	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H6.3(13).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.76	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete Policy H6.3(14).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.77	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.78	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H6.3(16) as follows: '(16) To provide for the diverse and changing residential needs of communities, recognise that the existing character and amenity of the Mixed Housing Urban zone will change over time to enable a variety of housing types with a mix of densities.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.78	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H6.3(16) as follows: '(16) To provide for the diverse and changing residential needs of communities, recognise that the existing character and amenity of the Mixed Housing Urban zone will change over time to enable a variety of housing types with a mix of densities.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.79	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H6.4.1 Activity Table to include a new rule (AX) Retirement Villages - Permitted, and no standards that must be complied with.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.79	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H6.4.1 Activity Table to include a new rule (AX) Retirement Villages - Permitted, and no standards that must be complied with.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.80	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H6.4.1 Activity Table to include a new rule (AX) The construction of retirement villages – Restricted Discretionary and apply Integrated Residential Development standards minus standards that apply to dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.81	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H6.5 Notification to construction of a retirement village activities should be precluded from being publicly notified. Refer to the proposed wording in the full submission (pages 61-62).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.82	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Standard H6.6.4B as follows: 'A new dwelling in an area served by the combined sewer network must be able to connect to an existing separated local stormwater pipe that is part of the public stormwater network or provide sufficient stormwater disposal capacity on-site.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.83	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Standard H6.6.4C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.84	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Standard H6.6.6 to the references to the Height Variation Control in this rule to refer to higher heights only (not lower heights).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.85	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain purpose of H6.6.6.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS238	Ministry of Education	oppose
947.85	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain purpose of H6.6.6.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.86	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Standard H6.6.9.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.87	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete Standard H6.6.10.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.88	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Standard H6.6.11.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.89	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Standard H6.6.12 to provide for retirement units and be amended to fully align with the MDRS. Refer to the full submission (pages 64-65) for proposed wording.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.90	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete and replace Standard H6.6.13 with the Outlook Space Standard in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.90	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete and replace Standard H6.6.13 with the Outlook Space Standard in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.91	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete Standard H6.6.14.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.92	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Standard H6.6.15 as follows: 'All Development containing up to three dwellings or retirement units must comply with the following: ... (C1) For retirement units, clauses A1 and B1 apply with the following modifications: (a) The outdoor living space may be in whole or in part grouped cumulatively in 1 or more communally accessible location(s) and/or located directly adjacent to each retirement unit; and (b) A retirement village may provide indoor living spaces in one or more communally accessible locations in lieu of up to 50% of the required outdoor living space.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.92	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Standard H6.6.15 as follows: 'All Development containing up to three dwellings or retirement units must comply with the following: ... (C1) For retirement units, clauses A1 and B1 apply with the following modifications: (a) The outdoor living space may be in whole or in part grouped cumulatively in 1 or more communally accessible location(s) and/or located directly adjacent to each retirement unit; and (b) A retirement village may provide indoor living spaces in one or more communally accessible locations in lieu of up to 50% of the required outdoor living space.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.93	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete Standard H6.6.16.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.94	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete Standard H6.6.17.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.95	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Standard H6.6.19(1) as follows: 'Development containing up to three dwellings or retirement unit must comply with the following: (1) Any dwelling or retirement unit facing the public street must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the streetfacing façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.96	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete Standard H6.6.20.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.97	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seeks integration of matters of discretion specific to the construction of retirement villages (in accordance with new requested activity). Refer to full submission (pages 69-70) for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.97	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seeks integration of matters of discretion specific to the construction of retirement villages (in accordance with new requested activity). Refer to full submission (pages 69-70) for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS460	Fletcher Residential Limited	Support
947.98	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete H6.9(2) and H6.9(3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.99	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain as notified J1.3.5 Residential Nesting Table.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.100	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend definition of Integrated Residential as follows: 'A residential development on sites greater than 2,000m <sup>2</sup> which includes supporting communal facilities such as recreation and leisure facilities, supported residential care, welfare and medical facilities (inclusive of hospital care), and other non-residential activities accessory to the primary residential use. For the avoidance of doubt this would not include a retirement village.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.100	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend definition of Integrated Residential as follows: 'A residential development on sites greater than 2,000m <sup>2</sup> which includes supporting communal facilities such as recreation and leisure facilities, supported residential care, welfare and medical facilities (inclusive of hospital care), and other non-residential activities accessory to the primary residential use. For the avoidance of doubt this would not include a retirement village.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.101	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend definition of retirement village as follows: 'Retirement village means a managed comprehensive residential complex or facilities used to provide residential accommodation for people who are retired and any spouses or partners of such people. It may also include any of the following for residents within the complex: recreation, leisure, supported residential care, welfare and medical facilities (inclusive of hospital care) and other non-residential activities. For the avoidance of doubt, this definition excludes dwellings.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.102	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add new definition for retirement unit as follows: 'Retirement Unit means any unit within a retirement village that is used or designed to be used for a residential activity (whether or not it includes cooking, bathing and toilet facilities). A retirement unit is not a residential unit.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.103	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend the Height Variation Control to consider another method of incorporating bespoke Height Variation Controls that enable greater flexibility to respond to the changing environment over time, particularly for those sites where the maximum height limit is set below the MDRS.	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.104	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Remove lower heights if not justified by qualifying matter [in relation to areas excluded from the plan change]. Refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.105	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Reduce the coverage of the special character maps.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
947.105	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Reduce the coverage of the special character maps.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
947.105	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Reduce the coverage of the special character maps.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
947.105	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Reduce the coverage of the special character maps.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
947.105	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Reduce the coverage of the special character maps.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
947.105	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Reduce the coverage of the special character maps.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
947.105	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Reduce the coverage of the special character maps.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.105	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Reduce the coverage of the special character maps.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.105	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Reduce the coverage of the special character maps.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
947.106	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seeks that the Precinct areas are reconsidered and amended as required to give effect to the Enabling Housing Act.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.106	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seeks that the Precinct areas are reconsidered and amended as required to give effect to the Enabling Housing Act.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
947.107	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Up zone areas that are proximate to all services that meet the definition of rapid transit as required by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. Refer to submission for details.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.108	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete transport constraint provisions.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Beachlands transport infrastructure constraint	FS222	New Avenues No. 8 LP	support
947.108	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete transport constraint provisions.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Beachlands transport infrastructure constraint	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.109	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain SUB-R1 as notified where it incorporates the requirements of the MDRS, and amend as necessary to achieve compliance with the MDRS.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.110	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain introduction as notified.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.111	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H8.2(1) as follows: 'A strong network of centres that are attractive environments and attract ongoing investment, promote commercial activity, and provide employment, housing and goods and services, all at a variety of scales and typologies.'	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.112	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H8.2(3) as follows: 'Development positively contributes towards planned future form and quality, creating a well-functioning urban environment and a sense of place.'	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.113	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add general objective for all centres and the Business - Mixed House Zone, Business - General Business Zone and Business - Business Park Zone as follows: '(0) Recognise and enable the housing and care needs of the ageing population in the centre zones and Business – Mixed Use Zone.'	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.113	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add general objective for all centres and the Business - Mixed House Zone, Business - General Business Zone and Business - Business Park Zone as follows: '(0) Recognise and enable the housing and care needs of the ageing population in the centre zones and Business – Mixed Use Zone.'	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.114	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H8.2(8) as follows: 'Development in the city centre is managed to accommodate growth and the greatest intensity of development in Auckland and New Zealand while respecting its existing and planned built form and character valley and ridgeline form and waterfront setting.'	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.115	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Objective H8.2(13) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.116	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H8.2(12A) as follows: 'Enable building height of at least six storeys (21m) within mapped walkable catchments unless a qualifying matter applies that reduces height.'	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.117	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H8.2(13) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.118	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H8.3(14) as follows: 'Reduce building height below the standard zone height in locations identified within the Height Variation Control, where the standard zone height would have significant adverse effects on identified special character, identified landscape features, amenity, or other qualifying matters.'	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.119	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seeks policy support for retirement villages be inserted into the general policies for all centres and the Business - Mixed Use Zone, Business - General Business Zone and Business - Business Park Zone. Refer to the proposed wording in full submission (pages 79-81, 87-89).	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.119	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seeks policy support for retirement villages be inserted into the general policies for all centres and the Business - Mixed Use Zone, Business - General Business Zone and Business - Business Park Zone. Refer to the proposed wording in full submission (pages 79-81, 87-89).	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.120	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete H.8.3.(20)(b) and H.8.3.(20)(i).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.121	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H8.3(31) as follows: 'Ensure adequate sunlight daylight, and outlook around buildings.'	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.122	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H8.3(32) to exclude retirement villages. Refer to the details in the full submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.123	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete Policy H8.3(38).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.124	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain H8.4.1(A4) without amendment through the plan change process.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.125	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add new rule (AX) The construction of buildings for retirement villages - RD under Activity Table H8.4.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.126	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H8.5 as follows: '(5) Any application for resource consent for the construction of buildings for retirement villages will be considered without public notification. Any application for resource consent for the construction of buildings for retirement villages that complies with the relevant built form standards [limited to relevant building height, building height in relation to boundary and setbacks] will be considered without limited notification.'	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.127	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain H8.6.2 as notified [if amendments are made to Map H8.11.3].	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.128	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Standard H8.6.3 to clarify its limited application.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.129	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Standard H8.6.34 to exclude retirement villages.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.130	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Clarify Matters of Discretion within H8.8.1 and the Assessment criteria within H8.8.2 do not apply to retirement villages.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.131	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seeks to integrate matters of discretion specific to the construction of retirement villages (in accordance with new requested rule) so to provide for and acknowledge the differences that retirement villages have from other residential activities. Refer to full submission for details (pages 84-86).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.132	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain amendments to introduction as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.133	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H9.2(3) as follows: 'Development positively contributes towards planned future form and quality, creating a well-functioning urban environment and a sense of place.'	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.134	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H9.2(9) as follows: 'Metropolitan centres enable building heights of at least 6 storeys and density of urban form to reflect demand for housing and business use.'	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.135	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H9.2(12A) as follows: 'Enable building height of at least six storeys (21m) within mapped walkable catchments unless a qualifying matter applies that modifies height.'	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.136	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H9.3(13) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.137	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete reference to 'amenity' in H9.3(14).	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.138	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H9.3(15A) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.139	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H9.4.1 to include new rule (AX) Retirement Villages - P	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.139	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H9.4.1 to include new rule (AX) Retirement Villages - P	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.140	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H9.4.1 to include new rule (AX) The construction of buildings for retirement villages - RD.	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.141	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H9.5 as follows: '(5) Any application for resource consent for the construction of buildings for retirement villages will be considered without public notification. (6) Any application for resource consent for the construction of buildings for retirement villages that complies with H9.6.1, H9.6.2 and H9.6.6 will be considered without limited notification.'	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.142	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H9.6.2 as follows: 'x) This standard does not apply to – a. A boundary with a road: b. Existing or proposed internal boundaries within a site: c. Site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed: d. Boundaries adjoining open space zones, rural zones, and business zones.' Refer to submission for details (page 91-92).	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.143	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seeks to integrate the following matters of discretion specific to the construction of retirement villages (in accordance with new requested rule) to provide for and acknowledge the differences that retirement villages have from other residential activities. [Refer to full submission for details, pages 92-93].	Business Zones provisions	Metropolitan Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.144	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend the H10.1 to clarify the conflicting statements regarding building height. [Refer to submission for details, page 94].	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.145	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H10.2(3) as follows: 'Development positively contributes towards planned future form and quality, creating a well-functioning urban environment and a sense of place.'	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.146	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H10.2(10) as follows: 'Building height of at least six storeys is enabled within mapped walkable catchments unless qualifying matters apply that modifies height.'	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.147	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H10.2(12A) as follows: 'Enable building height of at least six storeys (21m) within mapped walkable catchments unless a qualifying matter applies that modifies height.'	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.148	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H10.3(13) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.149	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H10.3(14) to remove reference to 'amenity' and any lower heights from the Height Variation Control that are based on amenity only.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS185	Charles H Levin	oppose
947.149	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H10.3(14) to remove reference to 'amenity' and any lower heights from the Height Variation Control that are based on amenity only.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.149	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H10.3(14) to remove reference to 'amenity' and any lower heights from the Height Variation Control that are based on amenity only.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS420	Trevor Lund	oppose
947.150	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seeks new policies to provide for the provision of residential activities and retirement activities in the Business - Town Centre Zone and that any inconsistent Town Centre Zone objectives and policies are deleted or amended for consistency. Refer to submission for details (pages 95-97).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.150	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seeks new policies to provide for the provision of residential activities and retirement activities in the Business - Town Centre Zone and that any inconsistent Town Centre Zone objectives and policies are deleted or amended for consistency. Refer to submission for details (pages 95-97).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.151	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H10.4.1 to include new rule (AX) Retirement Villages - P.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.151	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H10.4.1 to include new rule (AX) Retirement Villages - P.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.152	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H10.4.1 to include new rule (AX) The construction of buildings for retirement villages - RD.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.153	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H10.5 as follows: '(4) Any application for resource consent for the construction of buildings for retirement villages will be considered without public notification. (5) Any application for resource consent for the construction of buildings for retirement villages that complies with H10.6.1, H10.6.2 and H10.6.6 will be considered without limited notification.'	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.154	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Standard H10.6.1.1A as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.155	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seeks to amend H10.6.2 to reflect the height in relation to boundary standard exclusions of the MDRS and to include the additional exclusion. Refer to submission for details (pages 98-99).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS185	Charles H Levin	oppose
947.155	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seeks to amend H10.6.2 to reflect the height in relation to boundary standard exclusions of the MDRS and to include the additional exclusion. Refer to submission for details (pages 98-99).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.155	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seeks to amend H10.6.2 to reflect the height in relation to boundary standard exclusions of the MDRS and to include the additional exclusion. Refer to submission for details (pages 98-99).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS420	Trevor Lund	oppose
