IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT AUCKLAND

ENV-2016-AKL-000156

UNDER the Local Government Act (Auckland Transitional

Provisions) Act 2010 ("LGATPA") of the Resource

Management Act 1991("RMA")

IN THE MATTER of an appeal under section 156(3) of the LGATPA

AND

IN THE MATTER of section 274 of the RMA

AND

IN THE MATTER of Proposed Plan Hearing Topic 081 - Rezoning and

Precincts (Geographic areas)

BETWEEN C N BARBOUR FAMILY TRUST

Appellant

AND AUCKLAND COUNCIL

Respondent

NOTICE BY BUNNINGS LIMITED OF WISH TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS

3 NOVEMBER 2017



To: the Registrar of the Environment Court at Auckland

And to: Appellant

And to: Respondent

BUNNINGS LIMITED ("Bunnings") wishes to be party to an appeal brought by the C N Barbour Family Trust ("Appeal") in relation to Auckland Council's decision to accept the Independent Hearing Panel's recommendation in relation to the Redhills Precinct Plan ("Precinct Plan") in the Proposed Auckland Unitary Plan ("Unitary Plan").

Nature of interest

- 1. Bunnings is a person who has an interest in these proceedings that is greater than the interest of the general public, for a number of reasons, including:
 - (a) Bunnings made a submission and further submission on the notified Unitary Plan.
 - (b) Bunnings has filed an appeal in relation to the Precinct Plan that seeks similar relief to the Appeal, insofar as it relates to the Precinct Plan.
 - (c) Bunnings owns 2.8 ha of land at 21 Fred Taylor Drive, on the corner of Fred Taylor Drive and Te Oranui Way ("Site"). Bunnings has consent for a new Bunnings Warehouse on the Site, with primary customer access being provided from Te Oranui Way.
 - (d) Te Oranui Way is one of the four existing arms of the roundabout intersection of Fred Taylor Drive and Don Buck Road ("Roundabout"). The Precinct Plan included an arterial road connecting to the Roundabout, which will necessitate the closure of Te Oranui Way or, at the very least, a reduction in available movements to and/or from Te Oranui Way.
 - (e) Any reduction in accessibility to or from Te Oranui Way would have significant impacts on Bunnings and its consented operations.
- 2. Bunnings is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the RMA.

Extent of interest and reasons

- 3. Bunnings in interested in the entirety of the Appeal.
- 4. Bunnings supports the relief sought in the Appeal to the extent that it is consistent with the relief sought in Bunnings' own appeal (ENV-2016-AKL000232 Bunnings Limited v Auckland Council), because it will:
 - (a) achieve the sustainable management of natural and physical resources and will otherwise be consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA;
 - (b) enable people and communities to provide for their social, economic and cultural wellbeing; and
 - (c) provide for the efficient use and management of natural and physical resources.

Relief sought

- 5. Bunnings seeks that the relief sought in the Appeal be granted to the extent it is not inconsistent with the relief sought in Bunning's own appeal.
- 6. Bunnings agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

BUNNINGS LIMITED by its solicitors and authorised agents Russell McVeagh:

Signature:

D J Minhinnick / L J Eaton

Date:

3 November 2017

Address for Service:

C/- L J Eaton

Russell McVeagh

Barristers and Solicitors

Level 30 Vero Centre

48 Shortland Street

PO Box 8/DX CX10085

AUCKLAND 1140

Telephone:

+64 9 367 8000

Facsimile:

+64 9 367 8163

Advice

1. If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland, Wellington, or Christchurch.