947.156	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H10.8.1 to integrate the matters of discretion specific to the construction of retirement villages (in accordance with the new requested rule) to provide for and acknowledge the differences that retirement villages have from other residential activities. Refer to submission for details (pages 99-101).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.157	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend the H11.1 to clarify the conflicting statements regarding building height. Refer to submission for details (page 101).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.158	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H11.2(3) as follows: 'Development positively contributes towards planned future form and quality, creating a well-functioning urban environment and a sense of place.'	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.159	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H11.2(9) as follows: 'Enable building height of at least six storeys (21m) within mapped walkable catchments unless a qualifying matter applies that modifies height.'	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.160	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H11.3(12A) as follows: 'Enable building height of at least six storeys (21m) within mapped walkable catchments unless a qualifying matter applies that modifies height.'	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.161	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H11.3(13).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.162	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H11.3(14) to remove reference to 'amenity' and remove any lower heights from the Height Variation Control that are based on amenity only.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.163	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seek new policies to provide for the provision of residential activities and retirement villages. Refer to full submission for details (pages 103-104).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.163	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seek new policies to provide for the provision of residential activities and retirement villages. Refer to full submission for details (pages 103-104).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.164	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H11.4.1 to include new rule (AX) Retirement Villages - P.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.164	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H11.4.1 to include new rule (AX) Retirement Villages - P.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.165	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H11.4.1 to include new rule (AX) The construction of buildings for retirement villages - RD.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.166	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H11.5 as follows: '(4) Any application for resource consent for the construction of buildings for retirement villages will be considered without public notification. (5) Any application for resource consent for the construction of buildings for retirement villages that complies with H11.6.1, H11.6.2 and H11.6.4 will be considered without limited notification.'	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.167	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Standard H11.6.1 as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.168	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H11.6.2 to reflect the height in relation to boundary standards of the MDRS.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.169	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seek to integrate matters of discretion specific to the construction of retirement villages (in accordance with the new requested rule) so to provide for and acknowledge the differences that retirement villages have from other activities. Refer to full submission for details (pages 107-108).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.170	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H12.2 to clarify the conflicting statements regarding building height. Refer to submission for details (page 109).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.171	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H12.2(3) as follows: 'Development positively contributes towards planned future form and quality, creating a well-functioning urban environment and a sense of place.'	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.172	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H12.2(8) as follows: 'Building height of at least six storeys is enabled within mapped walkable catchments unless qualifying matters apply that modifies height.'	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.173	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H12.3(12A) as follows: 'Enable building height of at least six storeys (21m) within mapped walkable catchments unless a qualifying matter applies that modifies height.'	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.174	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H12.3.(13) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.175	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H12.3(14) and to remove reference to 'amenity' and remove any lower heights from the Height Variation Control that are based on amenity only.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.176	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add new Policy H12.3(19) as follows: '(19) Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in the Town Centre Zone, such as retirement villages.'	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.176	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add new Policy H12.3(19) as follows: '(19) Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in the Town Centre Zone, such as retirement villages.'	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.177	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H13.4.1 to include new rule (AX) Retirement Villages - P.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.177	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H13.4.1 to include new rule (AX) Retirement Villages - P.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.178	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H13.4.1 to include new rule (AX) The construction of buildings for retirement villages - RD.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.179	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H12.5 as follows: '(4) Any application for resource consent for the construction of buildings for retirement villages will be considered without public notification. (5) Any application for resource consent for the construction of buildings for retirement villages that complies with H12.6.1, H12.6.2 and H12.6.4 will be considered without limited notification.'	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.180	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Standard H12.6.1 as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.181	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H12.6.2 to reflect the height in relation to boundary standards of the MDRS.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.182	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seek to integrate matters of discretion (H12.8.1) specific to the construction of retirement villages (in accordance with new requested rule) so to provide for and acknowledge the differences that retirement villages have from other residential activities. Refer to full submission for details (pages 115-116) .	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.183	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H13.1 to clarify the conflicting statements regarding building height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.184	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H13.2(3) as follows: 'Development positively contributes towards planned future form and quality, creating a well-functioning urban environment and a sense of place.'	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.185	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H13.2(10) as follows: 'Building height of at least six storeys is enabled within mapped walkable catchments unless qualifying matters apply that modifies height.'	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.186	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H10.3(12A) as follows: 'Enable building height of at least six storeys (21m) within mapped walkable catchments unless a qualifying matter applies that modifies height.'	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.187	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Policy H13.3(13) as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.188	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Policy H13.3(14) and to remove reference to 'amenity' and remove any lower heights from the Height Variation Control that are based on amenity only.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.189	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add new Policy H13.3(23) as follows: '(23) Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in the Town Centre Zone, such as retirement villages.'	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.189	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add new Policy H13.3(23) as follows: '(23) Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in the Town Centre Zone, such as retirement villages.'	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.190	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H13.4.1 to include new rule (AX) Retirement villages - P.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.190	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H13.4.1 to include new rule (AX) Retirement villages - P.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.191	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H13.4.1 to include new rule (AX) The construction of buildings for retirement villages - RD.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.192	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H13.5 as follows: ' (4) Any application for resource consent for the construction of buildings for retirement villages will be considered without public notification. (5) Any application for resource consent for the construction of buildings for retirement villages that complies with H13.6.1, H13.6.2 and H13.6.5 will be considered without limited notification.'	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.193	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Standard H13.6.1 as notified.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.194	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H13.6.2 to reflect height in relation to boundary standards of the MDRS.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS185	Charles H Levin	oppose
947.194	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H13.6.2 to reflect height in relation to boundary standards of the MDRS.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.194	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H13.6.2 to reflect height in relation to boundary standards of the MDRS.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS420	Trevor Lund	oppose
947.195	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seek to integrate matters of discretion specific to the construction of retirement villages (in accordance with new requested rule) to provide for and acknowledge the differences that retirement villages have from other residential activities. Refer to details in the full submission (pages 122-124).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.196	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H25.4.1 Activity Table as Retirement Villages as a permitted activity under Rule H25.4.1(A6).	Other Zones provisions	H25 Special Purpose - Healthcare Facility and Hospital Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.196	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H25.4.1 Activity Table as Retirement Villages as a permitted activity under Rule H25.4.1(A6).	Other Zones provisions	H25 Special Purpose - Healthcare Facility and Hospital Zone	FS389	Southern Cross Health	support in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.197	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H25.4.1 Activity Table to include new rule (AX) The construction of retirement villages – Restricted Discretionary.	Other Zones provisions	H25 Special Purpose - Healthcare Facility and Hospital Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.197	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Table H25.4.1 Activity Table to include new rule (AX) The construction of retirement villages – Restricted Discretionary.	Other Zones provisions	H25 Special Purpose - Healthcare Facility and Hospital Zone	FS389	Southern Cross Health	support in
947.198	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H25.5(1) as follows: '(c) An application for resource consent made in respect of rule X above [the construction of a retirement village rule] is precluded from being publicly notified, and where the development complies with external amenity standards: [MDRS Clause 11: Building Height, MDRS Clause 12 Height in Relation to Boundary, MDRS 123 Clause 13 Setbacks and MDRS Clause 14 Building Coverage] is precluded from limited notification.'	Other Zones provisions	H25 Special Purpose - Healthcare Facility and Hospital Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.198	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H25.5(1) as follows: '(c) An application for resource consent made in respect of rule X above [the construction of a retirement village rule] is precluded from being publicly notified, and where the development complies with external amenity standards: [MDRS Clause 11: Building Height, MDRS Clause 12 Height in Relation to Boundary, MDRS 123 Clause 13 Setbacks and MDRS Clause 14 Building Coverage] is precluded from limited notification.'	Other Zones provisions	H25 Special Purpose - Healthcare Facility and Hospital Zone	FS389	Southern Cross Health	support in
947.199	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Standard H25.6.1.	Other Zones provisions	H25 Special Purpose - Healthcare Facility and Hospital Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.199	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Retain Standard H25.6.1.	Other Zones provisions	H25 Special Purpose - Healthcare Facility and Hospital Zone	FS389	Southern Cross Health	support in
947.200	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seeks to integrate matters of discretion specific to the construction of retirement villages. Refer to the proposal wording in the full submission (pages 126-127).	Other Zones provisions	H25 Special Purpose - Healthcare Facility and Hospital Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.200	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Seeks to integrate matters of discretion specific to the construction of retirement villages. Refer to the proposal wording in the full submission (pages 126-127).	Other Zones provisions	H25 Special Purpose - Healthcare Facility and Hospital Zone	FS389	Southern Cross Health	support in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.201	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	See PC79 (Amendments to the transport provisions)	Other Plan Change	0	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.202	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H3A.3(25) as follows: '(25) Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in low density residential zone, such as retirement villages.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.202	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H3A.3(25) as follows: '(25) Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in low density residential zone, such as retirement villages.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.203	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H3A.3(26) as follows: '(26) Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they: (a) May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services. (b) Have unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.203	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H3A.3(26) as follows: '(26) Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they: (a) May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services. (b) Have unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.204	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H3A.3(27) as follows: '(27) Enable the density standards to be utilised as a baseline for the assessment of the effects of developments.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.205	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add H3A.5(5) as follows: '(5) An application for resource consent made in respect of rule X above [the construction of a retirement village] is precluded from public notification, and where the development complies with the standards listed in (3) above is precluded from limited notification.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.206	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H5.2(6) as follows: 'Development contributes to a high-quality built environment that is resilient to the effects of climate change.' [if retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.207	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H5.3(20) as follows: '(20) Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in Mixed Housing Urban zone, such as retirement villages.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.207	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H5.3(20) as follows: '(20) Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in Mixed Housing Urban zone, such as retirement villages.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.208	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H5.3(21) as follows: '(21) Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they: (a) May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services. (b) Have unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.208	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H5.3(21) as follows: '(21) Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they: (a) May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services. (b) Have unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.209	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H5.3(22) as follows: '(22) Enable the density standards to be utilised as a baseline for the assessment of the effects of developments.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.210	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H5.6.9. Maximum Impervious Area to 70% [if retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.211	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3) and H5.6.14(4).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.211	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3) and H5.6.14(4).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.212	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H5.6.18(2) as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation or retirement unit facing the public street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway must have a minimum of 20% of the street facing façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.213	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend standard H5.6.21 to specifically exclude retirement villages [if retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.214	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H5.9(2) and H5.9(3) to clarify that the special information requirements within those clauses do not apply to retirement villages [if retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.215	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Objective H6.2(5) as follows 'Development contributes to a high-quality built environment that is resilient to the effects of climate change.' [if retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.216	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H6.3(17) as follows: '(17) Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the Mixed Housing Urban zone by providing for more efficient use of those sites.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.216	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H6.3(17) as follows: '(17) Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the Mixed Housing Urban zone by providing for more efficient use of those sites.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.217	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H6.3(18) as follows: '(18) Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in Mixed Housing Urban zone, such as retirement villages.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.217	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H6.3(18) as follows: '(18) Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in Mixed Housing Urban zone, such as retirement villages.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.218	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H6.3(19) as follows: '(19) Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they: (a) May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services. (b) Have unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.218	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H6.3(19) as follows: '(19) Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they: (a) May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services. (b) Have unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.219	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H6.3(20) as follows: '(20) Enable the density standards to be utilised as a baseline for the assessment of the effects of developments.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.220	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H6.6.6.(2) to include: '(2)(b) Open Space: Conservation Zone; Informal Recreation Zone; Sport and Active Recreation Zone; Civic Space Zone; Community Zone; - Special Purpose: Healthcare Facility Zone and Hospital Zone; Major Recreation Zone; School Zone; Tertiary Education Zone.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.221	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H6.6.13 as follows: 'All Development containing up to three dwellings or retirement units must comply with the following: (i) For retirement units, clauses (a) to (h) apply with the following modification: The minimum dimensions for a required outlook space are 1 metre in depth and 1 metre in width for a principal living room and all other habitable rooms.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.221	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H6.6.13 as follows: 'All Development containing up to three dwellings or retirement units must comply with the following: (i) For retirement units, clauses (a) to (h) apply with the following modification: The minimum dimensions for a required outlook space are 1 metre in depth and 1 metre in width for a principal living room and all other habitable rooms.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.222	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3) and H6.6.15(4).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.222	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3) and H6.6.15(4).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.223	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Standard H6.6.19(2) as follows: 'Development containing four or more dwellings or retirement units and any other development must comply with the following: (2) Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house, visitor accommodation or retirement unit facing the public street or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20% of the street facing façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.224	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H6.9(2) and H6.9(3) to clarify that the specific information requirements within those clauses do not apply to retirement villages [if retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.225	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H.8.3.(20)(c) as follows: '(c) requiring the height, form, and design of new buildings to be complementary to existing and planned built form and character of the zone and precincts; and.'	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.226	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend Map H8.11.3 to only refer to building heights 20m and over.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.227	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H10.1. Zone description to reinstate 'residential activities'.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.228	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H10.5 as follows: 'x) This standard does not apply to – y) A boundary with a road: z) Existing or proposed internal boundaries within a site: aa) Site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed: a. Boundaries adjoining open space zones, rural zones, and business zones.' Refer to submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS185	Charles H Levin	oppose
947.228	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H10.5 as follows: 'x) This standard does not apply to – y) A boundary with a road: z) Existing or proposed internal boundaries within a site: aa) Site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed: a. Boundaries adjoining open space zones, rural zones, and business zones.' Refer to submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.228	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H10.5 as follows: 'x) This standard does not apply to – y) A boundary with a road: z) Existing or proposed internal boundaries within a site: aa) Site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed: a. Boundaries adjoining open space zones, rural zones, and business zones.' Refer to submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS420	Trevor Lund	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.229	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H11.1 to reinstate 'residential activities.'	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.230	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H11.1 to clarify residential activities are enabled on ground floor.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.231	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H11.3(22) as follows: '(22) Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in the Town Centre Zone, such as retirement villages.'	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.231	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H11.3(22) as follows: '(22) Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in the Town Centre Zone, such as retirement villages.'	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.232	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H11.3(23) as follows: '(23) Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the Commercial zones by providing for more efficient use of those sites.'	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.232	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H11.3(23) as follows: '(23) Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the Commercial zones by providing for more efficient use of those sites.'	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.233	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H11.3(24) as follows: '(24) Provision of housing for an ageing population: Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in Medium Density Residential Areas, such as retirement villages. Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they: May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services. Have a unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.'	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.233	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H11.3(24) as follows: '(24) Provision of housing for an ageing population: Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in Medium Density Residential Areas, such as retirement villages. Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they: May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services. Have a unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.'	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.234	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add Policy H11.3(25) as follows: '(25) Enable the density standards to be utilised as a baseline for the assessment of the effects of developments.'	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.235	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H11.6.2 as follows: 'bb) This standard does not apply to – a. A boundary with a road: b. Existing or proposed internal boundaries within a site: c. Site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed: d. Boundaries adjoining open space zones, rural zones, and business zones.'	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.236	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H12.1 to reinstate 'residential activities'.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.237	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H12.1 to clarify that residential activities are enabled on ground floor.	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.238	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add new Policy H12.3(20) as follows: '(20) Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the Town Centre Zone by providing for more efficient use of those sites.'	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.238	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add new Policy H12.3(20) as follows: '(20) Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the Town Centre Zone by providing for more efficient use of those sites.'	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.239	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add new Policy H12.3(21) as follows: '(21) Provision of housing for an ageing population: a. Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in Medium Density Residential Areas, such as retirement villages. b. Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they: i. May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services. ii. Have a unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.'	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.239	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add new Policy H12.3(21) as follows: '(21) Provision of housing for an ageing population: a. Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in Medium Density Residential Areas, such as retirement villages. b. Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they: i. May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services. ii. Have a unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.'	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.240	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add new Policy H12.3(22) as follows: '(22) Enable the density standards to be utilised as a baseline for the assessment of the effects of developments.'	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.240	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add new Policy H12.3(22) as follows: '(22) Enable the density standards to be utilised as a baseline for the assessment of the effects of developments.'	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.241	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H12.6.2 as follows: 'cc) This standard does not apply to – a. A boundary with a road: b. Existing or proposed internal boundaries within a site: c. Site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed: d. Boundaries adjoining open space zones, rural zones, and business zones.'	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.242	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add new Policy H13.3(24) as follows: '(24) Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the Town Centre Zone by providing for more efficient use of those sites.'	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.242	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add new Policy H13.3(24) as follows: '(24) Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the Town Centre Zone by providing for more efficient use of those sites.'	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.243	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add new Policy H13.3(25) as follows: '(25) Provision of housing for an ageing population: c. Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in Medium Density Residential Areas, such as retirement villages. d. Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they: iii. May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services. iv. Have a unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.'	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS226	Oceania Healthcare Limited	support
947.243	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add new Policy H13.3(25) as follows: '(25) Provision of housing for an ageing population: c. Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in Medium Density Residential Areas, such as retirement villages. d. Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they: iii. May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services. iv. Have a unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.'	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.244	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Add new Policy H13.3(26) as follows: '(26) Enable the density standards to be utilised as a baseline for the assessment of the effects of developments.'	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
947.245	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H13.6.2 as follows: 'dd) This standard does not apply to – a. A boundary with a road: b. Existing or proposed internal boundaries within a site: c. Site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed: d. Boundaries adjoining open space zones, rural zones and business zones.'	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS185	Charles H Levin	oppose
947.245	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H13.6.2 as follows: 'dd) This standard does not apply to – a. A boundary with a road: b. Existing or proposed internal boundaries within a site: c. Site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed: d. Boundaries adjoining open space zones, rural zones and business zones.'	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.245	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend H13.6.2 as follows: 'dd) This standard does not apply to – a. A boundary with a road: b. Existing or proposed internal boundaries within a site: c. Site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between two buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed: d. Boundaries adjoining open space zones, rural zones and business zones.'	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS420	Trevor Lund	oppose
947.246	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend the Height Variation Control to consider another method of incorporating bespoke Height Variation Controls that enable greater flexibility to respond to the changing environment over time, particularly for those sites where the maximum height limit is set below the MDRS.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
947.246	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	Amend the Height Variation Control to consider another method of incorporating bespoke Height Variation Controls that enable greater flexibility to respond to the changing environment over time, particularly for those sites where the maximum height limit is set below the MDRS.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS379	Mission Bay Kohimaru	oppose
948.1	Remuera Heritage Inc	Reinstate all areas in Remuera which are currently Special Character Areas in the operative AUP as Special Character Areas in the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.1	Remuera Heritage Inc	Reinstate all areas in Remuera which are currently Special Character Areas in the operative AUP as Special Character Areas in the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS133	Retirement Village G	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.1	Remuera Heritage Inc	Reinstate all areas in Remuera which are currently Special Character Areas in the operative AUP as Special Character Areas in the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
948.1	Remuera Heritage Inc	Reinstate all areas in Remuera which are currently Special Character Areas in the operative AUP as Special Character Areas in the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.1	Remuera Heritage Inc	Reinstate all areas in Remuera which are currently Special Character Areas in the operative AUP as Special Character Areas in the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.1	Remuera Heritage Inc	Reinstate all areas in Remuera which are currently Special Character Areas in the operative AUP as Special Character Areas in the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.1	Remuera Heritage Inc	Reinstate all areas in Remuera which are currently Special Character Areas in the operative AUP as Special Character Areas in the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS432	Sally Helen Jacobson	oppose in
948.1	Remuera Heritage Inc	Reinstate all areas in Remuera which are currently Special Character Areas in the operative AUP as Special Character Areas in the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
948.2	Remuera Heritage Inc	Include the following specific properties and areas in the Special Character Overlay in the plan change: 'Sub-areas should be extended or created in Arney Road, 172-251 Remuera Road, Victoria Avenue, Lucerne Road, Market Road, Pere Street, Pukeora Avenue and 682-738 Remuera Road, Benson Road, Kelvin Road, Martin Avenue, Garden Road and Seaview Road.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.2	Remuera Heritage Inc	Include the following specific properties and areas in the Special Character Overlay in the plan change: 'Sub-areas should be extended or created in Arney Road, 172-251 Remuera Road, Victoria Avenue, Lucerne Road, Market Road, Pere Street, Pukeora Avenue and 682-738 Remuera Road, Benson Road, Kelvin Road, Martin Avenue, Garden Road and Seaview Road.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS133	Retirement Village G	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.2	Remuera Heritage Inc	Include the following specific properties and areas in the Special Character Overlay in the plan change: 'Sub-areas should be extended or created in Arney Road, 172-251 Remuera Road, Victoria Avenue, Lucerne Road, Market Road, Pere Street, Pukeora Avenue and 682-738 Remuera Road, Benson Road, Kelvin Road, Martin Avenue, Garden Road and Seaview Road.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
948.2	Remuera Heritage Inc	Include the following specific properties and areas in the Special Character Overlay in the plan change: 'Sub-areas should be extended or created in Arney Road, 172-251 Remuera Road, Victoria Avenue, Lucerne Road, Market Road, Pere Street, Pukeora Avenue and 682-738 Remuera Road, Benson Road, Kelvin Road, Martin Avenue, Garden Road and Seaview Road.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.2	Remuera Heritage Inc	Include the following specific properties and areas in the Special Character Overlay in the plan change: 'Sub-areas should be extended or created in Arney Road, 172-251 Remuera Road, Victoria Avenue, Lucerne Road, Market Road, Pere Street, Pukeora Avenue and 682-738 Remuera Road, Benson Road, Kelvin Road, Martin Avenue, Garden Road and Seaview Road.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.2	Remuera Heritage Inc	Include the following specific properties and areas in the Special Character Overlay in the plan change: 'Sub-areas should be extended or created in Arney Road, 172-251 Remuera Road, Victoria Avenue, Lucerne Road, Market Road, Pere Street, Pukeora Avenue and 682-738 Remuera Road, Benson Road, Kelvin Road, Martin Avenue, Garden Road and Seaview Road.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.2	Remuera Heritage Inc	Include the following specific properties and areas in the Special Character Overlay in the plan change: 'Sub-areas should be extended or created in Arney Road, 172-251 Remuera Road, Victoria Avenue, Lucerne Road, Market Road, Pere Street, Pukeora Avenue and 682-738 Remuera Road, Benson Road, Kelvin Road, Martin Avenue, Garden Road and Seaview Road.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
948.3	Remuera Heritage Inc	Consider Special Character Area Isthmus Types A, B, and C together during assessment of Special Character Areas as a Qualifying Matter, for instance, Remuera Isthmus B and Ōhinerau / Mount Hobson should be assessed together and combined.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.3	Remuera Heritage Inc	Consider Special Character Area Isthmus Types A, B, and C together during assessment of Special Character Areas as a Qualifying Matter, for instance, Remuera Isthmus B and Ōhinerau / Mount Hobson should be assessed together and combined.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS133	Retirement Village G	support
948.3	Remuera Heritage Inc	Consider Special Character Area Isthmus Types A, B, and C together during assessment of Special Character Areas as a Qualifying Matter, for instance, Remuera Isthmus B and Ōhinerau / Mount Hobson should be assessed together and combined.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.3	Remuera Heritage Inc	Consider Special Character Area Isthmus Types A, B, and C together during assessment of Special Character Areas as a Qualifying Matter, for instance, Remuera Isthmus B and Ōhinerau / Mount Hobson should be assessed together and combined.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.3	Remuera Heritage Inc	Consider Special Character Area Isthmus Types A, B, and C together during assessment of Special Character Areas as a Qualifying Matter, for instance, Remuera Isthmus B and Ōhinerau / Mount Hobson should be assessed together and combined.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.3	Remuera Heritage Inc	Consider Special Character Area Isthmus Types A, B, and C together during assessment of Special Character Areas as a Qualifying Matter, for instance, Remuera Isthmus B and Ōhinerau / Mount Hobson should be assessed together and combined.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.3	Remuera Heritage Inc	Consider Special Character Area Isthmus Types A, B, and C together during assessment of Special Character Areas as a Qualifying Matter, for instance, Remuera Isthmus B and Ōhinerau / Mount Hobson should be assessed together and combined.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
948.4	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score to properties in Special Character Areas [inferred in Remuera] which had been assessed as being rear lots not visible from the street and include those rear lots scoring 4, 5, or 6 in the threshold calculations for High Quality Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.4	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score to properties in Special Character Areas [inferred in Remuera] which had been assessed as being rear lots not visible from the street and include those rear lots scoring 4, 5, or 6 in the threshold calculations for High Quality Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS133	Retirement Village G	support
948.4	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score to properties in Special Character Areas [inferred in Remuera] which had been assessed as being rear lots not visible from the street and include those rear lots scoring 4, 5, or 6 in the threshold calculations for High Quality Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
948.4	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score to properties in Special Character Areas [inferred in Remuera] which had been assessed as being rear lots not visible from the street and include those rear lots scoring 4, 5, or 6 in the threshold calculations for High Quality Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.4	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score to properties in Special Character Areas [inferred in Remuera] which had been assessed as being rear lots not visible from the street and include those rear lots scoring 4, 5, or 6 in the threshold calculations for High Quality Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.4	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score to properties in Special Character Areas [inferred in Remuera] which had been assessed as being rear lots not visible from the street and include those rear lots scoring 4, 5, or 6 in the threshold calculations for High Quality Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.4	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score to properties in Special Character Areas [inferred in Remuera] which had been assessed as being rear lots not visible from the street and include those rear lots scoring 4, 5, or 6 in the threshold calculations for High Quality Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
948.5	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve the inclusion of Special Character Areas as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.5	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve the inclusion of Special Character Areas as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
948.5	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve the inclusion of Special Character Areas as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.5	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve the inclusion of Special Character Areas as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.5	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve the inclusion of Special Character Areas as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.5	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve the inclusion of Special Character Areas as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
948.6	Remuera Heritage Inc	Reject the overall methodology used to determine which Special Character Areas would be included in the plan change as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.6	Remuera Heritage Inc	Reject the overall methodology used to determine which Special Character Areas would be included in the plan change as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.6	Remuera Heritage Inc	Reject the overall methodology used to determine which Special Character Areas would be included in the plan change as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.6	Remuera Heritage Inc	Reject the overall methodology used to determine which Special Character Areas would be included in the plan change as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.6	Remuera Heritage Inc	Reject the overall methodology used to determine which Special Character Areas would be included in the plan change as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.7	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 31 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.7	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 31 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.7	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 31 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.7	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 31 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS358	James Hu	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.7	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 31 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.8	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 31 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.8	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 31 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.8	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 31 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.8	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 31 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.8	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 31 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.9	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 45 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.9	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 45 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.9	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 45 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.9	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 45 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.9	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 45 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.10	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 45 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.10	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 45 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.10	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 45 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.10	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 45 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.10	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 45 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.11	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 47 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.11	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 47 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.11	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 47 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.11	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 47 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.11	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 47 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.12	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 47 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.12	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 47 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.12	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 47 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.12	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 47 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.12	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 47 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.13	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 47 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.13	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 47 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.13	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 47 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.13	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 47 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.13	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 47 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.14	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 95 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.14	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 95 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.14	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 95 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.14	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 95 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.14	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 95 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.15	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 95 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.15	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 95 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.15	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 95 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.15	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 95 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.15	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 95 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.16	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 97 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.16	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 97 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.16	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 97 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.16	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 97 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.16	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 97 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.17	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 97 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.17	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 97 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.17	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 97 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.17	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 97 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.17	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 97 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.18	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 97 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.18	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 97 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.18	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 97 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.18	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 97 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.18	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 97 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.19	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 103 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.19	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 103 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.19	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 103 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.19	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 103 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.19	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 103 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.20	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 103 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.20	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 103 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.20	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 103 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.20	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 103 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.20	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 103 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.21	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 153 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.21	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 153 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.21	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 153 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.21	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 153 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.21	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 153 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.22	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 153 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.22	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 153 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.22	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 153 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.22	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 153 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.22	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 153 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.23	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 155 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 4 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.23	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 155 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 4 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.23	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 155 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 4 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.23	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 155 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 4 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.23	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 155 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 4 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.24	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 155 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.24	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 155 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.24	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 155 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.24	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 155 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.24	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 155 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.25	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 155 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.25	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 155 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.25	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 155 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.25	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 155 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.25	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 155 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.26	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 169 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.26	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 169 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.26	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 169 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.26	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 169 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.26	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 169 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.27	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 169 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.27	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 169 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
948.27	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 169 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.27	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 169 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.27	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 169 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.28	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 179 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.28	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 179 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
948.28	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 179 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.28	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 179 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.28	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 179 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.29	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 179 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.29	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 179 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.29	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 179 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.29	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 179 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.29	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 179 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.30	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 183 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.30	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 183 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.30	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 183 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.30	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 183 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS358	James Hu	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.30	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 183 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.31	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 183 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.31	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 183 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.31	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 183 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.31	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 183 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.31	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 183 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.32	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 185 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.32	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 185 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.32	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 185 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.32	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 185 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.32	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve inclusion of 185 Victoria Avenue, Remuera in Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.33	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 185 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.33	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 185 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.33	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 185 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.33	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 185 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.33	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve zoning of 185 Victoria Avenue, Remuera as Low Density Residential Zone.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.34	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 203 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.34	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 203 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.34	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 203 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.34	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 203 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.34	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 203 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.35	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 203 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.35	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 203 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.35	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 203 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.35	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 203 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.35	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 203 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.36	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 209 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.36	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 209 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.36	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 209 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.36	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 209 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.36	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 209 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.37	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 209 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.37	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 209 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.37	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 209 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.37	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 209 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.37	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 209 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.38	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 211 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.38	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 211 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.38	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 211 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.38	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 211 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.38	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 211 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.39	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 211 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.39	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 211 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.39	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 211 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.39	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 211 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.39	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 211 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.40	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 82 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.40	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 82 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.40	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 82 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.40	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 82 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.40	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 82 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.41	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 82 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.41	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 82 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.41	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 82 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.41	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 82 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.41	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 82 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.42	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 84 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.42	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 84 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.42	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 84 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.42	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 84 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.42	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 84 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.43	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 84 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.43	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 84 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.43	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 84 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.43	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 84 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.43	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 84 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.44	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 86 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.44	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 86 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.44	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 86 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.44	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 86 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.44	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 86 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.45	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 86 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.45	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 86 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.45	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 86 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.45	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 86 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.45	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 86 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.46	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 90 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.46	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 90 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.46	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 90 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.46	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 90 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.46	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 90 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.47	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 90 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.47	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 90 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.47	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 90 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.47	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 90 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.47	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 90 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.48	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 100 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.48	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 100 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.48	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 100 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.48	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 100 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.48	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 100 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.49	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 100 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.49	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 100 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.49	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 100 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.49	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 100 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.49	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 100 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.50	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 108 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.50	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 108 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.50	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 108 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.50	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 108 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.50	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 108 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.51	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 108 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.51	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 108 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.51	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 108 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.51	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 108 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.51	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 108 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.52	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 110 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 4 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.52	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 110 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 4 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.52	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 110 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 4 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.52	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 110 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 4 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.52	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 110 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 4 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.53	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 110 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.53	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 110 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.53	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 110 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.53	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 110 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.53	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 110 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.54	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 110 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.54	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 110 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.54	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 110 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.54	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 110 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.54	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 110 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.55	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 120 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 1 to 4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.55	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 120 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 1 to 4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.55	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 120 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 1 to 4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.55	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 120 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 1 to 4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.55	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 120 Victoria Avenue, Remuera, from 1 to 4.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.56	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 120 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.56	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 120 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.56	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 120 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.56	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 120 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.56	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 120 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.57	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 120 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.57	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 120 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.57	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 120 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.57	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 120 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.57	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 120 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.58	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 124 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.58	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 124 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.58	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 124 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.58	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 124 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.58	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 124 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.59	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 124 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.59	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 124 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.59	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 124 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.59	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 124 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.59	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 124 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.60	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 128 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.60	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 128 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.60	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 128 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.60	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 128 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.60	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 128 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.61	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 128 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.61	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 128 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.61	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 128 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.61	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 128 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.61	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 128 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.62	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 132 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.62	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 132 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.62	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 132 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.62	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 132 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.62	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 132 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.63	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 132 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.63	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 132 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.63	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 132 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.63	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 132 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.63	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 132 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.64	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 134 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.64	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 134 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.64	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 134 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.64	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 134 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.64	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 134 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.65	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 134 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.65	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 134 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.65	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 134 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.65	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 134 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.65	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 134 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.66	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 136 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.66	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 136 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.66	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 136 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.66	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 136 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.66	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 136 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.67	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 136 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.67	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 136 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.67	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 136 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.67	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 136 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.67	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 136 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.68	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 138 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.68	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 138 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.68	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 138 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.68	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 138 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.68	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 138 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.69	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 138 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.69	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 138 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.69	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 138 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.69	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 138 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.69	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 138 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.70	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 142 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.70	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 142 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.70	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 142 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.70	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 142 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.70	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 142 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.71	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 142 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.71	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 142 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.71	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 142 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.71	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 142 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.71	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 142 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.72	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 148 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.72	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 148 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.72	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 148 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.72	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 148 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.72	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 148 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.73	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 148 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.73	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 148 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.73	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 148 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.73	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 148 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.73	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 148 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.74	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 150 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.74	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 150 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.74	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 150 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.74	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 150 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.74	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 150 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.75	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 150 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.75	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 150 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.75	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 150 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.75	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 150 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.75	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 150 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.76	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 158 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.76	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 158 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.76	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 158 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.76	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 158 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.76	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 158 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.77	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 158 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.77	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 158 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.77	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 158 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.77	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 158 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.77	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 158 Victoria Avenue, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.78	Remuera Heritage Inc	Form a High Quality Special Character Sub-Area along Victoria Avenue in Remuera which encompasses 82 to 158 Victoria Avenue on one side of the road, and 31 to 211 Victoria Avenue on the other side of the road, and in doing so rezone this area to Low Density Residential Zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.78	Remuera Heritage Inc	Form a High Quality Special Character Sub-Area along Victoria Avenue in Remuera which encompasses 82 to 158 Victoria Avenue on one side of the road, and 31 to 211 Victoria Avenue on the other side of the road, and in doing so rezone this area to Low Density Residential Zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.78	Remuera Heritage Inc	Form a High Quality Special Character Sub-Area along Victoria Avenue in Remuera which encompasses 82 to 158 Victoria Avenue on one side of the road, and 31 to 211 Victoria Avenue on the other side of the road, and in doing so rezone this area to Low Density Residential Zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.78	Remuera Heritage Inc	Form a High Quality Special Character Sub-Area along Victoria Avenue in Remuera which encompasses 82 to 158 Victoria Avenue on one side of the road, and 31 to 211 Victoria Avenue on the other side of the road, and in doing so rezone this area to Low Density Residential Zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.78	Remuera Heritage Inc	Form a High Quality Special Character Sub-Area along Victoria Avenue in Remuera which encompasses 82 to 158 Victoria Avenue on one side of the road, and 31 to 211 Victoria Avenue on the other side of the road, and in doing so rezone this area to Low Density Residential Zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.79	Remuera Heritage Inc	Form a High Quality Special Character Sub-Area along Victoria Avenue in Remuera which encompasses 82 to 158 Victoria Avenue on one side of the road, and 31 to 211 Victoria Avenue on the other side of the road, and in doing so rezone this area to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.79	Remuera Heritage Inc	Form a High Quality Special Character Sub-Area along Victoria Avenue in Remuera which encompasses 82 to 158 Victoria Avenue on one side of the road, and 31 to 211 Victoria Avenue on the other side of the road, and in doing so rezone this area to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.79	Remuera Heritage Inc	Form a High Quality Special Character Sub-Area along Victoria Avenue in Remuera which encompasses 82 to 158 Victoria Avenue on one side of the road, and 31 to 211 Victoria Avenue on the other side of the road, and in doing so rezone this area to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.79	Remuera Heritage Inc	Form a High Quality Special Character Sub-Area along Victoria Avenue in Remuera which encompasses 82 to 158 Victoria Avenue on one side of the road, and 31 to 211 Victoria Avenue on the other side of the road, and in doing so rezone this area to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.79	Remuera Heritage Inc	Form a High Quality Special Character Sub-Area along Victoria Avenue in Remuera which encompasses 82 to 158 Victoria Avenue on one side of the road, and 31 to 211 Victoria Avenue on the other side of the road, and in doing so rezone this area to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.80	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 172 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.80	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 172 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.80	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 172 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.80	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 172 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.80	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 172 Victoria Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.81	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 172 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.81	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 172 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.81	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 172 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.81	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 172 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.81	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 172 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.82	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 176 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.82	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 176 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.82	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 176 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.82	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 176 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.82	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 176 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.83	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 176 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.83	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 176 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.83	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 176 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.83	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 176 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.83	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 176 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.84	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 178 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.84	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 178 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.84	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 178 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.84	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 178 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.84	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 178 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.85	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 178 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.85	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 178 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.85	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 178 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.85	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 178 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.85	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 178 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.86	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 178 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.86	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 178 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.86	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 178 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.86	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 178 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.86	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 178 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.87	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 180 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.87	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 180 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.87	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 180 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.87	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 180 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.87	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 180 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.88	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 180 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.88	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 180 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.88	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 180 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.88	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 180 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.88	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 180 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.89	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 180 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.89	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 180 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.89	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 180 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.89	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 180 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.89	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 180 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.90	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 182 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.90	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 182 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.90	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 182 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.90	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 182 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.90	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 182 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.91	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 182 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.91	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 182 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.91	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 182 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.91	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 182 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.91	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 182 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.92	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 184 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.92	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 184 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.92	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 184 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.92	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 184 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.92	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 184 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.93	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 184 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.93	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 184 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.93	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 184 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.93	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 184 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.93	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 184 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 186 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 186 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 186 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 186 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 186 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.95	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 190 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.95	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 190 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.95	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 190 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.95	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 190 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.95	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 190 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.96	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 190 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.96	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 190 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.96	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 190 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.96	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 190 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.96	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 190 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.97	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 190 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.97	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 190 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.97	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 190 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.97	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 190 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.97	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 190 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.98	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 192 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.98	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 192 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
948.98	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 192 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.98	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 192 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.98	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 192 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.99	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 192 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.99	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 192 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
948.99	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 192 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.99	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 192 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.99	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 192 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.100	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 192 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.100	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 192 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.100	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 192 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.100	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 192 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.100	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 192 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.101	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 194 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 3 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.101	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 194 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 3 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.101	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 194 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 3 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.101	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 194 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 3 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.101	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 194 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 3 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.102	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 194 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.102	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 194 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.102	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 194 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.102	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 194 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.102	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 194 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.103	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 194 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.103	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 194 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.103	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 194 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.103	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 194 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.103	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 194 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.104	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 196 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.104	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 196 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.104	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 196 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.104	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 196 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.104	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 196 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 5 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.105	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 196 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.105	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 196 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.105	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 196 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.105	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 196 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.105	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 196 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.106	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 196 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.106	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 196 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.106	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 196 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.106	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 196 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.106	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 196 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.107	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 208 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.107	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 208 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.107	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 208 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.107	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 208 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.107	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 208 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.108	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 208 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.108	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 208 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.108	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 208 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.108	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 208 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.108	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 208 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.109	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 210 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.109	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 210 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.109	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 210 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.109	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 210 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.109	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 210 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.110	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 210 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.110	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 210 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.110	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 210 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.110	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 210 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.110	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 210 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.111	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 214 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.111	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 214 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.111	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 214 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.111	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 214 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.111	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 214 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.112	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 214 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.112	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 214 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.112	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 214 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.112	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 214 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.112	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 214 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.113	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 216 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.113	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 216 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.113	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 216 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.113	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 216 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.113	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 216 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.114	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 216 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.114	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 216 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.114	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 216 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.114	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 216 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.114	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 216 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.115	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 220 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.115	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 220 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.115	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 220 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.115	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 220 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.115	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 220 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.116	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 220 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.116	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 220 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.116	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 220 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.116	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 220 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.116	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 220 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.117	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 226 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.117	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 226 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.117	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 226 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.117	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 226 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.117	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 226 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.118	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 226 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.118	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 226 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.118	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 226 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.118	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 226 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.118	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 226 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.119	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 232 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.119	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 232 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.119	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 232 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.119	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 232 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.119	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 232 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.120	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 232 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.120	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 232 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.120	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 232 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.120	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 232 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.120	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 232 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.121	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 234 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 3 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.121	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 234 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 3 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.121	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 234 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 3 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.121	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 234 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 3 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.121	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 234 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 3 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.122	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 234 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.122	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 234 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.122	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 234 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.122	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 234 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.122	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 234 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.123	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 234 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.123	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 234 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.123	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 234 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.123	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 234 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.123	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 234 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.124	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 236 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.124	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 236 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.124	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 236 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.124	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 236 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.124	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 236 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.125	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 236 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.125	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 236 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.125	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 236 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.125	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 236 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.125	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 236 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.126	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 238 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 2 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.126	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 238 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 2 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.126	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 238 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 2 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.126	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 238 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 2 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.126	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 238 Remuera Road, Remuera, from 2 to 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.127	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 238 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.127	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 238 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.127	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 238 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.127	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 238 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.127	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 238 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.128	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 238 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.128	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 238 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.128	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 238 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.128	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 238 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.128	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 238 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.129	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 227 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.129	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 227 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.129	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 227 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.129	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 227 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.129	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 227 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.130	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 227 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.130	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 227 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.130	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 227 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.130	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 227 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.130	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 227 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.131	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 229 Remuera Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.131	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 229 Remuera Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.131	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 229 Remuera Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.131	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 229 Remuera Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.131	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 229 Remuera Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.132	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 229 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.132	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 229 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.132	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 229 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.132	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 229 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.132	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 229 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.133	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 229 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.133	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 229 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.133	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 229 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.133	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 229 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.133	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 229 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.134	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 231 Remuera Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.134	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 231 Remuera Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.134	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 231 Remuera Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.134	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 231 Remuera Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.134	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 231 Remuera Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.135	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 231 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.135	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 231 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.135	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 231 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.135	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 231 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.135	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 231 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.136	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 231 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.136	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 231 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.136	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 231 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.136	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 231 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.136	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 231 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.137	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 233 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.137	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 233 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.137	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 233 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.137	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 233 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.137	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 233 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.138	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 233 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.138	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 233 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.138	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 233 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.138	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 233 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.138	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 233 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.139	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 235 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.139	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 235 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.139	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 235 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.139	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 235 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.139	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 235 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.140	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 235 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.140	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 235 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.140	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 235 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.140	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 235 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.140	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 235 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.141	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 241 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.141	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 241 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.141	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 241 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.141	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 241 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.141	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 241 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.142	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 241 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.142	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 241 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.142	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 241 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.142	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 241 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.142	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 241 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.143	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 243 and 247 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.143	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 243 and 247 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.143	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 243 and 247 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.143	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 243 and 247 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.143	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 243 and 247 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.144	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 243 and 247 Remuera Road, Remuera [inferred to Low Density Residential Zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.144	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 243 and 247 Remuera Road, Remuera [inferred to Low Density Residential Zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.144	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 243 and 247 Remuera Road, Remuera [inferred to Low Density Residential Zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.144	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 243 and 247 Remuera Road, Remuera [inferred to Low Density Residential Zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.144	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 243 and 247 Remuera Road, Remuera [inferred to Low Density Residential Zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.145	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 251 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.145	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 251 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.145	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 251 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.145	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 251 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.145	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 251 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.146	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 251 Remuera Road, Remuera to Mixed Housing Suburban Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.146	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 251 Remuera Road, Remuera to Mixed Housing Suburban Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.146	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 251 Remuera Road, Remuera to Mixed Housing Suburban Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.146	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 251 Remuera Road, Remuera to Mixed Housing Suburban Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.146	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 251 Remuera Road, Remuera to Mixed Housing Suburban Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.147	Remuera Heritage Inc	Create a High Quality Special Character Sub-Area which includes at least 1 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 7 Pere Street, and 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, Remuera, but which alternatively also includes 172 Remuera Road, 174 Remuera Road, 176 Remuera Road, 178 Remuera Road, 180 Remuera Road, 182 Remuera Road, 184 Remuera Road. Combine Special Character Area Isthmus B and Isthmus C to create this area. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.147	Remuera Heritage Inc	Create a High Quality Special Character Sub-Area which includes at least 1 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 7 Pere Street, and 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, Remuera, but which alternatively also includes 172 Remuera Road, 174 Remuera Road, 176 Remuera Road, 178 Remuera Road, 180 Remuera Road, 182 Remuera Road, 184 Remuera Road. Combine Special Character Area Isthmus B and Isthmus C to create this area. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.147	Remuera Heritage Inc	Create a High Quality Special Character Sub-Area which includes at least 1 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 7 Pere Street, and 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, Remuera, but which alternatively also includes 172 Remuera Road, 174 Remuera Road, 176 Remuera Road, 178 Remuera Road, 180 Remuera Road, 182 Remuera Road, 184 Remuera Road. Combine Special Character Area Isthmus B and Isthmus C to create this area. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.147	Remuera Heritage Inc	Create a High Quality Special Character Sub-Area which includes at least 1 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 7 Pere Street, and 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, Remuera, but which alternatively also includes 172 Remuera Road, 174 Remuera Road, 176 Remuera Road, 178 Remuera Road, 180 Remuera Road, 182 Remuera Road, 184 Remuera Road. Combine Special Character Area Isthmus B and Isthmus C to create this area. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.147	Remuera Heritage Inc	Create a High Quality Special Character Sub-Area which includes at least 1 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 7 Pere Street, and 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, Remuera, but which alternatively also includes 172 Remuera Road, 174 Remuera Road, 176 Remuera Road, 178 Remuera Road, 180 Remuera Road, 182 Remuera Road, 184 Remuera Road. Combine Special Character Area Isthmus B and Isthmus C to create this area. (Refer to submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.148	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 91 Arney Road, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.148	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 91 Arney Road, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.148	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 91 Arney Road, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.148	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 91 Arney Road, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.148	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 91 Arney Road, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.149	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 63 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.149	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 63 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
948.149	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 63 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.149	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 63 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.149	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 63 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.150	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 63 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.150	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 63 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
948.150	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 63 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.150	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 63 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.150	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 63 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.151	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 67 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.151	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 67 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.151	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 67 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.151	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 67 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.151	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 67 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.152	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 67 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.152	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 67 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.152	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 67 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.152	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 67 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.152	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 67 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.153	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 69 Arney Road, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.153	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 69 Arney Road, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
948.153	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 69 Arney Road, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.153	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 69 Arney Road, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.153	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 69 Arney Road, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.154	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 69 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.154	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 69 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
948.154	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 69 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.154	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 69 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.154	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 69 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.155	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 69 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.155	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 69 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.155	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 69 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.155	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 69 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.155	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 69 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.156	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 77 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.156	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 77 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.156	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 77 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.156	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 77 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.156	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 77 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.157	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 77 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.157	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 77 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.157	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 77 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.157	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 77 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.157	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 77 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.158	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 81 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.158	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 81 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.158	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 81 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.158	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 81 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.158	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 81 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.159	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 81 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.159	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 81 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.159	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 81 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.159	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 81 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.159	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 81 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.160	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 84 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.160	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 84 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.160	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 84 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.160	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 84 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.160	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 84 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.161	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 84 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.161	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 84 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.161	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 84 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.161	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 84 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.161	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 84 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.162	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 85 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.162	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 85 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.162	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 85 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.162	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 85 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.162	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 85 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.163	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 85 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.163	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 85 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.163	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 85 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.163	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 85 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.163	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 85 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.164	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 91 Arney Road, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.164	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 91 Arney Road, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.164	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 91 Arney Road, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.164	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 91 Arney Road, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.164	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 91 Arney Road, Remuera, from 2 to 5.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.165	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 91 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.165	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 91 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.165	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 91 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.165	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 91 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.165	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 91 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.166	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 91 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.166	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 91 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.166	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 91 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.166	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 91 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.166	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 91 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.167	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 99 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.167	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 99 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.167	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 99 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.167	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 99 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.167	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 99 Arney Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.168	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 99 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.168	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 99 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.168	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 99 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.168	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 99 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.168	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 99 Arney Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.169	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 15 Bassett Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.169	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 15 Bassett Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.169	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 15 Bassett Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.169	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 15 Bassett Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.169	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 15 Bassett Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.170	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 15 Bassett Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.170	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 15 Bassett Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.170	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 15 Bassett Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.170	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 15 Bassett Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.170	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 15 Bassett Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.171	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 39 Bassett Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.171	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 39 Bassett Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.171	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 39 Bassett Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.171	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 39 Bassett Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.171	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 39 Bassett Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.172	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 39 Bassett Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.172	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 39 Bassett Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.172	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 39 Bassett Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.172	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 39 Bassett Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.172	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 39 Bassett Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.173	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay over all properties on Arney Road and Bassett Road, Remuera. (See submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.173	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay over all properties on Arney Road and Bassett Road, Remuera. (See submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.173	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay over all properties on Arney Road and Bassett Road, Remuera. (See submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.173	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay over all properties on Arney Road and Bassett Road, Remuera. (See submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.173	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay over all properties on Arney Road and Bassett Road, Remuera. (See submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.173	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay over all properties on Arney Road and Bassett Road, Remuera. (See submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS432	Sally Helen Jacobson	oppose in
948.174	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone all properties on Arney Road and Bassett Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone (See submission for detail).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.174	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone all properties on Arney Road and Bassett Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone (See submission for detail).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.174	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone all properties on Arney Road and Bassett Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone (See submission for detail).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.174	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone all properties on Arney Road and Bassett Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone (See submission for detail).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.174	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone all properties on Arney Road and Bassett Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone (See submission for detail).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.174	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone all properties on Arney Road and Bassett Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone (See submission for detail).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS432	Sally Helen Jacobson	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.175	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay over properties on the north side of Market Road, Mount Hobson Lane, and Pere Street, Remuera [inferred] including 9 Market Road, 13 Market Road, 13A Market Road, 15 Market Road, 17 Market Road, 19 Market Road, 19A Market Road, 21 Market Road, 23 Market Road, 25 Market Road, 27 Market Road, 27A Market Road, 29 Market Road, 31 Market Road, 33 Market Road, 35 Market Road, 37 Market Road, 39 Market Road, 43 Market Road, 47 Market Road, 51 Market Road, 53 Market Road, 55 Market Road, 227 Remuera Road, 229 Remuera Road, 229A Remuera Road, 231 Remuera Road, 231A Remuera Road, 231B Remuera Road, 231C-D Remuera Road, 231E Remuera Road, 233 Remuera Road, 1 Mount Hobson Lane, 3 Mount Hobson Lane, 5 Mount Hobson Lane, 9 Mount Hobson Lane, 38 Mount Hobson Road, 2 Pere Street, 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, 7 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street and 1 Pere Street, Remuera. (See submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.175	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay over properties on the north side of Market Road, Mount Hobson Lane, and Pere Street, Remuera [inferred] including 9 Market Road, 13 Market Road, 13A Market Road, 15 Market Road, 17 Market Road, 19 Market Road, 19A Market Road, 21 Market Road, 23 Market Road, 25 Market Road, 27 Market Road, 27A Market Road, 29 Market Road, 31 Market Road, 33 Market Road, 35 Market Road, 37 Market Road, 39 Market Road, 43 Market Road, 47 Market Road, 51 Market Road, 53 Market Road, 55 Market Road, 227 Remuera Road, 229 Remuera Road, 229A Remuera Road, 231 Remuera Road, 231A Remuera Road, 231B Remuera Road, 231C-D Remuera Road, 231E Remuera Road, 233 Remuera Road, 1 Mount Hobson Lane, 3 Mount Hobson Lane, 5 Mount Hobson Lane, 9 Mount Hobson Lane, 38 Mount Hobson Road, 2 Pere Street, 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, 7 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street and 1 Pere Street, Remuera. (See submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.175	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay over properties on the north side of Market Road, Mount Hobson Lane, and Pere Street, Remuera [inferred] including 9 Market Road, 13 Market Road, 13A Market Road, 15 Market Road, 17 Market Road, 19 Market Road, 19A Market Road, 21 Market Road, 23 Market Road, 25 Market Road, 27 Market Road, 27A Market Road, 29 Market Road, 31 Market Road, 33 Market Road, 35 Market Road, 37 Market Road, 39 Market Road, 43 Market Road, 47 Market Road, 51 Market Road, 53 Market Road, 55 Market Road, 227 Remuera Road, 229 Remuera Road, 229A Remuera Road, 231 Remuera Road, 231A Remuera Road, 231B Remuera Road, 231C-D Remuera Road, 231E Remuera Road, 233 Remuera Road, 1 Mount Hobson Lane, 3 Mount Hobson Lane, 5 Mount Hobson Lane, 9 Mount Hobson Lane, 38 Mount Hobson Road, 2 Pere Street, 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, 7 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street and 1 Pere Street, Remuera. (See submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.175	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay over properties on the north side of Market Road, Mount Hobson Lane, and Pere Street, Remuera [inferred] including 9 Market Road, 13 Market Road, 13A Market Road, 15 Market Road, 17 Market Road, 19 Market Road, 19A Market Road, 21 Market Road, 23 Market Road, 25 Market Road, 27 Market Road, 27A Market Road, 29 Market Road, 31 Market Road, 33 Market Road, 35 Market Road, 37 Market Road, 39 Market Road, 43 Market Road, 47 Market Road, 51 Market Road, 53 Market Road, 55 Market Road, 227 Remuera Road, 229 Remuera Road, 229A Remuera Road, 231 Remuera Road, 231A Remuera Road, 231B Remuera Road, 231C-D Remuera Road, 231E Remuera Road, 233 Remuera Road, 1 Mount Hobson Lane, 3 Mount Hobson Lane, 5 Mount Hobson Lane, 9 Mount Hobson Lane, 38 Mount Hobson Road, 2 Pere Street, 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, 7 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street and 1 Pere Street, Remuera. (See submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.175	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay over properties on the north side of Market Road, Mount Hobson Lane, and Pere Street, Remuera [inferred] including 9 Market Road, 13 Market Road, 13A Market Road, 15 Market Road, 17 Market Road, 19 Market Road, 19A Market Road, 21 Market Road, 23 Market Road, 25 Market Road, 27 Market Road, 27A Market Road, 29 Market Road, 31 Market Road, 33 Market Road, 35 Market Road, 37 Market Road, 39 Market Road, 43 Market Road, 47 Market Road, 51 Market Road, 53 Market Road, 55 Market Road, 227 Remuera Road, 229 Remuera Road, 229A Remuera Road, 231 Remuera Road, 231A Remuera Road, 231B Remuera Road, 231C-D Remuera Road, 231E Remuera Road, 233 Remuera Road, 1 Mount Hobson Lane, 3 Mount Hobson Lane, 5 Mount Hobson Lane, 9 Mount Hobson Lane, 38 Mount Hobson Road, 2 Pere Street, 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, 7 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street and 1 Pere Street, Remuera. (See submission for detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.176	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone properties on the north side of Market Road, Mount Hobson Lane, and Pere Street, Remuera [inferred] including 9 Market Road, 13 Market Road, 13A Market Road, 15 Market Road, 17 Market Road, 19 Market Road, 19A Market Road, 21 Market Road, 23 Market Road, 25 Market Road, 27 Market Road, 27A Market Road, 29 Market Road, 31 Market Road, 33 Market Road, 35 Market Road, 37 Market Road, 39 Market Road, 43 Market Road, 47 Market Road, 51 Market Road, 53 Market Road, 55 Market Road, 227 Remuera Road, 229 Remuera Road, 229A Remuera Road, 231 Remuera Road, 231A Remuera Road, 231B Remuera Road, 231C-D Remuera Road, 231E Remuera Road, 233 Remuera Road, 1 Mount Hobson Lane, 3 Mount Hobson Lane, 5 Mount Hobson Lane, 9 Mount Hobson Lane, 38 Mount Hobson Road, 2 Pere Street, 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, 7 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street and 1 Pere Street, Remuera to Two-Storey Single Dwelling Residential Area. (See submission for detail).	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.176	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone properties on the north side of Market Road, Mount Hobson Lane, and Pere Street, Remuera [inferred] including 9 Market Road, 13 Market Road, 13A Market Road, 15 Market Road, 17 Market Road, 19 Market Road, 19A Market Road, 21 Market Road, 23 Market Road, 25 Market Road, 27 Market Road, 27A Market Road, 29 Market Road, 31 Market Road, 33 Market Road, 35 Market Road, 37 Market Road, 39 Market Road, 43 Market Road, 47 Market Road, 51 Market Road, 53 Market Road, 55 Market Road, 227 Remuera Road, 229 Remuera Road, 229A Remuera Road, 231 Remuera Road, 231A Remuera Road, 231B Remuera Road, 231C-D Remuera Road, 231E Remuera Road, 233 Remuera Road, 1 Mount Hobson Lane, 3 Mount Hobson Lane, 5 Mount Hobson Lane, 9 Mount Hobson Lane, 38 Mount Hobson Road, 2 Pere Street, 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, 7 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street and 1 Pere Street, Remuera to Two-Storey Single Dwelling Residential Area. (See submission for detail).	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.176	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone properties on the north side of Market Road, Mount Hobson Lane, and Pere Street, Remuera [inferred] including 9 Market Road, 13 Market Road, 13A Market Road, 15 Market Road, 17 Market Road, 19 Market Road, 19A Market Road, 21 Market Road, 23 Market Road, 25 Market Road, 27 Market Road, 27A Market Road, 29 Market Road, 31 Market Road, 33 Market Road, 35 Market Road, 37 Market Road, 39 Market Road, 43 Market Road, 47 Market Road, 51 Market Road, 53 Market Road, 55 Market Road, 227 Remuera Road, 229 Remuera Road, 229A Remuera Road, 231 Remuera Road, 231A Remuera Road, 231B Remuera Road, 231C-D Remuera Road, 231E Remuera Road, 233 Remuera Road, 1 Mount Hobson Lane, 3 Mount Hobson Lane, 5 Mount Hobson Lane, 9 Mount Hobson Lane, 38 Mount Hobson Road, 2 Pere Street, 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, 7 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street and 1 Pere Street, Remuera to Two-Storey Single Dwelling Residential Area. (See submission for detail).	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.176	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone properties on the north side of Market Road, Mount Hobson Lane, and Pere Street, Remuera [inferred] including 9 Market Road, 13 Market Road, 13A Market Road, 15 Market Road, 17 Market Road, 19 Market Road, 19A Market Road, 21 Market Road, 23 Market Road, 25 Market Road, 27 Market Road, 27A Market Road, 29 Market Road, 31 Market Road, 33 Market Road, 35 Market Road, 37 Market Road, 39 Market Road, 43 Market Road, 47 Market Road, 51 Market Road, 53 Market Road, 55 Market Road, 227 Remuera Road, 229 Remuera Road, 229A Remuera Road, 231 Remuera Road, 231A Remuera Road, 231B Remuera Road, 231C-D Remuera Road, 231E Remuera Road, 233 Remuera Road, 1 Mount Hobson Lane, 3 Mount Hobson Lane, 5 Mount Hobson Lane, 9 Mount Hobson Lane, 38 Mount Hobson Road, 2 Pere Street, 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, 7 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street and 1 Pere Street, Remuera to Two-Storey Single Dwelling Residential Area. (See submission for detail).	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.176	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone properties on the north side of Market Road, Mount Hobson Lane, and Pere Street, Remuera [inferred] including 9 Market Road, 13 Market Road, 13A Market Road, 15 Market Road, 17 Market Road, 19 Market Road, 19A Market Road, 21 Market Road, 23 Market Road, 25 Market Road, 27 Market Road, 27A Market Road, 29 Market Road, 31 Market Road, 33 Market Road, 35 Market Road, 37 Market Road, 39 Market Road, 43 Market Road, 47 Market Road, 51 Market Road, 53 Market Road, 55 Market Road, 227 Remuera Road, 229 Remuera Road, 229A Remuera Road, 231 Remuera Road, 231A Remuera Road, 231B Remuera Road, 231C-D Remuera Road, 231E Remuera Road, 233 Remuera Road, 1 Mount Hobson Lane, 3 Mount Hobson Lane, 5 Mount Hobson Lane, 9 Mount Hobson Lane, 38 Mount Hobson Road, 2 Pere Street, 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, 7 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street and 1 Pere Street, Remuera to Two-Storey Single Dwelling Residential Area. (See submission for detail).	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.177	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 17 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.177	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 17 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.177	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 17 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.177	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 17 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.177	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 17 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.178	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 17 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.178	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 17 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.178	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 17 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.178	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 17 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.178	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 17 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.179	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 21 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.179	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 21 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.179	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 21 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.179	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 21 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.179	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 21 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.180	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 21 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.180	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 21 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.180	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 21 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.180	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 21 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.180	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 21 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.181	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 23 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.181	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 23 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.181	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 23 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.181	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 23 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.181	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 23 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.182	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 23 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.182	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 23 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.182	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 23 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.182	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 23 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.182	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 23 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.183	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 27 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.183	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 27 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.183	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 27 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.183	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 27 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.183	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 27 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.184	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 27 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.184	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 27 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.184	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 27 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.184	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 27 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.184	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 27 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.185	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 29 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.185	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 29 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.185	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 29 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.185	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 29 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.185	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 29 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.186	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 29 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.186	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 29 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.186	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 29 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.186	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 29 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.186	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 29 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.187	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 31 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.187	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 31 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.187	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 31 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.187	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 31 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.187	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 31 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.188	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 31 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.188	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 31 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.188	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 31 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.188	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 31 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.188	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 31 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.189	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 31 Market Road, Remuera, from 4 to 5 or 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.189	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 31 Market Road, Remuera, from 4 to 5 or 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.189	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 31 Market Road, Remuera, from 4 to 5 or 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.189	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 31 Market Road, Remuera, from 4 to 5 or 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.189	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change survey score of 31 Market Road, Remuera, from 4 to 5 or 6.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.190	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 35 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.190	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 35 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.190	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 35 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.190	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 35 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.190	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 35 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.191	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 35 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.191	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 35 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.191	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 35 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.191	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 35 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.191	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 35 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.192	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 35 Market Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.192	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 35 Market Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.192	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 35 Market Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.192	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 35 Market Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.192	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 35 Market Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.193	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 37 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.193	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 37 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.193	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 37 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.193	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 37 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.193	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 37 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.194	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 37 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.194	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 37 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.194	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 37 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.194	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 37 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.194	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 37 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.195	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 39 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.195	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 39 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.195	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 39 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.195	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 39 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.195	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 39 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.196	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 39 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.196	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 39 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.196	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 39 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.196	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 39 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.196	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 39 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.197	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 43 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.197	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 43 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.197	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 43 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.197	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 43 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.197	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 43 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.198	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 43 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.198	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 43 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.198	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 43 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.198	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 43 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.198	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 43 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.199	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 43 Market Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.199	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 43 Market Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.199	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 43 Market Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.199	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 43 Market Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.199	Remuera Heritage Inc	Assign a score of 6 to previously unscored house 43 Market Road, Remuera, as it is visible from Ōhinerau.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.200	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 47 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.200	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 47 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.200	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 47 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.200	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 47 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.200	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 47 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.201	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 47 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.201	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 47 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.201	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 47 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.201	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 47 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.201	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 47 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.202	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 51 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.202	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 51 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.202	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 51 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.202	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 51 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.202	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 51 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.203	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 51 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.203	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 51 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.203	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 51 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.203	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 51 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.203	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 51 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.204	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 53 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.204	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 53 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.204	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 53 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.204	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 53 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.204	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 53 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.205	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 53 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.205	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 53 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.205	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 53 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.205	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 53 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.205	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 53 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.206	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 55 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.206	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 55 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.206	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 55 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.206	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 55 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.206	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 55 Market Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.207	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 55 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.207	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 55 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.207	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 55 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.207	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 55 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.207	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 55 Market Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.208	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change or approve [unclear] the residential zoning of 2a Dilworth Terrace [inferred Dilworth Avenue, Remuera]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.208	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change or approve [unclear] the residential zoning of 2a Dilworth Terrace [inferred Dilworth Avenue, Remuera]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.208	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change or approve [unclear] the residential zoning of 2a Dilworth Terrace [inferred Dilworth Avenue, Remuera]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.208	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change or approve [unclear] the residential zoning of 2a Dilworth Terrace [inferred Dilworth Avenue, Remuera]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.208	Remuera Heritage Inc	Change or approve [unclear] the residential zoning of 2a Dilworth Terrace [inferred Dilworth Avenue, Remuera]	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.209	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 2 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.209	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 2 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.209	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 2 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.209	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 2 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.209	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 2 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.210	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 2 Lucerne Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.210	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 2 Lucerne Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.210	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 2 Lucerne Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.210	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 2 Lucerne Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.210	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 2 Lucerne Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.211	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 640 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.211	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 640 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.211	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 640 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.211	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 640 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.211	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 640 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.212	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 640 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.212	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 640 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.212	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 640 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.212	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 640 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.212	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 640 Remuera Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.213	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 6 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.213	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 6 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.213	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 6 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.213	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 6 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.213	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 6 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.214	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 6 Lucerne Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.214	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 6 Lucerne Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.214	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 6 Lucerne Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.214	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 6 Lucerne Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.214	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 6 Lucerne Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.215	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 12 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.215	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 12 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.215	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 12 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.215	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 12 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.215	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 12 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.216	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 12 Lucerne Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.216	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 12 Lucerne Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.216	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 12 Lucerne Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.216	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 12 Lucerne Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.216	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 12 Lucerne Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.217	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 16 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.217	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 16 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
948.217	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 16 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.217	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 16 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.217	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 16 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.218	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera, to Mixed Housing Suburban Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.218	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera, to Mixed Housing Suburban Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
948.218	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera, to Mixed Housing Suburban Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.218	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera, to Mixed Housing Suburban Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.218	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera, to Mixed Housing Suburban Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.219	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 2 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.219	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 2 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.219	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 2 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.219	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 2 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.219	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 2 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.220	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 2 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.220	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 2 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.220	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 2 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.220	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 2 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.220	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 2 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.221	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 4 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.221	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 4 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.221	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 4 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.221	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 4 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.221	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 4 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.222	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 4 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.222	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 4 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.222	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 4 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.222	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 4 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.222	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 4 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.223	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 6 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.223	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 6 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.223	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 6 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.223	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 6 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.223	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 6 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.224	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 6 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.224	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 6 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.224	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 6 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.224	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 6 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.224	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 6 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.225	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 3 Garden Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.225	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 3 Garden Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.225	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 3 Garden Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.225	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 3 Garden Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.225	Remuera Heritage Inc	Add 3 Garden Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.226	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 3 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.226	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 3 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.226	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 3 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.226	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 3 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.226	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 3 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.227	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 15 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.227	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 15 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.227	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 15 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.227	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 15 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.227	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 15 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.228	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 15 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.228	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 15 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.228	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 15 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.228	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 15 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.228	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 15 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.229	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 19 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.229	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 19 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.229	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 19 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.229	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 19 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.229	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain Special Character Overlay over 19 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.230	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 19 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.230	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 19 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.230	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 19 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.230	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 19 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.230	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone 19 Garden Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.231	Remuera Heritage Inc	Form a Special Character Sub-Area comprising 2 Garden Road, 3 Garden Road, 4 Garden Road, 6 Garden Road, 15 Garden Road and 19 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.231	Remuera Heritage Inc	Form a Special Character Sub-Area comprising 2 Garden Road, 3 Garden Road, 4 Garden Road, 6 Garden Road, 15 Garden Road and 19 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.231	Remuera Heritage Inc	Form a Special Character Sub-Area comprising 2 Garden Road, 3 Garden Road, 4 Garden Road, 6 Garden Road, 15 Garden Road and 19 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS334	Kate Cooke	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.231	Remuera Heritage Inc	Form a Special Character Sub-Area comprising 2 Garden Road, 3 Garden Road, 4 Garden Road, 6 Garden Road, 15 Garden Road and 19 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.231	Remuera Heritage Inc	Form a Special Character Sub-Area comprising 2 Garden Road, 3 Garden Road, 4 Garden Road, 6 Garden Road, 15 Garden Road and 19 Garden Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.232	Remuera Heritage Inc	Recognise that the properties at 2 Garden Road, 3 Garden Road, 4 Garden Road, 6 Garden Road, 15 Garden Road and 19 Garden Road, Remuera, are already subject to an infrastructure combined wastewater network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.232	Remuera Heritage Inc	Recognise that the properties at 2 Garden Road, 3 Garden Road, 4 Garden Road, 6 Garden Road, 15 Garden Road and 19 Garden Road, Remuera, are already subject to an infrastructure combined wastewater network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.232	Remuera Heritage Inc	Recognise that the properties at 2 Garden Road, 3 Garden Road, 4 Garden Road, 6 Garden Road, 15 Garden Road and 19 Garden Road, Remuera, are already subject to an infrastructure combined wastewater network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.232	Remuera Heritage Inc	Recognise that the properties at 2 Garden Road, 3 Garden Road, 4 Garden Road, 6 Garden Road, 15 Garden Road and 19 Garden Road, Remuera, are already subject to an infrastructure combined wastewater network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.232	Remuera Heritage Inc	Recognise that the properties at 2 Garden Road, 3 Garden Road, 4 Garden Road, 6 Garden Road, 15 Garden Road and 19 Garden Road, Remuera, are already subject to an infrastructure combined wastewater network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.233	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone all properties on Kelvin Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone, or retain Single House zoning.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.233	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone all properties on Kelvin Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone, or retain Single House zoning.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.233	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone all properties on Kelvin Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone, or retain Single House zoning.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.233	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone all properties on Kelvin Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone, or retain Single House zoning.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.233	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone all properties on Kelvin Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential Zone, or retain Single House zoning.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.234	Remuera Heritage Inc	Recognise that many of the properties on Kelvin Road, Remuera are subject to the Flood Plains and Coastal Inundation Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.234	Remuera Heritage Inc	Recognise that many of the properties on Kelvin Road, Remuera are subject to the Flood Plains and Coastal Inundation Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.234	Remuera Heritage Inc	Recognise that many of the properties on Kelvin Road, Remuera are subject to the Flood Plains and Coastal Inundation Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.234	Remuera Heritage Inc	Recognise that many of the properties on Kelvin Road, Remuera are subject to the Flood Plains and Coastal Inundation Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.234	Remuera Heritage Inc	Recognise that many of the properties on Kelvin Road, Remuera are subject to the Flood Plains and Coastal Inundation Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.235	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve the retention of the Significant Ecological Areas Overlay on properties in Kelvin Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.235	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve the retention of the Significant Ecological Areas Overlay on properties in Kelvin Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.235	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve the retention of the Significant Ecological Areas Overlay on properties in Kelvin Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.235	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve the retention of the Significant Ecological Areas Overlay on properties in Kelvin Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.235	Remuera Heritage Inc	Approve the retention of the Significant Ecological Areas Overlay on properties in Kelvin Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.236	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Special Character Areas Overlay over properties on Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.236	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Special Character Areas Overlay over properties on Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.236	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Special Character Areas Overlay over properties on Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.236	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Special Character Areas Overlay over properties on Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
948.236	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Special Character Areas Overlay over properties on Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.236	Remuera Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Special Character Areas Overlay over properties on Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
948.237	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone all properties on Seaview Road, Remuera, to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
948.237	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone all properties on Seaview Road, Remuera, to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	Oppose
948.237	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone all properties on Seaview Road, Remuera, to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS334	Kate Cooke	support
948.237	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone all properties on Seaview Road, Remuera, to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
948.237	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone all properties on Seaview Road, Remuera, to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
948.237	Remuera Heritage Inc	Rezone all properties on Seaview Road, Remuera, to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.1	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.2	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove all provisions relating to unjustified qualifying matters and other provisions that will not achieve the purpose of NPS UD or RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 14 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.3	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.4	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 15 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS250	Citylife Investments	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.5	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.6	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.7	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed amendments to D18.1 Background if the SCAR overlay is retained [as a QM].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.8	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objectives D18.2(4), D18.2(5) and D18.2(6).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.9	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete policies D18.3(7A), D18.3(7B) and D18.3(7C).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.10	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.4(3) if LDR zone is deleted from the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.11	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) if LDR zone is retained as part of the plan change [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.12	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.13	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions from D18.4.1 Activity Table if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 17 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.14	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend standards to be complied with under D18.4.1 to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 18 of submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.15	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete D18.4(3) and D18.4(5) in relation to Special Character Areas Overlay - Business.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.16	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.17	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete any duplication of underlying zoning requirements in relation to D18.6.1A [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.18	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain D18.6.1A if LDR zone is deleted and a more intensive zoning is applied [refer to page 18 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.19	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.1 [refer to page 19 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.20	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.2 [refer to page 20 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.21	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.3 and rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 21 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.22	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.4 [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.23	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1A.5 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 22 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS185	Charles H Levin	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS420	Trevor Lund	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.24	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.1 (Building height) [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS185	Charles H Levin	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS420	Trevor Lund	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.25	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.2 (Height in relation to boundary) to rely on underlying zone to a greater extent [refer to page 23 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS185	Charles H Levin	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS420	Trevor Lund	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.26	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.3 (Yards) [refer to page 24 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS185	Charles H Levin	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS420	Trevor Lund	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.27	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.4 (Building coverage) [refer to page 25 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS185	Charles H Levin	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS420	Trevor Lund	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.28	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.5 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS185	Charles H Levin	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS420	Trevor Lund	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.29	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.1.6 (Maximum impervious area) [refer to page 26 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS185	Charles H Levin	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS420	Trevor Lund	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.30	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.6.7 (Fences) to rely on underlying zone provisions to a greater extent [refer to page 27 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS185	Charles H Levin	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS420	Trevor Lund	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.31	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.1.1 to rely on underlying zone to set parameters around what are the appropriate activities for an area [refer to page 28 of the submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS185	Charles H Levin	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS420	Trevor Lund	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.32	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under D18.8.2.1 [refer to page 29 submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS250	Citylife Investments Ltd	support
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.33	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.34	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.35	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to page 30 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.36	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.37	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS250	Citylife Investments Ltd	support
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.38	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.39	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 (13)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)Policies. [refer to page 31 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.40	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.4.2 Activity Table [refer to submission pages 32-33 for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.41	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under E38.8.1A except that E38.8.1.2(1) does not apply.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breen	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.42	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Expand E38.8.1A to apply to restricted discretionary activity applications around approved land use resource consent or around existing building and development also [refer to page 34 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.43	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.8.2 [refer to page 34-35 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.44	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.1 [refer to page 35 submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS250	Citylife Investments Ltd	support
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.45	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.11.2 [refer to page 35-36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.46	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.47	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to page 36 of the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.48	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete LDR zone in its entirety [refer to page 37-39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.49	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.50	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.51	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional objectives (5)-(10) inserted at H5.2 [refer to page 39 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.52	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete additional policies (6a)(7)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) inserted at H5.3 [refer to page 40 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.53	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.4.1 Activity Table that duplicate the requirements of Chapter D9 (SEA Overlay) [refer to page 42 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.54	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H5.5(4) [refer to page 44 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.55	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provision H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.56	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 46 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.57	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps [refer to page 47 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.58	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.5 (Height in relation to boundary).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.59	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.8 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.60	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete riparian / lakeside / coastal protection yard as a QM from Table H5.6.8.2 (Yards).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.61	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.10 (Building coverage).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.62	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete SEA QM requirement from the MHU zone [in relation to H5.6.10 (Building coverage)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.63	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.11 (Landscaped area) other than those required by MDRS [refer to page 48 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brev	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.64	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.12 (Outlook space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.65	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.12 (Outlook space) or amend to address reasons identified in the submission [refer to page 49 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.66	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.67	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [not required by MDRS] under H5.6.14 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 50 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.68	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.18 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 51 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.69	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.19 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer page 52 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.70	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.20 (Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 53 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.71	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.6.21 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 54-55 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.72	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.7.1 and H5.7.2 relating to dwellings in a SEA [refer to page 55-56 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments Ltd	support
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.73	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed additions to H5.8.1(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.74	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.2(1) for supported residential care up to 10 people, boarding houses accommodating more than 10 people, visitor accommodation [refer to pages 56-57 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.75	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(b)(i) and H5.8.1(2)(a)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.76	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity' from matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(a)(i) relating to Integrated Residential Developments.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.77	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed the deletion of 'intensity' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.78	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete 'intensity and' from criterion H5.8.2(1)(b)(i).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.79	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.80	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(3)(d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.81	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(1)(c) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.82	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.83	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(3)(d) [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.84	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions [under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)] [refer to pages 57-62 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.85	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under Matters of discretion H5.8.1(3) and Assessment criteria H5.8.2(3) relating to Integrated Residential Development [refer to pages 63-66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.86	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H5.8.1 relating to applications for more than one dwelling on sites subject to identified infrastructure constraints [refer to page 66 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.87	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(4) (Building height) [refer to page 67 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.88	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8(6) for height in relation to boundary [refer to page 69 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.89	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(9) for yards [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.90	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(10) for maximum impervious areas [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.91	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(11) for building coverage [refer to page 71 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.92	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(12) for landscaped area [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.93	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(13) for outlook space [refer to page 72 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.94	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(14) for daylight [refer to page 73 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.95	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain propose changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15) for outdoor living space.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breyer	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments Ltd	support
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.96	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(15A) for windows to street and pedestrian accessways [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.97	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(16) for front, side and rear walls [refer to page 74 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.98	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(17) for minimum dwelling size [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.99	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(18) for deep soil area and canopy [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.100	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(19) for safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways [refer to page 75 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.101	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(20) for residential waste [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose















sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.102	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(21) for more than one dwelling per site in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and Wastewater Constraints Control [refer to page 76 of submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.103	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed changes to Assessment criteria at H5.8.2(22) for more than one dwelling per site subject to the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.104	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed special information requirements under H5.9 [refer to page 77 of the submission for further details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.105	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.106	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	[Amend plan so] any constraints identified are dealt with through other mechanisms, and 'down-zoning' the underlying zone is not necessary to appropriately manage those constraints. [refer to pages 78-79 of the submission for further details].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.107	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain MDRS provisions under H6.1 Zone description [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.108	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.2 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.109	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete changes proposed to H6.3 [refer to page 79 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.110	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions regarding standards to be complied with under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.111	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table which relate to dwelling(s) in a SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.112	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed new rules [H6.4.1(A31), H6.4.1(A31A), H6.4.1(A32), H6.4.1(A32A), H6.4.1(A33) and H6.4.1(33A)] under H6.4.1 Activity Table [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.113	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain H6.5(5) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.114	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed standards [H6.6.4B and H6.6.4C].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.115	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete the MDRS standard for 'up to three dwellings' under H6.6.5 (Height) and replace it with the standard zone height limits proposed inside / outside walkable catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.116	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Amend H6.6.5 (Height) to enable at least six-storey development across the zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.117	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.6 (Height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.118	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve deletion of H6.6.7 (Alternative height in relation to boundary) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.119	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain proposed provisions under H6.6.8 (Height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones) as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.120	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions relating to SEAs under H6.6.11 (Building coverage) [refer to page 85 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.121	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Remove reference to limiting development on 'sites' subject to a SEA [if H6.4.1(A2A) and H6.4.1(A2B) are retained] and focus on managing works 'within' the SEA [refer to page 82 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.122	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions [referencing Deep Soil Area and Safety and Privacy Buffer] under H6.6.12 (Landscaped area) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.123	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.13 (Outlook space) [refer to page 86 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.124	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.15 (Outdoor living space) [refer to page 87 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.125	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.19 (Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways) [refer to page 88 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments Ltd	support
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.126	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.20 (Deep soil area and canopy tree) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.127	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.21 (Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways) [refer to page 89 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.128	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.6.22 (Residential waste management) [refer to page 90 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.129	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.1 Matters of Control [refer to page 91 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.130	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.7.2 Assessment criteria for Controlled activities [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.131	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject matters of discretion H6.8.1(6) and H6.8.1(7) related to mapped infrastructure constraints.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.132	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain assessment criteria relating to infrastructure capacity to serve a development supported if mapped Infrastructure Constraints are removed from the Planning Maps and zones [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.133	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criterion H6.8.2(2)(ad).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.134	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from matter of discretion H6.8.1(1)(b)(i), H6.8.1(2)((a)(i) and H6.8.1(3)(a)(i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.135	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Retain the proposed deletion of 'intensity' from criteria at H6.8.2(1)(b).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.136	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matters of discretion at H6.8.1(1)(c), H6.8.1(2)(d) and H6.8.1(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.137	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete assessment criteria at H6.8.2(1)(f), H6.8.2(d) and H5.8.2(3)(d).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.138	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete other proposed provisions under H6.8.1 [refer to page 92 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.139	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.8.2 Assessment criteria [refer to page 96 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.140	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed provisions under H6.9 Special Information Requirements [refer to page 103 of submission for further details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.141	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Landscaped area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.142	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Service Area' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS250	Citylife Investments Ltd	support
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.143	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete proposed 'Urban Heat Island' definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.144	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the MHU zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.145	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Extend the mapped extent of the THAB zone to take in the Light Rail Corridor, Special Character Areas overlay, sites subject to flooding, sites within SEAs, those subject to cultural values, or any other identified QMs where otherwise appropriately located close to centres, transport options.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.146	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the broad application of MHU as notified.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.147	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Approve the application of THAB within walkable catchments.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.148	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject introduction of QMs and its relationship with the underlying zones to justify lower density zoning [refer to page 5 of submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS250	Citylife Investments Ltd	support
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.149	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.150	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 70-72 Williamson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.151	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject LDR zoning for 49 Ariki Street, Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.152	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject aesthetic based character assessments [in relation to Grey Lynn area] [refer to page 10 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.153	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject approach to application of 800m walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.154	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Special Character Overlay as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS56	Envivo Limited	Support
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS187	Orewa Development	support
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRA].	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.155	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Floodplains as QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.156	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Reject inclusion of Combined Wastewater Network as QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS411	Grey Power Howick and Pakuranga and Districts Association Inc	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS415	Howick Ratepayers and Residents Association [HRRRA].	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.157	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete matter of discretion H5.8.1(1)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose



sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
949.158	Piper Properties Consultants Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA and introduce higher intensity zones across those areas currently spatially mapped by identified QM's.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose